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INTRODUCTION

## Particular cases: Fuchsian differential equations (FDE)

$$
\dot{q}(z)=\left[M_{0} u_{0}(z)+M_{1} u_{1}(z)\right] q(z), \quad y(z)=\lambda q(z), \quad q\left(z_{0}\right)=\eta
$$

where $M_{0}, M_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{n, n}(\mathbb{C}), \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{1, n}(\mathbb{C}), \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{n, 1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $u_{0}(z), u_{1}(z) \in \mathcal{C}$.
Example (hypergeometric equation)

$$
z(1-z) \ddot{y}(z)+\left[t_{2}-\left(t_{0}+t_{1}+1\right) z\right] \dot{y}(z)-t_{0} t_{1} y(z)=0 .
$$

Let $q_{1}(z)=y(z)$ and $q_{2}(z)=z(1-z) \dot{y}(z)$. One has
$\binom{\dot{q}_{1}}{\dot{q}_{2}}=\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ -t_{0} t_{1} & -t_{2}\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{z}-\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 0 & t_{2}-t_{0}-t_{1}\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{1-z}\right]\binom{q_{1}}{q_{2}}$.
Here,
$\lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right), M_{0}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ t_{0} t_{1} & t_{2}\end{array}\right), M_{1}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 0 & t_{2}-t_{0}-t_{1}\end{array}\right)$,
$\eta=\binom{q_{1}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)}$.

## Examples of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems

Example (harmonic oscillator)

$$
\dot{y}(z)+k_{1} y(z)+k_{2} y^{2}(z)=u_{1}(t) .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{q}(z) & =A_{0}(q) u_{0}(z)+A_{1}(q) u_{1}(z) \quad \text { with } u_{0}(z) \equiv 1 \\
A_{0} & =-\left(k_{1} q+k_{2} q^{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \\
A_{1} & =\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \\
y(z) & =q(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example (Duffing's equation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{y}(z)+a \dot{y}(z)+b y(z)+c y^{3}(z)=u_{1}(z) . \\
& \dot{q}(z)=A_{0}(q) u_{0}(z)+A_{1}(q) u_{1}(z) \quad \text { with } u_{0}(z) \equiv 1, \\
& A_{0}=-\left(a q_{2}+b^{2} q_{1}+c q_{1}^{3}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}}+q_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{1}}, \\
& A_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{2}}, \\
& y(z)=q_{1}(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Previous work

For (FDE), one can base on the R. Jungen thesis ${ }^{1}$ "Sur les séries de Taylor n'ayant que des singularités algébrico-logarithmiques sur leur cercle de convergence" (1931).

## But for nonlinear differential equations ?

One can appoximate the nonlinear differential systems by linear ones, and then one can base one self on the Jungen's thesis.
${ }^{1}$ This thesis influence quitely the works of

- M.P. Schützenberger, "On a theorem of R. Jungen" (1962),
- M. Fliess, "Sur divers produits de séries formelles" (1974),
- Ph. Flajolet \& A. Odlyzko, "The Average Height of Binary Trees and Other Simple Trees" (1982).

NONLINEAR DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

## Nonlinear Dynamical Systems

Let $(\mathcal{D}, d)$ be a $k$-commutative associative differential algebra with unit $(\operatorname{ch}(k)=0)$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be a differential subfield of $\mathcal{D}$.
$y(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} y_{n} z^{n}$ is the output of :
$(N L S) \quad\left\{\begin{aligned} y(z) & =f(q(z)), \\ \dot{q}(z) & =A_{0}(q) u_{0}(z)+A_{1}(q) u_{1}(z), \\ q\left(z_{0}\right) & =q_{0},\end{aligned}\right.$
where :

- $u_{0}(z), u_{1}(z) \in \mathcal{C}$,
- the state $q=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right)$ belongs the complex analytic manifold $Q$ of dimension $N$ and $q_{0}$ is the initial state,
- the observation $f \in \mathcal{O}$, with $\mathcal{O}$ is the ring of holomorphic functions over $Q$,
- For $i=0 . .1, A_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i}^{j}(q) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{j}}$ is an analytic vector field ${ }^{2}$ over $Q$, with $A_{i}^{j}(q) \in \mathcal{O}$, for $j=1, \ldots, N$.

