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## (2) The Tool

## Canonical $n \rightarrow d$ tilings


$n$ pairwise non-colinear vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightsquigarrow\binom{n}{d}$ tiles $\rightsquigarrow$ tiling of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## Canonical $n \rightarrow d$ tilings



Lift: homeomorphism which maps tiles on d-faces of unit n-cubes.

## Planarity



Planar: lift in $E+[0, t]^{n}$, where $E$ is the slope and $t$ the thickness.

## Planarity



Perfect: planar with the minimal thickness $t=1$.

## Local rules

## Definition

A slope $E$ admits local rules if there is a finite set of patterns s.t. any canonical tiling without these patterns is planar with slope $E$.

Local rules are said to be

- strong if the tilings satisfying them are perfect;
- natural if the perfect tilings satisfy them;
- weak otherwise (the thickness is thus just bounded).

Local rules can also be decorated, with a tile playing different roles.

## The Computability barrier

Computable number: within precision $\varepsilon$ by a Turing machine.

## Proposition

If a slope admits local rules (of any type), then it is computable.

Proof sketch:

- let $t$ be the thickness (assumed to be known if rules are weak);
- let $\varepsilon$ be the wanted precision;
- form a pattern covering a ball of radius $r \geq t / \varepsilon$;
- take $d$ free vectors of length $r$ in this pattern;
- they span a space at distance less than $t / r$ from the slope.
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## Subshifts

## Definition

A nD subshift over an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ is a translation invariant closed


A subshift is said to be

- effective if its forbidden patterns are recursively enumerables;
- of finite type if defined by finitely many forbidden patterns;
- sofic if it is a letter-to-letter image of a subshift of finite type.


## Projective subaction

## Theorem (Aubrun-Sablik'10, Durand-Romashchenko-Shen'10)

If $X \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is effective, then $\left\{y \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}, \forall j, y_{j}=y_{0} \in X\right\}$ is sofic.

Proof sketch:

- Take the Robinson tiles;
- Add a layer which allows to vertically repeat any line in $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$;
- Enumerate the forbidden patterns in the Robinson boards;
- Check that no forbidden pattern appears on the repeated line.
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## Quasi-Sturmian words

Sturmian word $s_{\rho, \alpha} \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of slope $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and intercept $\rho$ :

$$
s_{\rho, \alpha}(n)=0 \Leftrightarrow(\rho+n \alpha) \quad \bmod 1 \in[0,1-\alpha)
$$

Distance over words in $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ :

$$
d(u, v):=\sup _{p \leq q} \|\left. u(p) \cdots u(q)\right|_{0}-|v(p) \cdots v(q)|_{0} \mid .
$$

## Proposition (Morse-Hedlund, 1940)

Two Sturmian words with the same slope are at distance at most 1.
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## Proposition (Morse-Hedlund, 1940)

Two Sturmian words with the same slope are at distance at most 1.

## Definition

Quasi-Sturmian word: at distance at most 1 from a Sturmian word.

## Stripes of perfect $3 \rightarrow 2$ tilings



Perfect $3 \rightarrow 2$ tiling: intertwined stripes encoding Sturmian words.

## Stripes of perfect $3 \rightarrow 2$ tilings



Parallel stripes encode quasi-Sturmian words with the same slope.

## A sofic subshift

## Proposition

The Sturmian words of comput. slope $\alpha$ form an effective subshift.
Proof sketch: compute patterns up to have $n+1$ factors of size $n$.
The following 2D subshift is thus sofic:

$$
Z_{\alpha}=\left\{y \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}, \forall j, y_{j}=y_{0}=s_{\alpha, 0}\right\}
$$

and the quasi-Sturmian subshift also (use a "carry" layer):

$$
Z_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\left\{y \in\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}, \forall j, d\left(y_{j}, s_{\alpha, 0}\right) \leq 1\right\}
$$
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## From perfect $3 \rightarrow 2$ tilings to quasi－Sturmian subshifts
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$$
\text { ムロ〉4占 } \downarrow \text { 引 }
$$
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## Decorated local rules

The computable slopes have natural decorated rules (thickness 2). Do they have strong decorated local rules (i.e., thickness 1 )?

## Undecorated local rules

Decorations can be encoded by "fluctuations" at the cost of an increase of 1 in the thickness, but the rules are no more natural.

## Natural undecorated local rules

Only algebraic slopes can have natural undecorated rules (Le '95). Even fewer slopes can have strong undecorated rules (Levitov '88). There is yet no complete characterization of these slopes.

