

**1) Endofunctions by number of cycles. —**

For  $f : F \rightarrow F$ , we define  $f^n = \underbrace{f \circ f \circ \dots \circ f}_{n \text{ factors}}$ . Let  $p$  be a prime and set

$$\mathcal{J}_p(n) = \#\{f : [[n]] \rightarrow [[n]] \text{ s.t. } f^{1+p} = f\} \quad (1)$$

where  $[[n]] := [1..n]$ . One has the following characterization  $f^{1+p} = f$  iff every connected component of the graph has

- a 1- or a  $p$ -cycle
- for all  $x$ ,  $f(x)$  is in the cycle

then the EGF for connected components with a 1-cycle is

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{nt^n}{n!} = te^t \quad (2)$$

the EGF for connected components with a  $p$ -cycle is

$$\sum_{n \geq p} \binom{n}{p} (p-1)! p^{(n-p)} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{t^p}{p} e^{pt} \quad (3)$$

Hence, having analyzed the connected components, one gets, with the exponential formula,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_p(n) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{te^t + \frac{t^p}{p} e^{pt}} \quad (4)$$

If, one wants to count these endofonctions more finely, we can get the EGF again with the exponential formula. with

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{xn t^n}{n!} = xte^t \quad (5)$$

instead of (2) and

$$\sum_{n \geq p} y \binom{n}{p} (p-1)! p^{(n-p)} \frac{t^n}{n!} = y \frac{t^p}{p} e^{pt} \quad (6)$$

instead of (3), we get

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_{k,l}^{(n)} x^k y^l \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_n^{(p)}(x,y) \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{xte^t + y \frac{t^p}{p} e^{pt}} \quad (7)$$

**2) Élémentary computation of the  $\alpha_{k,l}^{(n)}$ . —**

By elementary counting, we get

$$\alpha_{k,l}^{(n)} = \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{pl} \frac{(pl)!}{p^{pl}} (k+pl)^{n-k-pl} \quad (8)$$

and, from (7)

$$H_n^{(p)}(x,y) = \sum_{k+3l \leq n} \alpha_{k,l}^{(n)} x^k y^l \quad (9)$$

if we glue the two types of cycles, one gets the numbers  $\mathcal{J}_p(n,k)$  which is the number of such endofunctions with  $k$  cycles. These numbers fill a lower triangular matrix ( $\mathcal{J}_p(n,k) = 0$  for  $k > n$ ). We have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{J}_p(n,k) x^k \frac{t^n}{n!} = e^{x(te^t + \frac{t^p}{p} e^{pt})} \quad (10)$$

and this proves that we are in presence of a *substitution matrix*.