

Flat Coalgebraic Fixed Point Logics

Lutz Schröder^{1,2} Yde Venema³

¹Safe and Secure Cognitive Systems, DFKI Bremen

²Department of Computer Science, Universität Bremen

³ILLC, Universiteit van Amsterdam

IFIP WG 1.3 Meeting, Etelsen, July 2010



Modal logics are a central logical tool in computer science and AI:

- ▶ Applications e.g. to
 - ▶ reactive systems
 - ▶ **knowledge representation**
 - ▶ multi-agent systems
- ▶ May be tailored to offer the **right** expressive means for a given domain
- ▶ Often have good **computational** properties (unlike, e.g., FOL/HOL)

- ▶ Large variety of **domain-specific logics** of different syntax, semantics, and complexity
- ▶ Coalgebra acts as a **unifying semantic theory** of modal logic and supports
 - ▶ generic complete deduction systems
 - ▶ generic decidability results
 - ▶ generic **algorithms** and **complexity bounds**
 - ▶ generic implementations
 - ▶ systematic logic design

Modal logic extends (classical) propositional logic with additional operators, e.g.

$$\phi ::= \perp \mid p \mid \neg\phi \mid \phi_1 \wedge \phi_2 \mid \boxed{\phi} \quad (p \in P)$$

with $\boxed{\phi}$ read e.g. ‘necessarily ϕ ’
(dually: $\diamond\phi := \neg\boxed{\neg\phi}$ ‘possibly ϕ ’)

Modal logic is a **logic of relational structures**:

- ▶ Models $((X, R), V)$, where
 - ▶ (X, R) is a **Kripke frame**, i.e. $R \subseteq X \times X$
 - ▶ V is a **valuation** $P \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$.
- ▶ Satisfaction is per **state** $x \in X$

$$x \models \boxed{\phi} \text{ iff } \forall y. xRy \Rightarrow y \models \phi.$$

$\boxed{}$ satisfies **normality**:

$$\boxed{\top} \quad \boxed{(a \wedge b)} \leftrightarrow (\boxed{a} \wedge \boxed{b})$$

- ▶ Temporal logics
 - ▶ $\Box\phi =: G\phi =$ 'Generally/Forever ϕ '
- ▶ Epistemic logics
 - ▶ $\Box\phi =$ 'I know that ϕ '
- ▶ Logics of Belief
- ▶ Standard Deontic Logic
 - ▶ $\Box\phi =: O\phi =$ 'It is obligatory that ϕ '
- ▶ Description logic
 - ▶ $\text{Mother} = \text{Woman} \wedge \exists \text{hasChild. T}$

- ▶ **Graded** modal logic: $\Box_k \phi =$ 'with at most k exceptions ϕ '
 - ▶ Does have relational semantics, but better: multigraphs $\bullet \xrightarrow{n} \bullet$
- ▶ **Probabilistic** modal logic: $L_p \phi =$ 'with probability $\geq p$, ϕ '
- ▶ **Agent** logics $E_a \phi =$ 'agent a brings it about that ϕ '

$$\neg E_a \top$$

- ▶ **Deontic** logics for dilemmas:

$$O \neg \text{leave} \wedge O \text{leave} \not\rightarrow O \text{suicide}$$

- ▶ **Conditional** logic: $a \Rightarrow b$ 'if a , then normally b '

$$(\text{monday} \Rightarrow \text{bus}) \not\rightarrow (\text{monday} \wedge \text{strike} \Rightarrow \text{bus})$$

Neighbourhood semantics:

- ▶ Models (X, R, V) where
 - ▶ (X, R) **neighbourhood frame**, i.e.

$$R \subseteq X \times \mathcal{P}(X)$$

- ▶ $x \models \Box\phi$ iff $xR[[\phi]]$ where $[[\phi]] = \{y \in X \mid y \models \phi\}$.

This does cover nearly everything, but

- ▶ is unintuitive
 - ▶ does not capture the intended semantics
 - ▶ and in fact gives up nearly all semantic structure
 - ▶ is often unsuitable for metatheory and efficient reasoning.
- Look for a **general** framework that **retains** semantic structure

- ▶ Frames are \mathcal{P} -coalgebras

$$\xi : X \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{Functor}}(X)$$

- ▶ Frames are \mathcal{P} -coalgebras

$$\xi : X \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{Functor}}(X)$$

- ▶ $x \models \Box\phi$ iff

$$\xi(x) \in \{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid A \subseteq \llbracket \phi \rrbracket\}$$

- ▶ Frames are \mathcal{P} -coalgebras

$$\xi : X \rightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{Functor}}(X)$$

- ▶ $x \models \Box\phi$ iff

$$\xi(x) \in \{A \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid A \subseteq \llbracket \phi \rrbracket\} =: \underbrace{\llbracket \Box \rrbracket}_x(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$$

