Collegium Urbis Nov Eborac \rightarrow Labo S'Informatique Paris Nors

Algebraic area enumeration for lattice paths

Work (mostly) with Stéphane Ouvry and (lately) Li Gan

 $arX\,iv\,:1908.00990,\,\,2103.15827,\,\,2105.14042,\,\,2107.10851,\,\,2110.06235,\,\,2110.09394$

February 8, 2022

A physicists' random walk in combinatorics

What's this talk really about?

- Exploring the combinatorics of various types of random walks
- Using approach that trades combinatorial ingenuity for algebraic dexterity
- Using physics perspective and results to derive combinatorial quantities
- Receiving feedback from combinatorics community

Basic setup

The basic playground: random walks on various lattices

- Sort them by length and area
- Package them in Generating (aka Partition) Functions

Basic setup

The basic playground: random walks on various lattices

- Sort them by length and area
- Package them in Generating (aka Partition) Functions

Basic steps and techniques

- Map process to a Matrix (Hamiltonian)
- Derive matrix-based expressions for generating functions
- Evaluate them using physics techniques (and bias):
 - -Exclusion statistics
 - -Bosonization
 - -Cluster coefficients

Basic setup

The basic playground: random walks on various lattices

- Sort them by length and area
- Package them in Generating (aka Partition) Functions

Basic steps and techniques

- Map process to a Matrix (Hamiltonian)
- Derive matrix-based expressions for generating functions
- Evaluate them using physics techniques (and bias):
 - -Exclusion statistics
 - -Bosonization
 - -Cluster coefficients
- See if either Mathematicians or Physicists care

Combinatorics Diffusion, Adsorption q-Hypergeometric functions Phase transitions

Types of paths

• Random walks on planar lattices



Square

Triangular

Honeycomb

Algebraic area can be positive or negative

• Forward-moving paths on lattices



Case study on the plane: square lattice closed walks

Basic device: the "quantum torus"

• Associate steps in each direction with operators and consider the Hamiltonian $H = u + u^{\dagger} + v + v^{\dagger}$ $\rightarrow \leftarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow$

[Related to symbolic methods, (Flajolet & Sedgewick)]

- If {u, u[†], v, v[†]} is a free algebra each monomial in H^ℓ represents a unique walk
- H^ℓ generates all walks of length ℓ from a fixed origin

Case study on the plane: square lattice closed walks

Basic device: the "quantum torus"

• Associate steps in each direction with operators and consider the Hamiltonian $H = u + u^{\dagger} + v + v^{\dagger}$

[Related to symbolic methods, (Flajolet & Sedgewick)]

- If {u, u[†], v, v[†]} is a free algebra each monomial in H^ℓ represents a unique walk
- H^{ℓ} generates all walks of length ℓ from a fixed origin
- If, instead, we impose

$$vv^{\dagger} = v^{\dagger}v = uu^{\dagger} = u^{\dagger}u = 1$$
, $v = Q u v$
then $H^{\ell} = \sum_{A} C_{\ell;m,n}(A) Q^{A}v^{n}u^{m}$

 $C_{\ell;m,n}(A)$: # walks from (0,0) to (m, n) of length ℓ and area A between the walk and horizontal axis

$$\checkmark$$
 = $v^{\dagger}u^{\dagger}vu$ = Q elementary plaquette

The total number of closed walks of area A is $C_{\ell;0,0}(A)$ and the area generating functions is

$$\mathcal{G}_\ell(\mathrm{Q}) = \sum_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{C}_{\ell;0,0} \; \mathcal{Q}^{\mathcal{A}}$$

- Need to extract term $v^0 u^0$ in H^ℓ
- Define the "trace" mapping

$$\operatorname{Tr}(v^{n}u^{m}) = \delta_{n,0} \, \delta_{m,0}$$

Then $G_{\ell}(\mathbf{Q}) = \operatorname{Tr} H^{\ell}$

• Main task: calculate the formal trace $\operatorname{Tr} H^{\ell}$ effectively

Matrices to the rescue

For Q = e^{iφ} u, v can be realized as unitary operators
If Q = e^{i2πp/q} (p, q coprime) the algebra vu = Quv has a single q-dimensional unitary irreducible representation

$$u = e^{ik_x} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & Q^{q-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & Q^{q-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
("clock" matrix)
$$v = e^{ik_y} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
("shift" matrix)
$$with Casimirs: u^q = e^{iqk_x}, v^q = e^{iqk_y}$$

