Indexed differential linear logic and Laplace transform

LHC Days 2024 June 5, 2024

Simon Mirwasser, jww M.Kerjean, F.Breuvart and Y.Dabrowski





Outline

Background:

Our contributions:

Outline

Background:

- Linear logic via its semantics
- Differential linear logic
- Its extension to D-DiLL
- Graded linear logic

Our contributions:

Outline

Background:

- Linear logic via its semantics
- Differential linear logic
- Its extension to D-DiLL
- Graded linear logic

Our contributions:

- A finitary differential linear logic, graded with differential operators
- A semiring of differential operators
- Laplace transform for operators

1. Background

The smooth semantics

Formulas :

- Each MALL formula is a finite dimentional vector space : $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket := \mathbb{R} \quad \llbracket A \otimes B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \otimes \llbracket B \rrbracket \quad \llbracket A \oplus B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \uplus \llbracket B \rrbracket \quad \dots$
- Exponentials are interpreted by infinite dimensional vector spaces:
 - $\llbracket ?A \rrbracket := \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket', \mathbb{R})$ (functions)
 - $\llbracket !A \rrbracket := \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket, \mathbb{R})'$ (distributions)
- Negation is duality: $[\![A^{\bot}]\!] := [\![A]\!]' = \mathcal{L}([\![A]\!], \mathbb{R})$

The smooth semantics

Formulas :

- Each MALL formula is a finite dimentional vector space : $\llbracket 1 \rrbracket := \mathbb{R} \quad \llbracket A \otimes B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \otimes \llbracket B \rrbracket \quad \llbracket A \oplus B \rrbracket := \llbracket A \rrbracket \uplus \llbracket B \rrbracket \quad \dots$
- Exponentials are interpreted by infinite dimensional vector spaces:
 - $\llbracket ?A \rrbracket := \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket', \mathbb{R})$ (functions)
 - $\llbracket !A \rrbracket := \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket, \mathbb{R})'$ (distributions)
- Negation is duality: $[\![A^{\bot}]\!] := [\![A]\!]' = \mathcal{L}([\![A]\!], \mathbb{R})$

Proofs :

- Each proof is a **linear** map between the interpretation of the formulas.
- $A \Rightarrow B = !A \multimap B$ is $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(A, B) \simeq \mathcal{L}(!A, B)$
- The dereliction states that $\mathcal{L}(A,B) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(A,B)$: it forgets the linearity.

Differential Linear Logic



Differential interaction nets. Ehrhard, Regnier (2004)





• Other rules has to be added (cut-elimination)

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} \ \mathsf{w} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, ?A, ?A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} \ \mathsf{c} \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} \ \mathsf{d} \quad \frac{\vdash ?\Gamma, A}{\vdash ?\Gamma, !A} \ \mathsf{p}$$

• Other rules has to be added (cut-elimination)

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} \text{ w } \frac{\vdash \Gamma, ?A, ?A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} \text{ c } \frac{\vdash \Gamma, A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} \text{ d } \frac{\vdash ?\Gamma, A}{\vdash ?\Gamma, !A} \text{ p}$$
$$\frac{\vdash IA}{\vdash !A} \bar{\text{ w }} \frac{\vdash \Gamma, !A \vdash \Delta, !A}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta, !A} \bar{\text{ c }} \frac{\vdash \Gamma, A}{\vdash \Gamma, !A} \bar{\text{ d }}$$

• Other rules has to be added (cut-elimination)

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \displaystyle \frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, cst_1 : ?A} \ \mathsf{w} & \displaystyle \frac{\vdash \Gamma, f : ?A, g : ?A}{\vdash \Gamma, f.g : ?A} \ \mathsf{c} & \displaystyle \frac{\vdash \Gamma, x : A}{\vdash \Gamma, ev_x ?A} \ \mathsf{d} & \displaystyle \frac{\vdash ?\Gamma, x : A}{\vdash ?\Gamma, \delta_x : !A} \ \mathsf{p} \\ \\ \hline \\ \displaystyle \frac{\vdash \delta_0 : !A}{\vdash \delta_0 : !A} \ \bar{\mathsf{w}} & \displaystyle \frac{\vdash \Gamma, \psi : !A \ \vdash \Delta, \phi : !A}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta, \psi * \phi : !A} \ \bar{\mathsf{c}} & \displaystyle \frac{\vdash \Gamma, v : A}{\vdash \Gamma, D_0(_)(v) : !A} \ \bar{\mathsf{d}} \end{array}$$

Other rules has to be added (cut-elimination)

• They have nice mathematical interpretation (differential calculus)

$$\overline{d}/p$$
 is the chain rule

. . .





