

### Anomalous statistics of extreme random processes

Sergei Nechaev Interdisciplinary Scientific Center Poncelet (CNRS, Moscow), Lebedev Physical Institute (Moscow)

- Anomalous fluctuations of "elongated" 2D paths above curved domains
- Spectral density of sparse matrices and 1D random walk trapping in a Poissonian field
- Ultrametric organization of spectral density of random operators and number-theoretic properties of Dedekind  $\eta$ -function.

# Anomalous fluctuations of "elongated" 2D paths above curved domains



Semicircle:







Semicircle:  $N \sim R^2 \rightarrow D \sim \sqrt{N}$  $N = cR \rightarrow D \sim N^{1/3} \sim R^{1/3}$ 



Triangle:





Triangle:

 $N \sim R^2 \rightarrow D \sim \sqrt{N}$ 





Triangle:

 $N \sim R^2 \rightarrow D \sim \sqrt{N}$  $N = cR \rightarrow D \sim const$ 

#### Stretched (N = c R) paths above the semicircle

N K 2RLinearizing curved shape, we get:  $x = \sqrt{R^2 - (R - y)^2} \approx \sqrt{2Ry}$ Above the flat line one has a random walk  $y \sim \sqrt{x}$ thus  $x \sim \sqrt{R}\sqrt{x}$ , and finally,  $x \sim R^{2/3}$ ,  $y \sim R^{1/3}$ Stretching above algebraic curve  $\frac{y}{R} \approx \left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{\eta}$  provides generic scaling  $y(R) \sim R^{\gamma}; \quad \gamma = (\eta - 1) / (2\eta - 1)$ 

Exponent  $\gamma = 1/3$  emerges for uniformly curved surface

#### Fluctuations of inflated random loops

Consider a motion of a charged particle in constant transversal magnetic field  $\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}$ , Hamiltonian is  $H = \frac{1}{a^2} (\nabla + iq\mathbf{A})^2$ Lamor frequency  $\omega = \frac{B|q|}{m}$ , select a charge  $q = \frac{\pi}{2T} = \frac{\pi}{2N} = \frac{c\pi}{2R_a} \sim \frac{1}{R_a}$ Strong "elongation" of paths:  $R_q = cN \ (c < \frac{1}{2\pi})$  $\frac{\partial P(r,\phi,t)}{\partial t} = \left(\nabla^2 + iq(\nabla \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}\nabla) - q^2 B^2\right) P(r,\phi,t) \qquad P(r,\phi,t) = Q(r,t)$  $Z(r, R_g) = \mathcal{N}^{-1} Q(r, t)^2 = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \exp\left(-\frac{c\pi \left(r - R_g\right)^2}{2R_g} \frac{1 + e^{-4\pi}}{1 - e^{-4\pi}}\right)$  $\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}\left[r^{*}\left(R_{g}\right)\right]}$ 6 5.7 5.4 0.16 200 numerical PDF 0.14 Gaussian fit 100 0.12 R<sub>a</sub> 0.10 0 PDF 5.1 0.08 0.06 4.8 -100 0.04 4.5 0.02 -200 4.2 0.00 70 60 80 -200 -100 0 100 200 -15 -10 -5  $\Delta r^*$ 5 10 15 90 100 110 *R*\_

#### Fluctuations of inflated random loops "leaning" on an impermeable disc



Free energy of stretched paths: scaling approach



Blob's width 
$$D_{_{S}}$$
 ~  $R^{^{1/3}}$  , blob's length  $L_{_{S}}$  ~  $R^{^{2/3}}$ 

Free energy of a chain stretched above semicircle

$$F \sim \frac{N}{L_s} \sim \frac{R}{R^{2/3}} \sim R^{1/3}$$

Gibbs measure  $W(R) = e^{-F(R)} \sim e^{-\alpha R^{1/3}}$ 

Lifshitz tail in optimal fluctuation for survival probability in a onedimensional Poissonian field of random segments