Fysics Fun Facts:

- Hamiltonian of an electron hopping on the square lattice in a constant normal magnetic field: Hofstadter model (1976)
- $p/q = \phi/\phi_0$ is the flux per lattice cell measured in units of the elementary flux quantum $\phi_0 = \hbar/e$
- *u*, *v* are noncommuting "magnetic translation operators"

Fysics Fun Facts:

- Hamiltonian of an electron hopping on the square lattice in a constant normal magnetic field: Hofstadter model (1976)
- $p/q = \phi/\phi_0$ is the flux per lattice cell measured in units of the elementary flux quantum $\phi_0 = \hbar/e$
- *u*, *v* are noncommuting "magnetic translation operators"
- The energy spectrum of the particle (eigenvalues of H) as a function of the flux becomes fractal: "Hofstadter butterfly"



Back to our purpose: Tr H^{ℓ}

The ordinary (matrix) trace of u, v is

$$\operatorname{tr}(v^{n}u^{m}) = q \sum_{s,t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{isqk_{x}+itqk_{y}} \delta_{m,sq} \,\delta_{n,tq}$$

and for |m|, |n| < q, tr $(v^n u^m) = \delta_{m,0} \, \delta_{n,0}$ So for $\ell < q$: Tr $H^\ell = rac{1}{q} \operatorname{tr} H^\ell$

(For $\ell \geq q$: tr H^{ℓ} includes paths closing on a q-periodic lattice)

Back to our purpose: Tr H^{ℓ}

The ordinary (matrix) trace of u, v is

$$\operatorname{tr}(v^{n}u^{m}) = q \sum_{s,t=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{isqk_{x}+itqk_{y}} \delta_{m,sq} \,\delta_{n,tq}$$

and for
$$|m|, |n| < q$$
, tr $(v^n u^m) = \delta_{m,0} \, \delta_{n,0}$
So for $\ell < q$: Tr $H^\ell = rac{1}{q}$ tr H^ℓ

(For $\ell \geq q$: tr H^{ℓ} includes paths closing on a q-periodic lattice) Define the secular determinant

$$\det(1 - zH) = 1 - z \operatorname{tr} H + \frac{z^2}{2} \left[(\operatorname{tr} H)^2 - \operatorname{tr} H^2 \right] + \cdots$$

so
$$\ln \det(1-zH) = \operatorname{tr} \ln(1-zH) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \operatorname{tr} H^n$$

Finishing touch

- Automorphism $u \rightarrow -uv$ preserves algebra (more on that later)
- Hamiltonian becomes 2-paradiagonal (0 diagonal)
- Putting Casimirs $u^q = v^q = 1$ the secular matrix becomes

$$1-zH = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (Q-1)z & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ (\frac{1}{Q}-1)z & 1 & (Q^2-1)z & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{Q^2}-1)z & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & (Q^{q-1}-1)z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & (\frac{1}{Q^{q-1}}-1)z & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• 1-zH becomes special 3-diagonal

Save it in the fridge and move on to another type of walks

Case study of forward-moving walks: Dyck paths



$$H = UV + V^{\dagger}U$$

Case study of forward-moving walks: Dyck paths



• No lattice points below 0 or $k \Rightarrow V^{k+1} = V^{\dagger^{k+1}} = 0$

• V⁻¹ does not exist;

Case study of forward-moving walks: Dyck paths



• No lattice points below 0 or $k \Rightarrow V^{k+1} = V^{\dagger^{k+1}} = 0$

• V^{-1} does not exist; $\mathrm{Q} o q$ (real) and $(k{+}1){ imes}(k{+}1)$ matrices

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & q^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$VU = q UV, \quad VV^{\dagger} = 1 - |k\rangle\langle k|, \quad V^{\dagger}V = 1 - |0\rangle\langle 0|$$

$$H = q^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & q^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & q^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (adds sierra)