Predicate Lifting

Modal Logic, Version 3: Coalgebraic Modal Logic



- ▶ General **modal similarity types** (collections of modal operators)
- ▶ Abstract over the **type of systems**:
 - ▶ Set functor (parametrised datatype) $T : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$
 - ▶ Systems = **T -coalgebras**

$$\xi : X \rightarrow TX$$

- ▶ Abstract over the **interpretation of modal operators L** :
 - ▶ **Predicate liftings** $\llbracket L \rrbracket_X : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(TX)$, natural in X
 - ▶ $x \models L\phi$ iff

$$\xi(x) \in \llbracket L \rrbracket_X(\llbracket \phi \rrbracket)$$

Logic	Systems	Syntax	Functor
Normal modal logics	Kripke frames	$\Box\phi$	powerset $\mathcal{P}(X)$
Probabilistic modal logics	Markov chains	$L_p\phi$ $\sum a_i P(\phi_i) \geq b$	distributions $D(X)$
Graded modal logics	Multigraphs	$\geq nR.\phi$ $\sum a_i \#(\phi_i) \geq b$	multisets $\mathcal{B}(X) = X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_\infty$
Conditional logics	Conditional frames	$\phi \Rightarrow \psi$	selection functions $\mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$
Classical modal logics	Neighbourhood frames	$\Box\phi$	neighbourhoods $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$
Coalition logic	Game frames	$[C]\phi$	Games $\exists(S_i). (\prod S_i \rightarrow X)$

(Schröder/Pattinson/Cirstea/Kurz/Venema et al. 2004–2008)

(Alur et al. JACM 2002)

- ▶ Signature: $[C]$ for $C \subseteq N$ **coalition**
 - ▶ $[C]\phi$ ‘ C can force ϕ in the next step’
- ▶ **Temporal operators** such as $\langle\langle C \rangle\rangle F\phi$ ‘ C can eventually force ϕ ’, e.g.
 - ▶ $\langle\langle\{a\}\rangle\rangle F$ access
‘Agent a can access some resource autonomously’
 - ▶ $\langle\langle\{a, s\}\rangle\rangle F$ access
‘Agent a can access some resource in collaboration with server s ’

▶ Functor:

$$F(X) = \exists S_1, \dots, S_N. \left(\prod_{i \in N} S_i \right) \rightarrow X$$

▶ Predicate liftings

$$\llbracket [C] \rrbracket_X(A) = \{f \in F(X) \mid \exists \sigma_C. \forall \sigma_{N-C}. f(\sigma_C, \sigma_{N-C}) \in A\}$$

One-step logic: V set of prop. var., $\Sigma(V) = \{La \mid a \in V, L \in \Sigma\}$.

Given $\tau : V \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$, interpret

- ▶ propositional formulas φ over V as $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \tau \subseteq X$
- ▶ propositional formulas ψ over $\Sigma(V)$ as $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket \tau \subseteq TX$ by

$$\llbracket La \rrbracket \tau = \llbracket L \rrbracket_X \tau(a)$$

One-step rules $\frac{\varphi}{\psi}$ over V :

φ propositional over V

ψ clause over $\Sigma(V)$

φ/ψ **one-step sound** if $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket \tau = X \implies \llbracket \psi \rrbracket \tau = TX$.

$$\frac{A \rightarrow C \quad C \rightarrow B}{A \rightarrow B}$$

$$\frac{A \rightarrow C \quad C \rightarrow B}{A \rightarrow B}$$

— not so good

$$\frac{A \rightarrow C \quad C \rightarrow B}{A \rightarrow B}$$

— **not so good** for proof search.

A set \mathcal{R} of one-step rules is **one-step cut-free complete** (OSCC) if for every clause χ over $\Sigma(V)$,

$$\llbracket \chi \rrbracket \tau = TX \implies \exists \varphi / \psi \in \mathcal{R}, \sigma : V \rightarrow V.$$

$$\llbracket \varphi \sigma \rrbracket \tau = X, \quad \psi \sigma \text{ contracted}, \quad \psi \sigma \subseteq \chi.$$

- ▶ \mathcal{R} one-step cut-free complete $\iff \mathcal{R}$ **absorbs cut and contraction**
- ▶ OSCC rule sets
 - ▶ induce model constructions, in which states are **demands**, i.e. conj. clauses of conclusions of dual **tableau rules** $\bar{\psi} / \bar{\varphi}$
 - ▶ yield cut-free complete modal deduction systems \rightarrow **proof search**

(Schröder/Pattinson LICS 06, ACM TOCL 09; Pattinson/Schröder I&C)

K (\Box with Kripke semantics):

$$\frac{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_i \rightarrow b}{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Box a_i \rightarrow \Box b} \quad (n \geq 0)$$

Alternating-time logic:

$$\frac{\bigvee_{i=1}^n \neg a_j}{\bigvee_{i=1}^n \neg [C_j] a_j} \quad \frac{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_j \rightarrow (b \vee \bigvee_{j=1}^m c_j)}{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n [C_j] a_j \rightarrow ([D] b \vee \bigvee_{j=1}^m [N] c_j)} \quad (m, n \geq 0, C_j \subseteq D, C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j)$$

K (\Box with Kripke semantics):

$$\frac{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_i \rightarrow b}{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Box a_i \rightarrow \Box b} \quad (n \geq 0)$$