Estimate survival probability in an ensemble of random intervals *D* with the distribution  $Q(D) \sim p^D$ 

Free energy to be minimized over *D*:

$$F(N,D) = F_e(N) - \ln Q(D)$$

XT



where 
$$F_e(D,N) \sim \frac{N}{D^2}$$
;  $\ln Q(D) \sim D \ln p$  ( $\ln p = \beta$ )

Correspondingly,  $\overline{D}(N) \sim N^{1/3}$  and survival probability (Balagurov, Vaks, 1974) is

$$P(N) = e^{-F(R,\bar{D})} \sim e^{-\beta^{2/3}N^{1/3}}$$

The inverse Laplace transform gives the Lifshitz tail of 1D Anderson localization  $1 \int_{-\beta/\sqrt{s}}^{c+i\infty} P(N) \int_{-\beta/\sqrt{s}}^{sN} e^{-\beta/\sqrt{s}}$ 

$$P(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty} P(N) e^{sN} \sim e^{-\beta/\sqrt{s}}$$

Comparison of disorder-free stretched KPZ exponent above convex boundary and Lifshitz tail in a Poissonian field

Gibbs measure of stretched path in curved channel



Tube width at  $R \sim cN$  is  $\bar{D}(N) \sim N^{1/3}$ 

No disorder, however path is stretched above semicircle  $W(R \sim N) \sim e^{-\alpha N^{1/3}}$  Survival probability in 1D trapping in Poissonian field

$$-L=D^{2}$$

Free energy has to be minimized over D $F(N,D) = F_e(N) - \ln Q(D) \sim \frac{N}{D^2} - \beta D$ 

In Poissonian disorder one gets  $\bar{D}(N) \sim N^{1/3}$ 

The survival probability is

$$P(N) = e^{-F(R,\bar{D})} \sim e^{-\beta^{2/3}N^{1/3}}$$

Spectral density of sparse matrices and 1D random walk trapping in a Poissonian field

#### Spectral statistics of sparse random matrices



r = 1 / N, is the percolation threshold (N >>1)

Sample of collection of subgraphs for one realization of adjacency matrix, r = 1/N (N = 500)

























Spectral density  $\rho(\lambda)$  of sparse random adjacency matrix has regular hierarchical structure

At percolation threshold  $\sim (2 - e^{-1})/(e - 1) \times 100\% \sim 95\%$  of subgraphs are linear chain with distribution in length  $P(L) \sim e^{-L}$ (V. Avetisov, P. Krapivsky, S.N., 2016)

#### Typical spectral statistics of adjacency matrix of *X chromosome*



eigenvalue

Typical spectral statistics of adjacency matrix of *X chromosome*  Spectral statistics of proteinprotein interaction network in *Drosophyla melanogaster* 



Typical spectral statistics of adjacency matrix of *X chromosome*  Spectral statistics of proteinprotein interaction network in *Drosophyla melanogaster*  Distributions of ratios from heterozygous single nucleotide polymorphisms data from the sequencing of a cancer genome





V. Trifonov, L. Pasqualucch, R. Dalla-Favera, R.Rabadan (Sci. Rep, 2011)

#### Spectral statistics of Schrödinger-like random operators

Consider an ensemble of two (three)-diagonal matrices

$$A_N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & x_2 & & \\ 0 & x_2 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ & & & & x_{N-1} \\ 0 & & & & x_{N-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the matrix elements are:

$$x_{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{with probability } p \\ 0 & \text{with probability } q = 1 - p \end{cases}$$

## Adjacency matrix splits into cells with the distribution $Q(D) \sim p^D (0$



Matrix  $N \ge N (N >> 1)$ 

Set of eigenvalues in the cell of size D is

$$\lambda_k(D) = -2\cos\frac{\pi k}{D+1} \quad (k = 1, ..., D)$$

Spectral density of adjacency matrix



Spectral density,  $\rho(\lambda)$ , of ensemble of random matrices is:

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{\substack{N \to \infty \\ \varepsilon \to 0}} \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{D} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_{k,D}) \right\rangle$$
$$= \lim_{\substack{N \to \infty \\ \varepsilon \to 0}} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Q_D \sum_{k=1}^{D} \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda_{k,D} - i\varepsilon}$$

Counting contributions from exponentially weighted cells, we get:

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{\substack{N \to \infty \\ \varepsilon \to 0}} \frac{1}{\pi N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p^{D} \sum_{k=1}^{D} \frac{\varepsilon}{\left(\lambda - 2\cos\frac{\pi k}{D+1}\right)^{2} + \varepsilon^{2}}$$

Lifshitz tail of the spectral density  $\rho(\lambda)$  at the spectral edge



Lifshitz tail of 1D Anderson localization

$$\rho(\lambda \to 2) \to p^{\pi/\sqrt{2-\lambda}}$$

Ultrametric organization of spectral density of random operators and number-theoretic properties of Dedekind  $\eta$ - function.

#### Definition of the ultrametric space

The pairwise distance,  $d(x_1, x_2)$  between elements  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is *ultrametric* if it meets three requirements:

• It is non-negative

 $d(x_1, x_2) > 0$  for  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $d(x_1, x_2) = 0$  for  $x_1 = x_2$ 

• It is symmetric

 $d(x_1, x_2) = d(x_2, x_1)$ 

• It obeys the strong triangle inequality,  $d(x_1, x_2) < \max\{d(x_1, x_3), d(x_3, x_2)\}$ 

instead of the ordinary triangle inequality typical for Euclidean spaces,  $d(x_1, x_2) \le d(x_1, x_3) + d(x_3, x_2)$ 

### Positions of peaks are defined by composition rules for Farey numbers (Ford circles)



Positions of peaks are defined by composition rules for Farey numbers (Ford circles)


Positions of peaks are defined by composition rules for Farey numbers (Ford circles)



Positions of peaks are defined by composition rules for Farey numbers (Ford circles)



 $\frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{p_{n-1}}{q_{n-1}} \oplus \frac{p_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}} = \frac{p_{n-1} + p_{n+1}}{q_{n-1} + q_{n+1}}$ 

Positions of peaks are defined by composition rules for Farey numbers (Ford circles)



# How to compute the amplitude of a peak (degeneracies of eigenvalues)



# How to compute the amplitude of a peak (degeneracies of eigenvalues)











$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty \atop \varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda - 2\cos\frac{\pi k}{n+1}\right)^2 + \varepsilon^2}$$

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{N \to \infty \atop \varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda - 2\cos\frac{\pi k}{n+1}\right)^2 + \varepsilon^2}$$
$$\lim_{p \to 1^-} \frac{\rho(\lambda, p)}{\sqrt{-\ln\left|\eta\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\arccos(-\lambda/2) + i\frac{(1-p)^2}{12\pi}\right)\right|}} = 1$$

$$\rho(\lambda) = \lim_{\substack{N \to \infty \\ \varepsilon \to 0}} \frac{\varepsilon}{\pi N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} p^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\left(\lambda - 2\cos\frac{\pi k}{n+1}\right)^2 + \varepsilon^2}$$

$$\lim_{\substack{p \to 1^-}} \frac{\rho(\lambda, p)}{\sqrt{-\ln\left|\eta\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\arccos(-\lambda/2) + i\frac{(1-p)^2}{12\pi}\right)\right|}} = 1$$

$$\rho(\lambda) \text{ computed via} \text{ Monte-Carlo}$$

$$\int_{0.5}^{\infty} \frac{1}{10} \int_{1.5}^{0} \frac{1}{20} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.5}^{0} \frac{1}{10} \int_{1.5}^{1} \frac{1}{20} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.5}^{0} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.5}^{0} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.5}^{0} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int_{0.5}^{0} \int_{0.0}^{0.5} \int$$