• "States" in (k+1)-dim space correspond to vertical position

- $\langle m | H | n \rangle = H_{mn}$: step from height *m* to *n* weighted by area
- Hamiltonian is the transition matrix of the path

$$H = q^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & q & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q & 0 & q^2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & q^{k-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & q^{k-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (adds sierra)

• "States" in (k+1)-dim space correspond to vertical position

• $\langle m | H | n
angle = H_{mn}$: step from height m to n weighted by area

• Hamiltonian is the transition matrix of the path

Define full length and area generating function

$$\begin{array}{ll} G_{k,mn}(z,q) & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\mathsf{paths}} N(\ell,A;m,n) z^{\ell} q^{A} \\ & = & \displaystyle\sum_{\ell} z^{\ell} \left< m \right| H^{\ell} \left| n \right> = \left< m \right| (1-zH)^{-1} \left| n \right> \end{array}$$

(no secular determinant yet, but...)

...Voilà!
$$\langle m | (1 - zH)^{-1} | n \rangle = \frac{\det (1 - zH)_{(mn)}}{\det (1 - zH)}$$
 (mn-minor)

First real use of matrices: because of special structure of H, minors can be related to full secular determinant

Define $\mathcal{Z}_k(z,q) = \det(1-zH)$ [for (k+1)-dim H]. Then

$$G_{k,mn}(z,q) = z^{m-n} q^{\frac{m^2-n^2}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{m-1}(z,q)\mathcal{Z}_{k-n-1}(zq^{n+1},q)}{\mathcal{Z}_k(z,q)}$$

...Voilà!
$$\langle m | (1 - zH)^{-1} | n \rangle = \frac{\det (1 - zH)_{(mn)}}{\det (1 - zH)}$$
 (mn-minor)

First real use of matrices: because of special structure of H, minors can be related to full secular determinant

Define $\mathcal{Z}_k(z,q) = \det(1-zH)$ [for (k+1)-dim H]. Then

$$G_{k,mn}(z,q) = z^{m-n} q^{\frac{m^2 - n^2}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{m-1}(z,q)\mathcal{Z}_{k-n-1}(zq^{n+1},q)}{\mathcal{Z}_k(z,q)}$$

Result for unrestricted $(k = \infty)$ excursions (m = n = 0) as a ratio f(zq, q)/f(z, q) was known (Bousquet-Mélou, Bacher) New result*/insight:

- Derivation for bounded meanders (k, m, n nontrivial)
- Identification of components as determinants
- Several (old and new) dualities and recursion relations

algebraic manipulations instead of combinatorial relations

Physics-solving the secular determinant

Physics-solving the secular determinant

Basic observation: Z is the grand canonical partition function of exclusion statistics-2 particles on energy levels $1, 2, \ldots, k$ with spectral factors $s_j = e^{-\beta\epsilon_j} = \alpha_j\beta_j$ and fugacity $y = -z^2$



Square lattice walks: secular matrix gives spectral factors

$$s_j = (Q^j - 1)(Q^{-j} - 1) = 4\sin^2(\pi j p/q)$$

Mapping $\ln \det(1 - zH) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \operatorname{tr} H^n$

to (Grand potential) $\ln \mathcal{Z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y^n b_n$ (cluster coefficients)

and calculating cluster coefficients for exclusion-2 particles, we get

tr
$$H^{2n} = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i \\ \text{composition of } n}} c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i) \sum_{j=1}^{k-i+1} s_j^{l_1} s_{j+1}^{l_2} \cdots s_{j+i-1}^{l_i}$$

$$c_2(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i) = \frac{2n}{l_1} \binom{l_1 + l_2 - 1}{l_2} \binom{l_2 + l_3 - 1}{l_3} \cdots \binom{l_{i-1} + l_i}{l_i}$$

Compositions: ordered partitions (e.g., 3=2+1=1+2=1+1+1), 2^{n-1} in all

Finally, expanding the trigo sum and extracting its Q^A part, we obtain the number of walks of length $\ell = 2n$ and area A

$$C_{2n}(A) = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j \\ \text{composition of } n}} \sum_{k_3=0}^{2l_3} \sum_{k_4=0}^{2l_4} \dots \sum_{k_j=0}^{2l_j} \prod_{l_j=0}^{j} {2l_j \choose k_i} {2l_j \choose l_1+A+\sum_{i=3}^{j} (i-2)(k_i-l_i)} {2l_2 \choose l_2-A-\sum_{i=3}^{j} (i-1)(k_i-l_i)}$$