Alternating-time logic:

$$\frac{\bigvee_{i=1}^n \neg a_j}{\bigvee_{i=1}^n \neg [C_j] a_j} \quad \frac{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_j \rightarrow (b \vee \bigvee_{j=1}^m c_j)}{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n [C_j] a_j \rightarrow ([D] b \vee \bigvee_{j=1}^m [M] c_j)} \quad (m, n \geq 0, C_j \subseteq D, C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j)$$

- ▶ PSPACE for next-step-logics
- ▶ PSPACE for coalgebraic hybrid logic
- ▶ EXPTIME for coalgebraic description logics (i.e. with TBoxes)
- ▶ **Completeness and EXPTIME global caching for flat fixed point logics** via \mathcal{O} -adjointness (Schröder/Venema 2010)
 - ▶ **Alternating μ -calculus** (Alur et al. 2002)
 - ▶ **Graded μ -calculus** (Kupferman et al. 2002)

Flat fixed point operators

$$\sharp_{\gamma}(\varphi) \equiv \mu x. \gamma(\varphi, x)$$

$$\flat_{\gamma}(\varphi) \equiv \nu x. \gamma(\varphi, x) \quad (\gamma \text{ modal})$$

→ fragments of single-variable coalgebraic μ -calculus.

E.g.

- ▶ CTL: $AF\varphi = \sharp_{p \vee \square x} \varphi$
- ▶ $\flat_{p \wedge \square \square x}$ not in CTL*
- ▶ ATL: $\langle\langle C \rangle\rangle F\phi = \sharp_{p \vee [C] x} \phi$
- ▶ Graded μ -calculus (Kupferman et al. 2002):

$$\sharp_{p \vee \diamond_2 x} \phi$$

‘the current state is the root of a binary tree whose leaves satisfy ϕ ’.

Briefly: ' $\#_{\gamma}(\phi)$ is a least fixed point', i.e.:

Unfolding:

$$\#_{\gamma}\phi \leftrightarrow \gamma(\phi, \#_{\gamma}\phi)$$

Fixed-point induction:

$$\frac{\gamma(\phi, \chi) \rightarrow \chi}{\#_{\gamma}(\phi) \rightarrow \chi}$$

Are these complete?

- ▶ Do imply that $\#_{\gamma}(\phi)$ is a least fixed point in the **Lindenbaum algebra**

- ▶ Show **constructivity** of the Lindenbaum algebra:

$$\#_{\gamma}(\varphi) = \bigvee_{i < \omega} \gamma(\varphi)^i(\perp)$$

via **\mathcal{O} -adjointness** of $\gamma(\varphi)$: for all ψ there is a **finite** set $G_{\gamma(\varphi)}(\psi)$ s.t.

$$\gamma(\varphi, \rho) \leq \psi \iff \rho \leq \chi \quad \text{for some } \chi \in G_{\gamma(\varphi)}(\psi)$$

- ▶ Constructivity implies

$$\#_{\gamma}\varphi \wedge \psi \text{ consistent} \implies \gamma(\varphi)^i(\perp) \wedge \psi \text{ consistent for some } i < \omega.$$

- ▶ Tableau construction with **time-outs**

- ▶ Unfolding & guardedness: w.l.o.g. the top level of every formula is modal
- ▶ **Rigidity lemma**: w.l.o.g. proofs of modal clauses end in modal one-step rules

Example: Adjointness of \Box . Recall rule:

$$\frac{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n a_i \rightarrow b}{\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \Box a_i \rightarrow \Box b} \quad (n \geq 0)$$

Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \Box \rho \leq \psi &= \Box \psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \Box \psi_n \\ \iff \vdash \Box \rho \rightarrow \Box \psi_1 \vee \dots \vee \Box \psi_n \\ \iff \vdash \rho \rightarrow \psi_i \quad \text{for some } i \end{aligned}$$

Thus put $G_{\Box x}(\psi) = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n\}$

- ▶ Coalgebra provides a **uniform framework** for modal and hybrid logics
 - ▶ Graded operators (knowledge representation, redundancy)
 - ▶ Probabilistic operators (quantitative uncertainty, reactive systems)
 - ▶ Conditional operators (nonmonotonic reasoning)
 - ▶ Alternating-time logics, game logic, logics of agency (multi-agent systems)
- ▶ **One-step cut-free complete rule sets** are an important tool
- ▶ Completeness (and EXPTIME global caching) for **flat coalgebraic fixed point logics**
 - ▶ Graded μ -calculus
 - ▶ Alternating-time μ -calculus (e.g. ATL: Goranko/van Drimmelen TCS 2006)

- ▶ Alternation-free coalgebraic μ -calculus
- ▶ Emerson/Halpern style fragment method
- ▶ Manydimensional coalgebraic logics
 - ▶ e.g. random Kripke models

$$\mu \in D(X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X))$$

→ Prob- \mathcal{ALC} (Lutz/Schröder KR 2010)

- ▶ Vision: generic, efficient modular reasoning tools
 - ▶ CoLoSS (<http://www.informatik.uni-bremen.de/cofi/CoLoSS/>)