ρ<sub>lin</sub>(λ)

0.0

### Reminder: Dedekind $\eta$ -function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi i z/12} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}); \quad z = x + iy \quad (y > 0)$$
  
$$\eta(z+1) = e^{\pi i z/12} \eta(z) \qquad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sqrt{-i} \eta(z)$$

### Reminder: Dedekind $\eta$ -function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi i z/12} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}); \quad z = x + iy \quad (y > 0)$$
  
$$\eta(z+1) = e^{\pi i z/12} \eta(z) \qquad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sqrt{-i} \eta(z)$$

Define  $f(z) = \operatorname{const} |\eta(x+iy)| y^{1/4}$ 

#### Reminder: Dedekind $\eta$ -function

$$\eta(z) = e^{\pi i z/12} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}); \quad z = x + iy \quad (y > 0)$$
  
$$\eta(z+1) = e^{\pi i z/12} \eta(z) \qquad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sqrt{-i} \eta(z)$$

Define  $f(z) = \operatorname{const} |\eta(x+iy)| y^{1/4}$ 



# Discontinuous Riemann "raindrop" function and its regularization

# Discontinuous Riemann "raindrop" function and its regularization

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = \frac{m}{n}, \text{ and } (m, n) \text{ coprime} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$$

# Discontinuous Riemann "raindrop" function and its regularization

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x = \frac{m}{n}, \text{ and } (m, n) \text{ coprime} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$$

View of the Riemann function

Riemann function as an "Euclid Orchard"





Laplace transform gives:

$$\rho(N) \sim \int_0^\infty \rho(\lambda) e^{-N\lambda} d\rho \sim \varphi(N) e^{-aN - bN^{-1/3}}$$

Regularization of a normalized Riemann "raindrop" function  $f_1(x) = \left(\frac{\pi}{12\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} g(x) \qquad f_2(x) = \sqrt{-\ln|\eta(x+iy)|}$ 



#### Regularization of a normalized Riemann "raindrop" function $f_1(x) = \left(\frac{\pi}{12\varepsilon}\right)^{1/2} g(x)$ $f_2(x) = \sqrt{-\ln|\eta(x+iy)|}$ 250 200 +1/3 +2/3 0.3 150 0.25 +2/5 +3/5 02 1/ q 100 0.15 +217 +3/7 0.1 50 0.05 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.1 02 03 0.4 05 06 0.7 0.8 09 v = p/q

The "stability" diagram of Tao-Thouless Fractional Quantum Hall states [from E.J. Bergholtz et al, 2008]: the lower the disorder, the more fractions are observed

## Phyllotaxis









### Phyllotaxis



#### Energetic approach to phyllotaxis, L. Levitov, 1991

### Phyllotaxis



Energetic approach to phyllotaxis, L. Levitov, 1991



Static and Dynamical Phyllotaxis in Magnetic Cactus C. Nisoli et al, ArXiv: cond-mat/0702335 Static and Dynamical Phyllotaxis in Magnetic Cactus C. Nisoli et al, ArXiv: cond-mat/0702335



#### **Experimental setting**

Static and Dynamical Phyllotaxis in Magnetic Cactus C. Nisoli et al, ArXiv: cond-mat/0702335



Experimental setting

Hierarchical potential energy relief between states with various  $\Omega$ 



Phyllotaxis, ultrametric spectra of 1D Schrödinger operators and (maybe) FQHE: what is common? Phyllotaxis, ultrametric spectra of 1D Schrödinger operators and (maybe) FQHE: what is common?

Conjecture:

Discreteness of nature and, in particular, Riemann "raindrop" function lies behind



...however the emergence of modular symmetry in various physical systems is hidden...