Solution of $D_0(_) = \ell$? That is ℓ since $D_0(\ell) = \ell$



Solution of $D_0(\underline{}) = \ell$? That is ℓ since $D_0(\ell) = \ell$





Solution of $D_0(_) = \ell$? That is ℓ since $D_0(\ell) = \ell$





Solution of $D_0(_) = \ell$? That is ℓ since $D_0(\ell) = \ell$



 $\begin{array}{l} \hline \textbf{Definition} \\ \textbf{A LPDOcc is a linear operator defined as} \\ D = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \qquad (a_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}) \end{array}$

- A LPDO acts on smooth maps, or distributions.
- A fundamental solution is a distribution Φ_D s.t. $D(\Phi_D) = \delta_0$

Examples of LPDOcc: $D: f \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}f + 3\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1\partial x_3}f$, or the heat equation.

Theorem (Malgrange-Ehrenpreis, 50's)

Each LPDOcc D has a unique fundamental solution Φ_D .

DiLL indexed by a LPDOcc



- Two new exponentials connectives : $!_D A$ and $?_D A$
- Their interpretations in the smooth semantics :
 - $\llbracket ?_D A \rrbracket := D(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket', \mathbb{R}))$ (parameters)
 - $\llbracket !_D A \rrbracket := (D(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket, \mathbb{R})))'$ (solutions)
- $\bullet \ \ {\rm We \ have} \ \ !_{D_0} A \simeq A$

The exponential rules of D-DiLL

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, ?_D A} w_D \qquad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, ?A, ?_D A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?_D A} c_D \qquad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, ?_D A}{\vdash \Gamma, ?A} d_D$$
$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, !A \vdash \Delta, !_D A}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta, !_D A} \bar{c}_D \qquad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, !D A}{\vdash \Gamma, !A} \bar{d}_D$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \ell: A \vdash B \\ \hline \text{solution of } D: !A \vdash B \\ \hline \text{Solves } D \end{array} \quad \mathsf{d} \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} f: !A \vdash B \\ \hline D(f): A \vdash B \\ \hline \text{Applies } D \end{array} \quad \overleftarrow{\mathsf{d}} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \displaystyle \frac{f: !_D A \vdash B}{f \ast \Phi_D: !A \vdash B} \ \mathsf{d} & \qquad \qquad \frac{f: !A \vdash B}{D(f): A \vdash B} \ \bar{\mathsf{d}} \\ \hline & \\ \displaystyle \text{Solves } D & \qquad \qquad & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \displaystyle Applies \ D \end{array}$$

A graded version?

- Our exponential is indexed, can we connect with other frameworks?
- Is there an interaction?
- LPDOcc are well-behaved: $\Phi_{D_1 \circ D_2} = \Phi_{D_1} * \Phi_{D_2}$

A core quantitative coeffect calculus. Brunel et. al (2014)



A core quantitative coeffect calculus. Brunel et. al (2014)



A core quantitative coeffect calculus. Brunel et. al (2014)



A core quantitative coeffect calculus. Brunel et. al (2014)



A core quantitative coeffect calculus. Brunel et. al (2014)



A core quantitative coeffect calculus. Brunel et. al (2014)



- Type system for ressource consumption
- Coeffect analysis

2. A graded differential linear logic

The logic $DB_{\mathcal{S}}LL$

- A syntactical differentiation of $\mathsf{B}_\mathcal{S}\mathsf{LL}$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \hline \textbf{The exponential rules of DB}_{\mathcal{S}}\textbf{LL} \\ \hline & \vdash \Gamma \\ \hline & \vdash \Gamma,?_{0}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \vdash \Gamma,?_{x}A,?_{y}A \\ \hline & \vdash \Gamma,?_{x}A \end{array} \land \begin{array}{c} \vdash \Gamma,?_{x}A & x \leq y \\ \hline & \vdash \Gamma,?_{y}A \end{array} \mathsf{d}_{I} \end{array} \stackrel{\vdash \Gamma,A}{\leftarrow \Gamma,?A} \mathsf{d} \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \hline & \vdash !_{0}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Psi \\ \hline & \Psi \\ \hline & \vdash \Gamma,\Delta,!_{x+y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \vdash \Gamma,!_{x}A & x \leq y \\ \hline & \vdash \Gamma,!_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Pi,?_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Pi,?_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Pi,?_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Pi,?_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Pi,?_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Pi,?_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Pi,?_{y}A \end{array} \lor \begin{array}{c} \hline & \Phi \\ \hline & \Psi \\ \hline & \Psi \\ \hline & \Pi, \\ \hline & \Psi \\ \hline & \Psi \\ \hline & \Psi \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Theorem

When ${\cal S}$ is additive splitting, and the order is define through the sum, DB_{{\cal S}}LL enjoys a cut elimination procedure.

Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$ be the set of LPDOcc.

$$\mathcal{D} \simeq \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots]$$
$$\left(D = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} k_\alpha \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}}\right) \mapsto \left(P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} k_\alpha X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_n^{\alpha_n}\right)$$

Proposition The set of LPDOcc, endowed with composition, is an additive splitting commutative monoid.



From d_D to d_I : syntax has to change, and semantics as well

- $\llbracket!_D A \rrbracket = D(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket, \mathbb{R})')$ (solutions) (parameters)
- $\llbracket ?_D A \rrbracket = D^{-1}(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\llbracket A \rrbracket', \mathbb{R}))$ (parameters) (solutions)

The duality transforms solutions into parameters

The smooth semantics for IDiLL



Theorem

The smooth semantics is **compatible** with the cut-elimination procedure.

3. Laplace transform and promotion rule

The (graded) promotion rule

In linear logic

$$\frac{!A_1, \dots, !A_n \vdash B}{!A_1, \dots, !A_n \vdash !B} \mathsf{p} \qquad \qquad \frac{!A \xrightarrow{J} B}{!A \xrightarrow{\mathsf{P}_A} !!A \xrightarrow{!f} !B}$$

The (graded) promotion rule

In linear logic

$$\frac{!A_1, \dots, !A_n \vdash B}{!A_1, \dots, !A_n \vdash !B} \mathsf{p} \qquad \qquad \frac{!A \xrightarrow{f} B}{!A \xrightarrow{\mathsf{p}_A} !!A \xrightarrow{!f} !B}$$

For $f \in \mathcal{C}(A,B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B,C)$, f;g is:

$$!A \xrightarrow{p_A} !!A \xrightarrow{!f} !B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

The (graded) promotion rule

In linear logic

$$\frac{!A_1, \dots, !A_n \vdash B}{!A_1, \dots, !A_n \vdash !B} \mathsf{p} \qquad \qquad \frac{!A \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} B}{!A \stackrel{\mathsf{p}_A}{\longrightarrow} !!A \stackrel{!f}{\longrightarrow} !B}$$

For $f \in \mathcal{C}(A,B), g \in \mathcal{C}(B,C)$, f;g is:

$$!A \xrightarrow{p_A} !!A \xrightarrow{!f} !B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

In graded linear logic

$$\frac{!_{y_1}A_1, \dots, !_{y_n}A_n \vdash B}{!_{x \times y_1}A_1, \dots, !_{x \times y_n}A_n \vdash !_x B} \mathsf{p} \qquad \frac{!_y A \xrightarrow{J} B}{!_{x \times y} A \xrightarrow{\mathsf{P}_{A,x,y}} !_x !_y A \xrightarrow{!_x f} !_x B}$$

- 1. Can we compose solutions?
- 2. Can we multiply differential operators?
- 3. Can we interpret higher-order?

- 1. Can we compose solutions?
- 2. Can we multiply differential operators?
- 3. Can we interpret higher-order?
- The function $x \mapsto e^x$ is a solution of f' f = 0.
- But e^{e^x} is not a solution of D(f) = 0 (even with polynomial coeffs!)
- Not really a problem for us: each map can be chosen as a parameter.
- If f solution of D_1 and g solution of D_2 , $g \circ f$ solution of ?

1. Can we compose solutions?

2. Can we multiply differential operators?

3. Can we interpret higher-order?

- 1. Can we compose solutions?
- 2. Can we multiply differential operators?
- 3. Can we interpret higher-order?
- Our *sum* is the composition of operators
- Can we define \odot such that $(\mathcal{D},\circ,\odot)$ is a semiring?

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, B^{\perp}}{\vdash \Gamma, ?_{1}B^{\perp}} \mathsf{d} \quad \frac{\vdash ?_{x}A, B}{\vdash ?_{1 \times x}A, !_{1}B} \mathsf{p} \qquad \rightsquigarrow \quad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, B^{\perp}}{\vdash \Gamma, ?_{x}A} cut$$

- 1. Can we compose solutions?
- 2. Can we multiply differential operators?
- 3. Can we interpret higher-order?



The axiom (d_I) is implied by (c), thanks to the definition of the order.

1. Can we compose solutions?

2. Can we multiply differential operators?

3. Can we interpret higher-order?

We define \odot as:

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha}\right) \odot \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} b_{\beta} \partial^{\beta}\right) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\alpha} (b_{\beta})^{|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha\beta}$$

This verifies (w), (c), (d_I) and (d) or (p). What about costructural axioms?