~ 1

(Ouvry & Wu, based on earlier work by Kreft)

- Explicit, but quite complicated
- Computational complexity increases with $\ell = 2n$
- Perhaps other expressions available?
- tr H²ⁿ for special values of p, q leads to sequences of Apéry-like numbers

Dyck paths: Secular determinant gives spectral factors $s_j = q^{2j}$

s_j = e^{-βε_j}: equidistant spectrum (harmonic oscillator)
 Full machinery of SM/QM and bosonization at play

$$\mathcal{Z}_k = \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} y^N Z_{k,N}^{(2)}$$

Dyck paths: Secular determinant gives spectral factors $s_j = q^{2j}$

s_j = e^{-βε_j}: equidistant spectrum (harmonic oscillator)
 Full machinery of SM/QM and bosonization at play

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{k} &= \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} y^{N} Z_{k,N}^{(2)} \\ (\text{bosonization}) &= \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} (-z^{2})^{N} q^{N(N-1)} Z_{k-2(N-1),N}^{B} \end{aligned}$$

where
$$Z_{k,N}^{B} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1-q^{j+N}}{1-q^{j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1-q^{j+k-1}}{1-q^{j}}$$

$$= \frac{[k+N-1]!_{q}}{[N]!_{q}[k-1]!_{q}} = \binom{k+N-1}{N}_{q}$$

Dyck paths: Secular determinant gives spectral factors $s_j = q^{2j}$

s_j = e^{-βε_j}: equidistant spectrum (harmonic oscillator)
 Full machinery of SM/QM and bosonization at play

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{k} &= \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} y^{N} Z_{k,N}^{(2)} \\ (\text{bosonization}) &= \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} (-z^{2})^{N} q^{N(N-1)} Z_{k-2(N-1),N}^{B} \end{aligned}$$

where
$$Z_{k,N}^{B} = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{1-q^{j+N}}{1-q^{j}} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1-q^{j+k-1}}{1-q^{j}}$$

 $= \frac{[k+N-1]!_{q}}{[N]!_{q}[k-1]!_{q}} = \binom{k+N-1}{N}_{q}^{k}$
so $\mathcal{Z}_{k}(z,q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} (-z^{2})^{N} q^{N(N-1)} \binom{k-N+1}{N}_{q}^{k}$

 $\mathcal{Z}_k(z,q)$ is a q-deformed Fibonacci polynomial in z^2

Summarizing, full length and area Dyck paths generating function

$$G_{k,mn}(z,q) = z^{m-n} q^{\frac{m^2-n^2}{2}} \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{m-1}(z,q)\mathcal{Z}_{k-n-1}(zq^{n+1},q)}{\mathcal{Z}_k(z,q)}$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k}(z,q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} q^{N(N-1)} \prod_{j=1}^{N} (-z^{2}) \frac{1-q^{j+k-2N+1}}{1-q^{j}}$$

We can also derive

$$\ln G_{k,mn}(z,q) = (n-m)\ln z + \frac{(n^2-m^2)}{2}\ln q + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^{\ell}}{\ell} p_{k,mn;\ell}(q)$$

with
$$p_{k,mn;\ell} = \sum_{\substack{l_1,l_2,...,l_j; j \le k \\ \text{composition of } n}} c_2(l_1, l_2, ..., l_j) q^{\sum_{i=1}^j (i-1)l_i} \sum_{r=\max(m-j,0)}^{\min(k-j,n)} q^{r\ell}$$

"Close" to $\langle m | H^{\ell} | n
angle$ (cf. In $\mathcal{Z} = \ln(1 - zH) = -\sum_{\ell} \operatorname{tr} H^{\ell}/\ell$) but...

Other walks, other statistics: a taste

- What about other types of (planar or forward-moving) walks?
- Why only exclusion-2? What about other statistics?

Other walks, other statistics: a taste

- What about other types of (planar or forward-moving) walks?
- Why only exclusion-2? What about other statistics?

The two questions are related.

Other walks, other statistics: a taste

- What about other types of (planar or forward-moving) walks?
- Why only exclusion-2? What about other statistics?

The two questions are related.

