

New results on the Non-Structural Subtype Entailment Problem

Flavien BREUVART, Vincent PENELLE, Jakob REHOF

November 7th 2019

Naive polymorphism

We fix a set of monomorphic types

We only consider \mathbb{T}_μ and \mathbb{T}_ν that are the the inductives and coinductives fixpoints over the grammar

$$s, t := \perp \mid \top \mid s \times t \mid s \rightarrow t$$

Polymorphic type

A polymorphic type \mathbb{P} is an inductive type over the grammar :

$$s, t := u \mid \perp \mid \top \mid s \times t \mid s \rightarrow t$$

for $u, v..$ over a set of types variables.

Order on polymorphic types

A sequent $s \leq t$ is true iff for all instanciations $\rho : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, $s\rho \leq t\rho$.

Remark : different orders for \mathbb{T}_μ and \mathbb{T}_ν , we consider \mathbb{T}_ν that is slightly easier to deal with

A non extensible notion of type judgement

You can't use new types operators

We have :

$$(\perp \rightarrow T) \rightarrow \perp \leq (u \rightarrow \perp) \vee u$$

Either $u = T$ or a product and $(u \rightarrow \perp) \vee u = T$,
or u is a function and $\perp \rightarrow T \geq u$ as $\perp \rightarrow T$ is above all functions.

This is not true anymore if we add a type that is not between $\perp \rightarrow T$ and T such as a types for effectful functions.

Why am I interested in the subject

Resources are preexisting

The algebras of resources we are trying to approximate are fixed.

We want to be able to use every available arguments to specify them.

Resources never structural

Our order represent our knowledge on the used resource...

However, unresourced types should be vanilla ML.

The real reason : Jakob challenged me :p

The real real reason : I needed to understand subtilities of subtype entailment

The NSSE problem (TLCA LOOP 16)

In order to apply type inference, one not only have to decide the order relation, but have to decide it over a context.

The Non-Structural subtype Entailment (NSSE) problem

Given a set of polymorphic inequation $\psi = (t_1 \leq s_1, \dots, t_n \leq s_n)$, and a specific inequation $t \leq s$, do we have :

$$\forall \rho, \quad \rho \Vdash (t_1 \leq s_1 \text{ and } \dots \text{ and } t_n \leq s_n) \Rightarrow t \leq s$$

we use for notation $\psi \vdash t \leq s$

Theorem [Henglein&Rehof98]
NSSE is PSpace hard

Open [Pottier 1996]
Is NSSE decidable ?

Restricting to the product and simple equations

simple equations

Simple equations are of the form $x \leq y$, $x \odot y \leq z$ or $x \leq y \odot z$ for x, y, z variables or \perp or \top and for \odot either \times or \rightarrow .

Equations can always be reformulated

for free

$\vdash (\perp \rightarrow \top) \rightarrow \perp \leq (v \rightarrow \perp) \vee v$ can be reformulated as

$$x \leq u \rightarrow \perp, \quad \perp \rightarrow \top \leq u, \quad v \leq y, \quad v \rightarrow \perp \leq y \quad \vdash x \leq y$$

In fact the product restriction is already complex

$$x \leq y \times \perp, \quad z \times y \leq y, \quad z \times \top \leq z \quad \vdash x \leq y$$

real restriction

From now on : only product and simple equations

We also require $x \leq y$ as conclusion and no $u \leq v$ as hypothesis.

The NSSE(\times) problem

Theorem [Niehren&Priesnitz03] : NSSE(\times) is PSpace hard

Theorem [N&P03] :
A PSpace restriction

restrict $\phi \in \text{Constr}(\times)$ with
no appearance of \perp or \top

Our result :
A 2-ExpTime restriction

restrict $\phi \in \text{Constr}(\times)$ with
no appearance of $\perp \times \perp$ or $\top \times \top$.

Alternatively, our proof also work if we consider a type system that equates $\top = \top \times \top$ and $\perp = \perp \times \perp$.

Priesnitz's thesis : translation to cap languages

Capping operation on languages

$$R^o := \{u \in \text{prefix}(v^\omega) \mid v \in R\}$$

Priesnitz' reduction

NSSE(\times) reduces to the

$$\text{Universality of } \sum_i R_i S_i^o$$

for $(R_i, S_i)_i$ rational

More precisely

The two following are equireducible :

- Universality of $\sum_i (R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq}) + K$
given $(R_i, S_i)_i$ rationals and K rational and suffix-close.
- $\phi \vdash x \leq y$ given ϕ

Niehren and Priesnitz' idea in 5 steps

Step 1 : Decomposing into two symmetric properties

$\phi \vdash x \leq y$ iff for all ρ satisfying ϕ , and all path $\pi \in \{1,2\}^*$,

1. if there is a \top along $\rho(x).\pi$, there is one along $\rho(y).\pi$,
2. if there is a \perp along $\rho(y).\pi$, there is one along $\rho(x).\pi$.

Step 2 : Constructibility + double negation

the condition (1.) is true iff for all path $\pi \in \{1,2\}^*$:

- 1a. when there is no proof (using ψ) that $y.\pi$ can reach a \top ,
- 1b. then there is no proof (using ψ) that $x.\pi$ can reach a \top .