- 1. Can we compose solutions?
- 2. Can we multiply differential operators?
- 3. Can we interpret higher-order?

- 1. Can we compose solutions?
- 2. Can we multiply differential operators?
- 3. Can we interpret higher-order?

An overview of models of DiLL

Model	Reflexivity	Smoothness	Higher-order
Kothe spaces	1	×	1
Convenient spaces	X	\checkmark	1
Nuclear Frechet spaces	✓	1	1

- 1. Can we compose solutions?
- 2. Can we multiply differential operators?
- 3. Can we interpret higher-order?

We need linearly independant families to interpret partial derivatives:

- For finitary formulas, we are isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .
- For (E, V), we define $!_D(E, V)$ as $(!_D E, !_D V)$, where

$$V = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \to !_D V = (\delta_{x_1}, \dots, \delta_{x_n}, \dots)$$

 For MALL connectives over exponential formulas, usual constructions work The Laplace transform for distributions:

$$\mathscr{L}: \begin{cases} !A & \to ?A' \\ \psi & \mapsto (\ell \in A' \mapsto \psi(x \in A \mapsto e^{\ell(x)})) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathscr{L}(\delta_0) = cst_1 \qquad \mathscr{L}(\psi \ast \phi) = \mathscr{L}(\psi).\mathscr{L}(\phi) \qquad \mathscr{L}(D_0(\underline{})(v)) = eval_v$$

Laplace transform turns costructural rules into structural ones.

Laplace transform and differential operators

For
$$P = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}$$
, we note $P(\partial) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha}$.
 $\mathscr{L}(P(\partial)(\psi)) = P.\mathscr{L}(\psi) \qquad \qquad \mathscr{L}(P.\psi) = P(\partial)(\mathscr{L}(\psi))$

Laplace transform and differential operators

For
$$P = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}$$
, we note $P(\partial) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha}$.
 $\mathscr{L}(P(\partial)(\psi)) = P.\mathscr{L}(\psi) \qquad \qquad \mathscr{L}(P.\psi) = P(\partial)(\mathscr{L}(\psi))$

PARAMETERS



$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma}{\vdash \Gamma, ?_{PA}} \mathbf{w} \qquad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, ?_{PA}, ?_{QA}}{\vdash \Gamma, ?_{PQA}} \mathbf{c} \qquad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, ?_{PA}}{\vdash \Gamma, ?_{PQA}} \mathbf{d}_{I}$$

$$\overline{\vdash !_{P(\partial)A}} \bar{\mathbf{w}} \qquad \frac{\vdash \Gamma, !_{P(\partial)A} \vdash \Delta, !_{Q(\partial)A}}{\vdash \Gamma, \Delta, !_{(PQ)(\partial)A}} \bar{\mathbf{c}}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \Gamma, !_{P(\partial)A}}{\vdash \Gamma, !_{(PQ)(\partial)A}} \bar{\mathbf{d}}_{I} \qquad \frac{\vdash ?^{P(\partial)}A, B}{\vdash ?^{(Q \odot P)(\partial)}A, !_{Q(\partial)B}} \mathbf{p}$$

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{w}: \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} &\to ?_P A \\ 1 &\mapsto P.cst_1 \end{cases} & \bar{\mathsf{w}}: \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} &\to !_{P(\partial)} A \\ 1 &\mapsto P(\partial) \circ \delta_0 \end{cases} \\ &\mathsf{c}: \begin{cases} ?_P A \otimes ?_Q A &\to ?_{PQ} A \\ f \otimes g &\mapsto f.g \end{cases} & \bar{\mathsf{c}}: \begin{cases} !_{P(\partial)} A \otimes !_{Q(\partial)} A &\to !_{(PQ)(\partial)} A \\ \psi \otimes \phi &\mapsto \psi * \phi \end{cases} \\ &\mathsf{d}_I: \begin{cases} ?_P A &\to ?_{PQ} A \\ f &\mapsto Qf \end{cases} & \bar{\mathsf{d}}_I: \begin{cases} !_{P(\partial)} A &\to !_{(PQ)(\partial)} A \\ \psi &\mapsto Q(\partial) \circ \psi \end{cases} \end{split}$$

- The contraction rule is the one from DiLL
- We do not need fundamental solutions (other LPDO?)

Conclusion

Take away:

- Promotion free logic, which interprets LPDOcc with solutions and parameters
- Algebraic structure for structural rules
- Laplace transform between parameters and solutions

Future works:

- A double indexed syntax for our logic
- What about the categorical semantics?
- Can we extend this to other operators? D-finite/holonomic functions?

QUESTIONS ?