[^0]
## Structural $\mathbb{C}$-automaton associated to (NLS)

Any (NLS) can be associated to a structural $\mathbb{C}$-automaton characterizing the structure of the differential algebra defined by $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=0,1}$.
For any $i=1, \ldots, N$, let $D_{j}$ denotes $\partial / \partial q_{i}$. Let $\mathbf{r}$ be a multi-index $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{N}\right)$ and let $D^{r}$ denotes the differential operator $D_{1}^{r_{1}} \ldots D_{N}^{r_{N}}$. The infinite structural $\mathbb{C}$-automaton is the 5 -uple $(X, \mathcal{F}, I, \tau, \lambda)$, where

- $X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}$,
- $\mathcal{F}$ is the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space generated by the operators $D^{r}$,
- I is the initial state,
- $\tau\left(x_{i}\right), i=0, . .1$, is the linear endomorphism of $\mathcal{F}$ describing the right action ${ }^{3}$ of $A_{i}$ on differential operator $D^{r}$,
- $\lambda$ is the row vector whose $i^{\text {th }}$ component is $D_{i} f$.

The truncated structural $\mathbb{C}$-automaton is obtained by choosing the states that are met along the successful path and of length less or equal to $m$. This gives a $\mathbb{C}$-automaton recognizes a rational power series over $X$.
${ }^{3}$ This action is given by $D^{r} A_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{s \leq r}\binom{\mathrm{r}}{\mathrm{s}} D^{r-s} A_{i}^{j}(q) D^{s} D_{j}$,
with $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{N}\right), \mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}\right)$ and $\mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{r} \Longleftrightarrow s_{1} \leq r_{1}, \ldots, s_{k} \leq r_{N}$ and $\binom{r}{\mathrm{~s}}=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\binom{r_{j}^{\prime}}{s_{j}}$.

## Examples of structural $\mathbb{C}$-automaton

Example (harmonic oscillator)
Putting $F:=-\left(k_{1} q+k_{2} q^{2}\right)$, one has $A_{0}=F D, A_{1}=D$.
$X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}, \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{D^{i}\right\}_{i \geq 0}, I=\{I \mathrm{~d}\}, \lambda=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}q & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right)$.
The $\mathbb{C}$-automaton cell is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D^{i} A_{1}=D^{i+1} \\
& D^{i} A_{0}=F D^{i+1}+\binom{i}{1}[D F] D^{i-1}+\binom{i}{2}\left[D^{2} F\right] D^{i-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example (Duffing's equation)
Putting $F:=-\left(a q_{2}+b^{2} q_{1}+c q_{1}^{3}\right)$, one has $A_{0}=F D_{1}+D_{2}, A_{1}=D_{2}$. $X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}, \mathcal{F}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{D_{1}^{i} D_{2}^{j}\right\}_{i \geq 0}^{j \geq 0}, I=\{\mathrm{ld}\}, \lambda=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}q_{1} & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right)$.
The $\mathbb{C}$-automaton cell is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}^{i} D_{2}^{j} A_{1} & =D_{1}^{i} D_{2}^{j+1}, \\
D_{1}^{i} D_{2}^{j} A_{0} & =F D_{1}^{i} D_{2}^{j+1} \\
& +\binom{i}{1}\left[D_{1} F\right] D_{1}^{i-1} D_{2}^{j+1}+\binom{i}{2}\left[D_{1}^{2} F\right] D_{1}^{i-2} D_{2}^{j+1}+\binom{i}{3}\left[D_{1}^{3} F\right] D_{1}^{i-3} D_{2}^{j+1} \\
& -j a D_{1}^{i} D_{2}^{j}+q_{2} D_{1}^{i+1} D_{2}^{j}+j D_{1}^{i+1} D_{2}^{i-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Our works

Let $X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}$ with $x_{0}<x_{1}$. For any $w=x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}} \in X^{*}$, let $\mathcal{A}\left(1_{X *}\right)=\mathrm{ld}, \quad \mathcal{A}(w)=A_{i_{1}} \circ \ldots \circ A_{i_{k}}$,
$\alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}\left(1_{X *}\right)=1, \quad \alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}(w)=\int_{z_{0}}^{z} \int_{z_{0}}^{z_{1}} \cdots \int_{z_{0}}^{z_{k-1}} u_{i_{1}}\left(z_{1}\right) d z_{1} \cdots u_{i_{k}}\left(z_{k}\right) d z_{k}$.
Theorem (Deneufchâtel,Duchamp,HNM, 2010) Let $S=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}(w) w \in \mathcal{D}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$. The following conditions are equivalent :
i) The family $\left(\alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}(w)\right)_{w \in X^{*}}$ of coefficients of $S$ is free over $\mathcal{C}$.
ii) The family of coefficients $\left(\alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}(x)\right)_{x \in X \cup\left\{1_{x^{*}}\right\}}$ is free over $\mathcal{C}$.