We can identify the energy landscape with a metric space

$$U(x,y) \sim \sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0,0\}} e^{-\beta\left(\frac{(m+nx)^2}{y} + yn^2\right)}$$

We can identify the energy landscape with a metric space



Considering the profile U(x, y) as a function of x, we set  $d(x_1, x_2) = U_{\max}(x_1, x_2)$  where  $d(x_1, x_2)$  is the ultrametric pairwise distance

We can identify the energy landscape with a metric space

1

Considering the profile U(x, y) as a function of x, we set  $d(x_1, x_2) = U_{\max}(x_1, x_2)$  where  $d(x_1, x_2)$  is the ultrametric pairwise distance

At  $\beta >> 1$  one can approximately rewrite U(x, y) as

$$U(x,y)\Big|_{\beta\gg1}\approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}}\sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\backslash\{0,0\}}\delta\left(\frac{(m+nx)^2}{y}+yn^2\right)$$

Making use of regularization of the  $\delta$ -function, we represent the potential U(x, y) at  $\beta >> 1$  as follows:

$$U(x,y)\Big|_{\beta\gg1} = \lim_{\beta\to\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\pi}} \sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0,0\}} \frac{1}{\beta\left(\frac{(m+nx)^2}{y} + yn^2\right) + \frac{1}{\beta}}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\beta}} \sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0,0\}} \frac{1}{Q^s(m,n)}$$

where s = 1 and Q(m, n) is a positive quadratic form

$$Q(m,n) = \frac{1}{y}m^{2} + \frac{2x}{y}mn + \left(\frac{x^{2}}{y} + y\right)n^{2}$$

Making use of regularization of the  $\delta$ -function, we represent the potential U(x, y) at  $\beta >> 1$  as follows:

$$U(x,y)\Big|_{\beta\gg1} = \lim_{\beta\to\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{\pi}} \sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0,0\}} \frac{1}{\beta\left(\frac{(m+nx)^2}{y} + yn^2\right) + \frac{1}{\beta}}$$
$$\approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\beta}} \sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0,0\}} \frac{1}{Q^s(m,n)}$$

where s = 1 and Q(m, n) is a positive quadratic form

$$Q(m,n) = \frac{1}{y}m^{2} + \frac{2x}{y}mn + \left(\frac{x^{2}}{y} + y\right)n^{2}$$

Recall now the definition of the Eisenstein series

$$E(\tilde{z},s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0,0\}} \frac{\tilde{y}^s}{|m\tilde{z}+n|^{2s}}; \qquad \tilde{z} = \tilde{x}+i\tilde{y}$$
E(z, s) as a function of z, is a SL(2,Z)-invariant auto-morphic solution of the hyperbolic Laplace equation

$$-\tilde{y}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right) E(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s) = s(1-s) \ E(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s)$$

E(z, s) as a function of z, is a SL(2,Z)-invariant auto-morphic solution of the hyperbolic Laplace equation

$$-\tilde{y}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right) E(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s) = s(1-s) \ E(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s)$$

E(z, s) is related to the Epstein  $\zeta$ -function, defined as

$$\zeta(Q,s) = \sum_{\{m,n\} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0,0\}} \frac{1}{Q^s(m,n,\tilde{z})} = \frac{2}{d^{s/2}} E(\tilde{z},s)$$

where  $Q(m, n, \tilde{z}) = a(\tilde{z})m^2 + 2b(\tilde{z})mn + c(\tilde{z})n^2$ 

E(z, s) as a function of z, is a SL(2,Z)-invariant auto-morphic solution of the hyperbolic Laplace equation

$$-\tilde{y}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{x}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tilde{y}^2}\right) E(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s) = s(1-s) \ E(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, s)$$

E(z, s) is related to the Epstein  $\zeta$ -function, defined as

$$\zeta(Q,s) = \sum_{\{m,n\}\in\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\{0,0\}} \frac{1}{Q^s(m,n,\tilde{z})} = \frac{2}{d^{s/2}} E(\tilde{z},s)$$

where  $Q(m, n, \tilde{z}) = a(\tilde{z})m^2 + 2b(\tilde{z})mn + c(\tilde{z})n^2$ 