Consider the off-diagonal matrix H_g with matrix elements

 $(H_g)_{ij} = \alpha_i \, \delta_{i+1,j} + \beta_i \, \delta_{i,j+g-1} \,, \quad 0 \le i,j \le k$

One side-diagonal above the diagonal, the other g-1 steps below it

Basic fact: $\mathcal{Z}_g(y_g) = \det(1 - zH_g)$

- $\mathcal{Z}(y_g)$ grand partition function of exclusion-g particles
- Fugacity $y_g = -z^g$
- Spectral factors $s_i = \beta_i \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} \cdots \alpha_{i+g-2}$
- g = 2 reduces to previous cases (planar & Dyck walks)

Planar walks:

$$H_g = A(u)v + v^{1-g}B(u) \Rightarrow \alpha_i = A(Q^i), \ \beta_i = B(Q^i)$$

Planar walks:

 $H_g = A(u)v + v^{1-g}B(u) \Rightarrow \alpha_i = A(Q^i), \ \beta_i = B(Q^i)$

Many walks can be brought to this form via a

- square lattice modular transformation, or
- equivalently, u, v algebra automorphism

 $u \rightarrow e^{i\phi} u^{m_1} v^{m_2}$, $v \rightarrow e^{i\theta} u^{n_1} v^{n_2}$, $\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1}$

Planar walks:

 $H_g = A(u)v + v^{1-g}B(u) \Rightarrow \alpha_i = A(Q^i), \ \beta_i = B(Q^i)$

Many walks can be brought to this form via a

- square lattice modular transformation, or
- equivalently, *u*, *v* algebra automorphism

 $u \to e^{i\phi} u^{m_1} v^{m_2}$, $v \to e^{i\theta} u^{n_1} v^{n_2}$, $Q \to Q^{m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1}$

Square lattice walk: $H = u + v + u^{-1} + v^{-1}$

 $u \to -uv: H \to (1-u)v + v^{-1}(1-u^{-1}) \quad (g=2)$

Planar walks:

 $H_g = A(u)v + v^{1-g}B(u) \Rightarrow \alpha_i = A(Q^i), \ \beta_i = B(Q^i)$

Many walks can be brought to this form via a

- square lattice modular transformation, or
- equivalently, u, v algebra automorphism $u \to e^{i\phi} u^{m_1} v^{m_2}$, $v \to e^{i\theta} u^{n_1} v^{n_2}$, $Q \to Q^{m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1}$

Square lattice walk: $H = u + v + u^{-1} + v^{-1}$

$$u \to -uv: H \to (1-u)v + v^{-1}(1-u^{-1}) (g=2)$$

Chiral triangular walk: $H = u + v + \mathrm{Q}^{rac{1+a}{2}}u^{-1}v^{-1}\;,\;a\in\mathsf{R}$

 $u \rightarrow -iuv, v \rightarrow iu^{-1}v: H \rightarrow i(-u+u^{-1})v + Q^av^{-2}$ (g=3)

$$\alpha_j = 2\sin\frac{2\pi pj}{q} , \quad \beta_j = e^{i2\pi ap/q}$$
$$s_j = \beta_j \alpha_j \alpha_{j+1} = 4e^{i2\pi ap/q} \sin\frac{2\pi pj}{q} \sin\frac{2\pi p(j+1)}{q}$$

Interpretation: chiral hopping on triangular lattice

• u, v and $w = Q^{1+a} u^{-1} v^{-1}$ represent jumps at 120° angles

•
$$wvu = vuw = uwv = Q^{1+a}$$

 $\rightarrow up$ -cell of area $1 + a$





•
$$vwu = wuv = uvw = (Q^{1-a})^{-1}$$

 $\rightarrow down-cell of area 1 - a$

Forward-moving walks:

$$H = UV + q^{\frac{g-2}{2}}V^{\dagger g-1}U$$



- Represents a "one step up, g-1 steps down" process [(1, g-1) Lukasiewicz(?) paths]
- Corresponds to an exclusion-g grand partition function

•
$$g = 2$$
: Dyck paths, as before

...and so on.