Therefore, by successive Picard iterations, one get

$$
y(z)=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \mathcal{A}(w) \circ f\left(q_{0}\right) \alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}(w)=\left[\left(\mathcal{A} \otimes \alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}\right) \mathcal{D}\right]\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right)
$$

where, $\mathcal{D}=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} w \otimes w$.

## Chen-Fliess generating series

- Chen series

$$
S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \alpha_{z_{0}}^{z}(w) w .
$$

Any Chen generating series $S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}$ is group-like, for $\Delta_{ш}$, and it depends only on the homotopy class of $z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z$ (Ree).
The product of two Chen generating series $S_{z_{1} \rightsquigarrow z_{2}}$ and $S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z_{1}}$ is the Chen generating series $S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z_{2}}=S_{z_{1} \rightsquigarrow z_{2}} S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z_{1}}$ (Chen).

- The generating series of the polysystem $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=0,1}$ and of the observation $f \in \mathcal{O}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma f & :=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \mathcal{A}(w) \circ f w \quad \in \mathbb{C}^{c v} \llbracket q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N} \rrbracket\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle . \\
\sigma f_{\mid q} & :=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \mathcal{A}(w) \circ f_{\mid q} w \quad \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last is called Fliess generating series of $\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=0,1}$ and of $f$ at $q$.
For any $f, g \in \mathcal{O}$ anf for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, one has (Fliess)

$$
\sigma(\nu f+\mu g)=\sigma(\nu f)+\sigma(\mu g) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma(f g)=\sigma f ш \sigma g
$$

DIAGONAL SERIES

## Lyndon words

- A word is a Lyndon word if it is less than each of its right factors (for the lexicographical ordering).


## Example

$\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}, x_{0}<x_{1}$. The Lyndon words of length $\leq 5$ are $x_{0}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}$, $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4}, x_{1}$.

- For any $w \in X^{*}, w=I_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots l_{k}^{i_{k}}, \quad I_{1}>\ldots>I_{k}$ (Širšov).

Example
$x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}=x_{1} \cdot x_{0} x_{1}^{2} \cdot x_{0} x_{1}^{2} \cdot x_{0}^{2} x_{1}=x_{1}\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}$.

- $\mathcal{L} y n(X)$ : the set of Lyndon words over $X$ and forms a transcendence basis for the shuffle algebra (Radford).
Example
$x_{0} x_{1} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}=x_{0} x_{1} ш x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}-6 x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}$,
$x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{0}^{4} x_{1}=x_{0}^{3} x_{1} ш x_{0}^{4} x_{1}-5 x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}-15 x_{0}^{5} x_{1} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}-35 x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}-70 x_{0}^{7} x_{1}^{2}$.
- Let $Y=\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ with $y_{1}>y_{2}>\ldots$. Then $I \in \mathcal{L} y n X \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\} \Longleftrightarrow \Pi_{Y} I \in \mathcal{L} y n(Y)$ (Perrin),


## Standard factorization and PBW basis

- The standard factorization of $I \in \mathcal{L} y n X \backslash X$, noted by st $(I)$, is $(u, v)$, where $u, v \in \mathcal{L} y n X$ s.t. $I=u v$ and $v$ is the proper longest right factor of $/$ verifying $u<u v<v$.
Example $\operatorname{st}\left(x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{1}\right)$.
- $\mathcal{L i e}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle X\rangle$ (resp. $\mathcal{L i e}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ ): set of Lie polynomials (resp. power series) over $X$ and of coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$.
- $\left\{S_{l} ; I \in \mathcal{L} y n(X)\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{L i e}_{\mathbb{C}}\langle X\rangle$, where the bracket form $S_{I}$ of Lyndon word $I$ is defined by $S_{x}=x$ if $x \in X$ and $S_{I}=\left[S_{u}, S_{v}\right]$ if $(u, v)=\operatorname{st}(I)$.
- The PBW basis $\mathcal{B}=\left\{S_{w} ; w \in X^{*}\right\}$ is obtained by putting

$$
S_{w}=S_{l_{1}}^{i_{1}} S_{l_{2}}^{i_{2}} \ldots S_{l_{k}}^{i_{k}} \quad \text { for } \quad w=l_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots l_{k}^{i_{k}}, I_{1}>\ldots>I_{k}
$$