We can make now an identification

$$\frac{1}{y} = a, \quad \frac{x}{y} = b, \quad \frac{x^2}{y} + y = c, \quad d = ac - b^2 = 1.$$
$$z = \frac{-b + i\sqrt{d}}{a} \Rightarrow (\tilde{x} = -\frac{b}{a}, \quad \tilde{y} = \frac{\sqrt{d}}{a} = \frac{1}{a})$$

## Kronecker 1<sup>st</sup> limit formula

The residue of  $\zeta(Q, s)$  at s = 1 is known as 1<sup>st</sup> Kronecker limit formula

$$\begin{split} \zeta(Q,s) &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{d}} \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{d}} \left( \gamma + \ln \sqrt{\frac{a}{4d}} - 2\ln |\eta(z)| \right) + O(s-1) \\ \text{where} \quad \eta(z) &= e^{\pi i z/12} \prod_{,n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}) \quad \text{is the Dedekind eta-function} \\ \eta(z+1) &= e^{\pi i z/12} \eta(z) \quad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sqrt{-i} \eta(z) \end{split}$$

#### Kronecker 1<sup>st</sup> limit formula

The residue of  $\zeta(Q, s)$  at s = 1 is known as 1<sup>st</sup> Kronecker limit formula

$$\begin{split} \zeta(Q,s) &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{d}} \frac{1}{s-1} + \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{d}} \left( \gamma + \ln \sqrt{\frac{a}{4d}} - 2\ln |\eta(z)| \right) + O(s-1) \\ \text{where} \quad \eta(z) &= e^{\pi i z/12} \prod_{,n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i n z}) \quad \text{is the Dedekind eta-function} \\ \eta(z+1) &= e^{\pi i z/12} \eta(z) \quad \eta\left(-\frac{1}{z}\right) = \sqrt{-i} \eta(z) \end{split}$$

Dropping the divergent at  $s \rightarrow 1$  term, we get for the ultrametric potential U(x, y):

$$U(x,y) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} \left(\frac{\pi}{s-1} + 2\pi\gamma - \ln 2 - 4\pi \ln h(x,y)\right)$$

where  $h(x,y)=y^{1/4}|\eta(x+iy)|$ 

Relief of the function



Relief of the potential

Relief of the function



The *longest open geodesic* is the largest horocycle defined by the equation

$$\left(x+\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + y^2 = \frac{5}{4}$$

Relief of the potential

To proceed with regularization of a Riemann function, return to the "phyllotaxis potential" and assess U(x, y) at small y:

$$U(x, y \to 0)\Big|_{\beta \gg 1} \approx \frac{1}{y\sqrt{\beta\pi}} \sum_{\{m,n\} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0,0\}} \frac{y^2}{(m+nx)^2 + y^2 n^2}$$
$$\approx \frac{2}{y\sqrt{\beta\pi}} \sum_{m=1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \delta\left(x - \frac{m}{n}\right)$$

To proceed with regularization of a Riemann function, return to the "phyllotaxis potential" and assess U(x, y) at small y:

$$U(x, y \to 0)\Big|_{\beta \gg 1} \approx \frac{1}{y\sqrt{\beta\pi}} \sum_{\{m,n\} \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0,0\}} \frac{y^2}{(m+nx)^2 + y^2 n^2}$$
$$\approx \frac{2}{y\sqrt{\beta\pi}} \sum_{m=1} \sum_{n=1} \frac{1}{n^2} \delta\left(x - \frac{m}{n}\right)$$

Comparing with the Riemann function, we get

$$\frac{\pi}{12y}g^2(x) = -\ln|\eta(x+iy)|_{y\to 0} + O(\ln y)$$

and, finally

$$g(x) \rightarrow \sqrt{-\frac{12y}{\pi} \ln |\eta(x+iy)|} \bigg|_{y \rightarrow 0}$$