On Correctness of Automatic Differentiation for Non-Differentiable Functions



Presented at NeurIPS 2020 (Spotlight)

<u>Problem</u> For $h : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $h(x) = (h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1)(x)$, how to compute $\nabla h(x)$ correctly and efficiently?

<u>Problem</u> For $h : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $h(x) = (h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1)(x)$, how to compute $\nabla h(x)$ correctly and efficiently?

<u>Chain Rule</u> For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^l$ differentiable everywhere, $D(g \circ f)(x) = Dg(f(x)) \cdot Df(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Problem For $h : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $h(x) = (h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1)(x)$, how to compute $\nabla h(x)$ correctly and efficiently? Autodiff \approx efficient way of applying the chain rule. <u>Chain Rule</u> For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^l$ differentiable everywhere, $D(g \circ f)(x) = Dg(f(x)) \cdot Df(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Problem For $h : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $h(x) = (h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1)(x)$, how to compute $\nabla h(x)$ correctly and efficiently? <u>Theorem</u> h_l 's are differentiable everywhere \implies autodiff correctly computes $\nabla h(x)$. Autodiff \approx efficient way of applying the chain rule. <u>Chain Rule</u> For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^l$ differentiable everywhere, $D(g \circ f)(x) = Dg(f(x)) \cdot Df(x)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.





<u>Theorem</u> h_l 's are differentiable everywhere \Rightarrow autodiff correctly computes $\nabla h(x)$.

e.g., $\operatorname{ReLU}(x) = \operatorname{if} x \ge 0$ then x else $0 = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right)$



measure = generalization of length, area, ...



measure = generalization of length, area, ...





almost-everywhere = except for a measure-zero set.



Our Results: Part 1



Our Results: Part 1



<u>Claim 1</u> For any $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,

f, g: a.e.-differentiable and continuous $(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}.$

<u>Claim 1</u> For any $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,



<u>Claim 1</u> For any $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,



<u>Claim 1</u> For any $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,



<u>Claim 1</u> For any $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,



for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

<u>Counterexample</u> Involves the Cantor function.



<u>Claim 1</u> For any $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,



<u>Claim 1</u> For any $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$,



Claim 2 For any
$$f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$
 $f, g':$ a.e.-differentiable and continuous
 $(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.





for a.e.
$$x \in \mathbb{R}$$
.



for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

<u>Counterexample</u> f(x) = 0 and g(y) = ReLU(y).

 \Rightarrow easy to check that (*) holds.



Claim 2 For any
$$f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$,
 $f, g': a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$
well-defined?
Counterexample $f(x) = 0$ and $g(y) = \operatorname{ReLU}(y)$.
 $\Rightarrow g'(f(x))$
 $= g'(0)$
 $= undefined for all x$

for a.e.
$$x \in \mathbb{R}$$
.

$$f = g \circ f$$

Claim 2 For any
$$f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$,
 $f, g': a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$
well-defined?
Counterexample $f(x) = 0$ and $g(y) = \operatorname{ReLU}(y)$.
 $\Rightarrow (g \circ f)'(x) \quad g'(f(x)) \quad f'(x)$
 $= 0 \quad = g'(0) \quad = 0$
 $= undefined for all x$

for a.e.
$$x \in \mathbb{R}$$
.

$$f = g \circ f$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

 $f = g \circ f$

g

Claim 2 For any
$$f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$
 $f, g: a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $(g \circ f)'(x) = g'(f(x)) \cdot f'(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
($g \circ f)'(x) = dg(f(x)) \times f'(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 $f = g \circ f$
 $f = g \circ f$
 $g = 0$
 $dg(y) = \begin{cases} 7 & \text{for } y = 0 \\ g'(y) & \text{for } y \neq 0 \end{cases}$

29

Claim 3 For any
$$f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$
 $f, g': a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $(g \circ f)'(x) = dg(f(x)) \cdot df(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 $\exists df, dg: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $df \stackrel{a.e.}{=} f', dg \stackrel{a.e.}{=} g'$, and

Claim 3 For any
$$f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$
 $f, g: a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $(g \circ f)'(x) = dg(f(x)) \cdot df(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 $\exists df, dg: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $df \stackrel{a.e.}{=} f', dg \stackrel{a.e.}{=} g'$, and

Claim 3 For any
$$f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$,
 $f, g: a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $f, g: a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $f, g: a.e.-differentiable and continuous$
 $for a.e. x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 $for a.e. x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Subtlety 3: Wrong Equation for $(g \circ f)'$

Claim 3 For any
$$f, g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$$
,
and $g \circ f$,
 $f, g': a.e.-differentiable and continuous
 $(g \circ f)'(x) \to dg(f(x)) \cdot df(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
 $(\exists df, dg: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } df \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} f', dg \stackrel{\text{a.e.}}{=} g', \text{ and}$$

<u>Counterexample</u> Involves the Cantor function again.