Exclusion-g connection allows for explicit solutions

Planar paths: tr H^{ℓ} given in terms of cluster coefficients

tr
$$H^{gn} = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i \\ g \text{-composition of } n}} c_g(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i) \sum_{j=1}^{k-i+1} s_j^{l_1} s_{j+1}^{l_2} \cdots s_{j+i-1}^{l_i}$$

with
$$c_g(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-g+1} (l_i + \dots + l_{i+g-1} - 1)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{j-g} (l_{i+1} + \dots + l_{i+g-1} - 1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{l_i!}$$

g-compositions: compositions with up to g-2 zeros inserted between parts (e.g., g=3: 2=1+1=1+0+1); g^{n-1} in all

 Leads to complicated but explicit trigo sums for number of paths of given area & length C(l, A)

Exclusion-g connection allows for explicit solutions

Planar paths: tr H^{ℓ} given in terms of cluster coefficients

tr
$$H^{gn} = \sum_{\substack{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i \\ g \text{-composition of } n}} c_g(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_i) \sum_{j=1}^{k-i+1} s_j^{l_1} s_{j+1}^{l_2} \cdots s_{j+i-1}^{l_i}$$

with
$$c_g(l_1, l_2, \dots, l_j) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{j-g+1} (l_i + \dots + l_{i+g-1} - 1)!}{\prod_{i=1}^{j-g} (l_{i+1} + \dots + l_{i+g-1} - 1)!} \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{l_i!}$$

g-compositions: compositions with up to g-2 zeros inserted between parts (e.g., g=3: 2=1+1=1+0+1); g^{n-1} in all

 Leads to complicated but explicit trigo sums for number of paths of given area & length C(l, A)

Forward-moving paths: bosonization still works, yields explicit expressions for $G_{m,n}(z,q)$ and expansion for $\ln G_{m,n}(z,q)$

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

Open/interesting questions:

• Can all that be done without physics lingo?

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

Open/interesting questions:

• Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)?

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)? Answer: Some yes, some look harder (e.g., Motzkin meanders with both floor and ceiling "markers")

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)? Answer: Some yes, some look harder (e.g., Motzkin meanders with both floor and ceiling "markers")
- Any other type of (useful) exclusion statistics?

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)? Answer: Some yes, some look harder (e.g., Motzkin meanders with both floor and ceiling "markers")
- Any other type of (useful) exclusion statistics? Answer: Yes: "mixed" statistics $g_1/g_2/g_3... \Rightarrow$ extensions of partitions

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)? Answer: Some yes, some look harder (e.g., Motzkin meanders with both floor and ceiling "markers")
- Any other type of (useful) exclusion statistics? Answer: Yes: "mixed" statistics $g_1/g_2/g_3... \Rightarrow$ extensions of partitions
- Arbitrary forward-moving paths (set of up- and down-moves)?

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)? Answer: Some yes, some look harder (e.g., Motzkin meanders with both floor and ceiling "markers")
- Any other type of (useful) exclusion statistics? Answer: Yes: "mixed" statistics $g_1/g_2/g_3... \Rightarrow$ extensions of partitions
- Arbitrary forward-moving paths (set of up- and down-moves)?
- Compact expressions for plane walk combinatorics?

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)? Answer: Some yes, some look harder (e.g., Motzkin meanders with both floor and ceiling "markers")
- Any other type of (useful) exclusion statistics? Answer: Yes: "mixed" statistics $g_1/g_2/g_3... \Rightarrow$ extensions of partitions
- Arbitrary forward-moving paths (set of up- and down-moves)?
- Compact expressions for plane walk combinatorics?
- Relation between distinct-looking but equivalent results?

Several other models have been studied (honeycomb lattice, Motzkin paths) or can be studied [Kagomé lattice, (1,p)-paths]

Open/interesting questions:

- Can all that be done without physics lingo? Answer: Yes!
- Can it be done with combinatorial techniques (rather than matrices)? Answer: Some yes, some look harder (e.g., Motzkin meanders with both floor and ceiling "markers")
- Any other type of (useful) exclusion statistics? Answer: Yes: "mixed" statistics $g_1/g_2/g_3... \Rightarrow$ extensions of partitions
- Arbitrary forward-moving paths (set of up- and down-moves)?
- Compact expressions for plane walk combinatorics?
- Relation between distinct-looking but equivalent results?

Suggestions welcome - Thank You!