- The dual basis $\check{\mathcal{B}}=\left\{\check{S}_{w} ; w \in X^{*}\right\}$ is obtained by putting $\check{S}_{1_{x^{*}}}=1_{X^{*}}, \check{S}_{I}=x \check{S}_{u}$ for $I=x u \in \mathcal{L} y n X$ and

$$
\check{S}_{w}=\frac{\check{S}_{l_{1}}^{ш i_{1}} ш \ldots ш \check{S}_{l_{k}}^{ш i_{k}}}{i_{1}!\ldots i_{k}!} \quad \text { for } \quad w=l_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots . l_{k}^{i_{k}}, l_{1}>\ldots>I_{k} .
$$

## Diagonal series and Lie elements

- $\mathcal{D}=\prod_{l \in \mathcal{L} y n x}^{\searrow} e^{l \otimes \hat{l}}=\prod_{l \in \mathcal{L} y n X}^{\searrow} e^{\check{S}_{l} \otimes S_{l}}$ (Schützenberger).
- Let $S \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle . S$ is called group-like if $\Delta_{ш} S=S \otimes S$.
- $S$ is said to be primitive if $\Delta_{ш} S=1 \otimes S+S \otimes 1$.
- $S$ satisfies Friedrichs' (multiplicative) criterion $\langle S \mid u ш v\rangle=\langle S \mid u\rangle\langle S \mid v\rangle$.
- The following assertions are equivalent (Ree)
i) $S \in \mathcal{L i e} e_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$.
ii) $e^{S}$ verifies Friedrichs' (multiplicative) criterion.
iii) $S$ is primitive.
iv) $e^{S}$ is group-like.

One has similar results over $Y=\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ with $y_{1}>y_{2}>\ldots$.

## Computational examples

| 1 | $\Pi_{Y}(I)$ | $S_{l}$ | $\check{S r}_{1}$ | $\Pi_{Y}\left(\check{S}_{l}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{0}$ |  | $x_{0}$ | $x_{0}$ |  |
| $x_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{1}$ | $y_{1}$ |
| $x_{0} x_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ | [ $x_{0}, x_{1}$ ] | $x_{0} x_{1}$ | $y_{2}$ |
| $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}$ | $y_{3}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}$ | $y_{3}$ |
| $x_{0} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{2} y_{1}$ | [ [ $\left.\left.x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]$ | $x_{0} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{2} y_{1}$ |
| $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}$ | $y_{4}$ | [ $\left.x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}$ | $y_{4}$ |
| $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{3} y_{1}$ | [ $\left.x_{0},\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{3} y_{1}$ |
| $x_{0} x_{1}^{3}$ | $y_{2} y_{1}^{2}$ | [[[ $\left.\left.\left.x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]$ | $x_{0} x_{1}^{3}$ | $y_{2} y_{1}^{2}$ |
| $x_{0}^{4} x_{1}$ | $y_{5}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right]\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{4} x_{1}$ | $y_{5}$ |
| $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{4} y_{1}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{4} y_{1}$ |
| $x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}$ | $y_{3} y_{2}$ | $\left[\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right],\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $2 x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}$ | $2 y_{4} y_{1}^{2}+y_{3} y_{2}$ |
| $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}$ | $y_{3} y_{1}^{2}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}$ | $y_{3} y_{1}^{2}$ |
| $x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{2}^{2} y_{1}$ | $\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right],\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}$ | $3 y_{3} y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2} y_{1}$ |
| $x_{0} x_{1}^{4}$ | $y_{2} y_{1}^{3}$ | $\left[\left[\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]$ | $x_{0} x_{1}^{4}$ | $y_{2} y_{1}^{3}$ |
| $x_{0}^{5} x_{1}$ | $y_{6}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right]\right]\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{5} x_{1}$ | $y_{6}$ |
| $x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{5} y_{1}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{5} y_{1}$ |
| $x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}$ | $y_{4} y_{2}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right],\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right]\right]$ | $2 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2}+x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}$ | $2 y_{5} y_{1}+y_{4} y_{2}$ |
| $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}$ | $y_{4} y_{1}^{2}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[x_{0},\left[\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}$ | $y_{4} y_{1}^{2}$ |
| $x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}$ | $y_{3} y_{2} y_{1}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right],\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]\right]$ | $3 x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}$ | $3 y_{4} y_{1}^{2}+y_{3} y_{2} y_{1}$ |
| $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{0} x_{1}$ | $y_{3} y_{1} y_{2}$ | $\left[\left[x_{0},\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right],\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $6 x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{0} x_{1}$ | $6 y_{4} y_{1}^{2}+3 y_{3} y_{2} y_{1}+y_{3} y_{1} y_{2}$ |
| $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4}$ | $y_{3} y_{1}^{3}$ | $\left[x_{0},\left[\left[\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4}$ | $y_{3} y_{1}^{3}$ |
| $x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{3}$ | $y_{2}^{2} y_{1}^{2}$ | $\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right],\left[\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]\right]$ | $4 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{0} x_{1}^{3}$ | $4 y_{3} y_{1}^{3}+y_{2}^{2} y_{1}^{2}$ |
| $x_{0} x_{1}^{5}$ | $y_{2} y_{1}^{4}$ | $\left[\left[\left[\left[\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right], x_{1}\right]$ | $x_{0} x_{1}^{5}$ | $\underline{y}_{2} y_{1}^{4}$ |