Subtlety 3: Wrong Equation for $(g \circ f)'$



Our Results: Part 1








Dur Result This and related claims are false!

<u>Chain Rule</u> For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^l$, differentiable everywhere,







• $f(x) = \operatorname{ReLU}(x) - \operatorname{ReLU}(-x)$: $\partial^c f(0) = \{1\} \not\supseteq 0 = f'(0)$ (by autodiff).











piecewise analytic under analytic partition

<u>Definition</u> $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is called PAP if f can be "decomposed" into $f_1|_{A_1}, f_2|_{A_2}, \cdots$ such that

 $f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are "analytic".





such that



Example $f(x) = \operatorname{ReLU}(x)$.

• $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}),$ $(f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}).$





<u>Definition</u> $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is called PAP if f can be "decomposed" into $f_1|_{A_1}, f_2|_{A_2}, \cdots$

such that

$$f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
 and $A_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are "analytic".

Example $f(x) = \operatorname{ReLU}(x)$.

- $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}),$ $(f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}).$
- $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}),$ $(f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}),$ $(f_3(x) = 7x, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}).$



PAP Functionscan be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n Definition $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is called PAP if f can be "decomposed" into $f_1|_{A_1}, f_2|_{A_2}, \cdots$ such that $f_i: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are "analytic".

Example $f(x) = \operatorname{ReLU}(x)$.

- $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}),$ $(f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}).$
- $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}),$ $(f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}),$ $(f_3(x) = 7x, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}).$





$$f_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$$
 and $A_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are "analytic".





<u>Proposition</u> PAP functions are a.e.-differentiable.

• $()_1(x) = 0, \quad m = (x \in \mathbb{R} \cdot x \setminus 0)),$

For any non-constant, analytic function $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | g(x) = 0\}$ has measure zero.





analytic functions
Example
$$f(x) = \text{ReLU}(x)$$
.
• $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}), f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}).$
• $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}).$
• $(f_1(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}), f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), f_3(x) = 7x, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}$

analytic functions

$$\underbrace{\text{Example } f(x)}_{=} \text{ReLU}(x). \qquad (f_1'(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}), \\ (f_2'(x) = 1, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}), \\ (f_2(x) = x), A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ (f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), \\ (f_3(x) = 7x, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}). \end{cases}$$

analytic functions

$$\begin{array}{c} (f_1'(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}), \\ (f_2'(x) = 1, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ \hline (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}), \\ (f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ \hline (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ \hline (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ \hline (f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), \\ (f_3(x) = 7x, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}). \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \underbrace{\text{Example } f(x) = \text{ReLU}(x).} \\ (f_1'(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \leq 0\}), \\ (f_2'(x) = 1, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \leq 0\}), \\ (f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \\ (f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), \\ (f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), \\ (f_3(x) = 7x, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}). \\ (f_1'(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}), \\ (f_1'(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}), \\ (f_1'(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}), \\ (f_1'(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}), \\ (f_1'(x) = 1, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), \\ (f_1'(x) = 7, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}). \end{array}$$

<u>Proposition</u> Intensional derivative is a total function.

<u>Proposition</u> Intensional derivatives always satisfy the chain rule.

Example f(x) = ReLU(x).

- $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \le 0\}),$ $(f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}).$
- $(f_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}),$ $(f_2(x) = x, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}),$ $(f_3(x) = 7x, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}).$

$$df(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \ge 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } x > 0 \end{cases}$$
$$df(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{for } x > 0 \\ 7 & \text{for } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(f'_1(x) = 0, A_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x < 0\}),$$

> $(f'_2(x) = 1, A_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), \checkmark$
 $(f'_3(x) = 7, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}).$

<u>Proposition</u> Intensional derivative is a total function.

Proposition Intensional derivatives always satisfy the chain rule.

<u>Proposition</u> Intensional derivative $\stackrel{a.e.}{=}$ standard derivative.

 $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid df(x) \neq Df(x)\}$ is contained in a countable union of the zero-sets of (non-const) analytic func's.

 $(f_{2}'(x) = 1, A_{2} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}), / (f_{3}'(x) = 7, A_{3} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}).$

<u>Proposition</u> Intensional derivative is a total function.

<u>Proposition</u> Intensional derivatives always satisfy the chain rule.

<u>Proposition</u> Intensional derivative $\stackrel{a.e.}{=}$ standard derivative.

<u>Theorem</u> For any $h = h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ with PAP h_l , autodiff computes an intensional derivative of h, and thus computes the correct gradient of h a.e.