Step 3 : Safety and reachability conditions

the condition (1.) is true **if** for all path $\pi \in \{1,2\}^*$:

- 1a. either \top is always reached from y following π .
- 1b. or π is safe from having a \top starting from x ,
- 1b'. or $x.\pi$ and $y.\pi$ can be shown equal

Niehren and Priesnitz' idea in 5 steps

Step 4 : an additional safety condition

the condition (1.) is true **iff** for all path $\pi \in \{1,2\}^*$:

- 1a. either \top is always reached from y following π .
- 1b. or π is safe from having a \top starting from x ,
- 1b'. or $x.\pi$ and $y.\pi$ reach a point where they are equal,
- 1b''. or starting from some point $x.\pi$ visit the same path as $y.\pi$ with some delay.

Step 5 : Build your cap-automata out of it

Example on $x \leq y \times \perp, z \times y \leq y, z \times \top \leq z \vdash x \leq y$

Decidable Variants

[Niehren& Priesnitz' 99]

The two following are equivalent :

- $\phi \vdash x \leq y$ for any ϕ with no appearance of \perp or \top .
- universality of $\sum_i (R_i S_i)^\leq$ for $(R_i, S_i)_i$ rationals,

no cap

The variant that we use

- $\phi \vdash x \leq y$ for any ϕ with no appearance of $\perp \times \perp$ or $\top \times \top$,
- universality of $\sum_i (R_i S_i^\circ + R_i^\leq) + K$ for $(R_i, S_i)_i$ rationals and K rational, suffix-close and **saturated in the sense that $\omega \in K$ whenever $\omega a \in K$ for every a .**

Idea : looking at the infinity

Büchi completion

$$\bar{L} := \{\mu \in \Sigma^\omega \mid u \in L \text{ for infinitely many } u \preceq \mu\}$$

Fundamental property

$$\overline{RS^o} = \overline{RS} + \sum_{u \in S} Ru^\omega$$

Idea

\overline{RS} has wide holes

$\sum_{u \in S} Ru^\omega$ is very sparse

Idea : looking at the infinity

Idea

\overline{RS} has wide holes

$\sum_{u \in S} Ru^\omega$ is very sparse

Can be formalised into

There is (constructively) a finite set $F \subseteq S$, such that $\overline{RS^o}$ is universal iff $\overline{RS} + \sum_{u \in F} Ru^\omega$ is universal.

Can be extended to

There is (constructively) finite sets $(F_i \subseteq S_i)$, such that $\overline{\sum_i (R_i S_i^o) + K}$ is universal iff $\overline{\sum_i RS_i + K} + \sum_i \sum_{u \in F_i} R_i u^\omega$ is universal.

University of Büchi automaton is PSpace

Idea : looking at the infinity

Idea

\overline{RS} has wide holes

$\sum_{u \in S} Ru^\omega$ is very sparse

Can be formalised into

There is (constructively) a finite set $F \subseteq S$, such that $\overline{RS^o}$ is universal iff $\overline{RS} + \sum_{u \in F} Ru^\omega$ is universal.

Can be extended to

There is (constructively) finite sets $(F_i \subseteq S_i)$, such that $\overline{\sum_i (R_i S_i^o) + K}$ is universal iff $\overline{\sum_i RS_i + K} + \sum_i \sum_{u \in F_i} R_i u^\omega$ is universal.

University of Büchi automaton is PSpace

white lie

The issue : how to come back ?

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq}) + K \text{ universal} \\
 \Rightarrow & \overline{\Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq}) + K} \text{ universal} \\
 \text{iff} & \overline{\Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq}) + \bar{K}} \text{ universal} \\
 \text{iff} & \bar{K}^c \subseteq \overline{\Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq})} \\
 \text{iff} & \text{pref}(\bar{K}^c) \subseteq \text{pref}(\overline{\Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq})}) \\
 \Rightarrow & \text{pref}(\bar{K}^c) \subseteq \Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq}) \\
 \Rightarrow & K^c \subseteq \Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq}) \\
 \text{iff} & \Sigma_i(R_i S_i^o + R_i^{\leq}) + K \text{ universal}
 \end{aligned}$$

Condition for
 $\text{pref}(\bar{A}) \subseteq A$

Equivalent to A prefix close

Condition for
 $K^c \subseteq \text{pref}(\bar{K}^c)$

Equivalent to K saturated

And with the arrow ?

The generalisation seems feasible but very technical.

Only the arrow

1st issue: the dualities that have to be reported on the automaton.

2nd issue: the cap-links have to be slightly generalised.

Both together

1st issue : Automaton on a for letter alphabet

2nd issue : cases where $* \rightarrow * \leq u \geq * \times *$ have to be tracked.

Removing the saturation

Another Decidable restriction from Priesnitz

Condition : the cap automaton is "deterministic"... Which is very restrictive (and false as stated in the thesis). But there are some interesting ideas.

Look for a more abstract completion/topology

I already tried to use profinite topologies, but it did not work.
Maybe a combination with the actual result ? Or a kind of variant ?