 $f_3(x) = 7, A_3 = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = 0\}$.

if autodiff uses an intensional derivative of h_l for "D" h_l ,

<u>Theorem</u> For any $h = h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ with PAP h_l ,

autodiff computes an intensional derivative of h,

In TensorFlow and PyTorch,

• "D"relu(x) = 0 for $x \le 0$; 1 for x > 0.

if autodiff uses an intensional derivative of h_l for "D" h_l ,

<u>Theorem</u> For any $h = h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ with PAP h_l , β

autodiff computes an intensional derivative of h,

In TensorFlow and PyTorch,

- "D" relu(x) = 0 for $x \le 0$; 1 for x > 0.
- "D"sqrt(x) = ∞ for x = 0; $1/2\sqrt{x}$ for x > 0.

, if autodiff uses an intensional derivative of h_l for "D" h_l ,

<u>Theorem</u> For any $h = h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ with PAP h_l , β

autodiff computes an intensional derivative of h,

In TensorFlow and PyTorch,

- "D" relu(x) = 0 for $x \le 0$; 1 for x > 0.
- "D" sqrt(x) = ∞ for x = 0; $1/2\sqrt{x}$ for x > 0.

For f(x) = sqrt(mult(x, 0)), they compute f'(x) = NaN for all x.

if autodiff uses an intensional derivative of h_l for "D" h_l ,

<u>Theorem</u> For any $h = h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ with PAP h_l , β

autodiff computes an intensional derivative of h,

In TensorFlow and PyTorch,

- "D"relu(x) = 0 for $x \le 0$; 1 for x > 0. "D"sqrt(x) = $\frac{7}{5}$ for x = 0; $1/2\sqrt{x}$ for x > 0.

For f(x) = sqrt(mult(x, 0)), they compute $f'(x) = \frac{0}{NaN}$ for all x.

if autodiff uses an intensional derivative of h_l for "D" h_l ,

<u>Theorem</u> For any $h = h_L \circ \cdots \circ h_1$ with PAP h_L ,

autodiff computes an intensional derivative of h_{i}

Intensional Derivatives: Remarks

First-order \rightarrow higher-order.

- (First-order) intensional derivative = PAP function.
- Extended to higher-order derivatives. Enjoy the same properties.

Intensional Derivatives: Remarks

First-order \rightarrow higher-order.

- (First-order) intensional derivative = PAP function.
- Extended to higher-order derivatives. Enjoy the same properties.

Difference from Clarke-subdifferentials.

- Intentional derivative: $\partial^i f \in \mathcal{P}([\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}]).$
- Clarke-subdifferential: $\partial^c f \in [\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^{m \times n})].$

→ Difficult to extend to higher-order derivatives.

We often have discrepancy between theory and practice of ML algorithms. But our theoretical understanding on such discrepancy is still limited.

ML Algorithm	Theory	Practice
Autodiff	differentiable func's	a.edifferentiable func's

We often have discrepancy between theory and practice of ML algorithms. But our theoretical understanding on such discrepancy is still limited.

ML Algorithm	Theory	Practice
Autodiff and many more	differentiable func's	a.edifferentiable func's

Algorithm for estimating $\nabla_{\theta} \int f_{\theta}(z) dz$

Reparameterization Gradient for Non-differentiable Models

Wonyeol Lee Hangyeol Yu Hongseok Yang School of Computing, KAIST Daejeon, South Ko {wonyeol, yhk1344, hongseok.y

We often have discrepancy between theory and practice of ML algorithms. But our theoretical understanding on such discrepancy is still limited.

ML Algorithm	Theory	Practice
Autodiff and many more	differentiable func's	a.edifferentiable func's
Variational inference,	func's with finite integrals (and other nice properties)	func's with infinite integrals (or some bad properties)
	Towards Verified Stochastic Variational Inference for Probabilistic Programs WONYEOL LEE, School of Computing, KAIST, South Korea HANGYEOL YU, School of Computing, KAIST, South Korea XAVIER RIVAL, INRIA Paris, Département d'Informatique of ENS, and CNRS/PSL UI IONICSEOK YANG, S. L., J. GO, S. L., J. GO, S. J. J. KAIST, S. J. KAIST,	

We often have discrepancy between theory and practice of ML algorithms. But our theoretical understanding on such discrepancy is still limited.

ML Algorithm	Theory		Practice			
Autodiff and many more	differentiable func's		a.edifferentiable func's			
Variational inference,	func's with finite integrals (and other nice properties)		func's with infinite integrals (or some bad properties)			
Most algorithms	func's <mark>on reals</mark>		func's on floating-points			
Verifying Bit-Manipulations of Floating-Point						
Wonyeol Lee Rahul Sharma Alex Aiken Stanford University, USA {wonyeol, sharmar, aiken}@cs.stanford.edu		On Automatically Prov Implementations	ving the Correctness of math.h			
		WONYEOL LEE [*] , Stanford Universit RAHUL SHARMA, Microsoft Resear ALEX AIKEN, Stanford University, U	ity, USA urch, India JSA [POPL'18]			
Comments? Questions?