POLYLOGARITHM-HARMONIC SUM-POLYZETA

Chen series and generating series of polylogarithms
Let $u_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{z}, u_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{1-z}$ and $\omega_{0}(z)=u_{0}(z) d z, \omega_{1}(z)=u_{1}(z) d z$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall w \in X^{*} x_{1}, \quad & \alpha_{0}^{z}(w) \\
& =\operatorname{Li}_{w}(z) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{w}(z):=(1-z)^{-1} \operatorname{Li}_{w}(z)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{H}_{w}(n) z^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{Li}_{x_{0}}(z):=\log z
$$

$$
\mathrm{L}(z):=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \operatorname{Li}_{w}(z) w
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}(z):=(1-z)^{-1} \mathrm{~L}(z)
$$

Let

$$
(D E) \quad d G(z)=\left[x_{0} \omega_{0}(z)+x_{1} \omega_{1}(z)\right] G(z)
$$

## Proposition

- $S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}$ satisfies $(D E)$ with $S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z_{0}}=1$,
- $\mathrm{L}(z)$ satisfies $(D E)$ with $\mathrm{L}(z)_{z \rightarrow 0} \exp \left(x_{0} \log z\right)$.

Hence, $S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}=\mathrm{L}(z) \mathrm{L}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-1}$, or equivalently, $\mathrm{L}(z)=S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z} \mathrm{~L}\left(z_{0}\right)$.

## Noncommutative generating series of convergent polyzêtas

Let $X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Y=\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}\right)$ with $x_{0}<x_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $y_{1}>y_{2}>\ldots$ ). Let $\mathcal{L} y n X$ (resp. $\mathcal{L} y n X)$ be the transcendence basis of $(\mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle$, ш ) (resp. $(\mathbb{C}\langle Y\rangle, \pm))$ and let $\{\hat{I}\}_{\mid \in \mathcal{L} y n X}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\{\hat{I}\}_{\mid \in \mathcal{L} y n Y}\right)$ be its dual basis. Then Theorem (HNM, 2009)
We have $\Delta_{ш} \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{L} \otimes \mathrm{L}$ and $\Delta_{ \pm \pm} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} \otimes \mathrm{H}$.
Moreover, let $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}(z):=\prod_{\substack{l \in \mathcal{C y x} \\ l \neq \chi_{0}, x_{1}}}^{\nu} e^{\mathrm{Li}_{i}(z) \hat{l}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{reg}}(N):=\prod_{\substack{1 \in \mathcal{C y v r} \\ 1 \neq y_{1}}}^{\nu} e^{\mathrm{H}_{l}(N) \hat{\jmath}}$.
Then $\mathrm{L}(z)=e^{x_{1} \log \frac{1}{1-z}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{reg}}(z) e^{x_{0} \log z}$ and $\mathrm{H}(N)=e^{y_{1} H_{1}(N)} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{reg}}(N)$.
We put $Z_{ш}:=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{reg}}(1)$ and $Z_{\text {เ }}:=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{reg}}(\infty)$.
Theorem (à la Abel theorem, HNM, 2005)
Let $\Pi_{Y} \mathrm{~L}$ and $\Pi_{Y} Z_{ш}$ be the projections of L and $Z_{ш}$ over $Y$. Then $\lim _{z \rightarrow 1} e^{y_{1} \log \frac{1}{1-2}} \Pi_{Y} \mathrm{~L}(z)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[-\sum_{k \geq 1} H_{y_{k}}(N) \frac{\left(-y_{1}\right)^{k}}{k}\right] H(N)=\Pi_{Y} Z_{w}$.

Corollary
$Z_{\amalg}$ and $Z_{++}$are group-likes and $Z_{++}=e^{-\gamma y_{1}} \Gamma\left(1+y_{1}\right) \Pi_{Y} Z_{ш}$.

## Successive derivations of $L$

For any $w=x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{k}} \in X^{*}$ and for any derivation multi-index $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{k}\right)$ of degree $\operatorname{deg} \mathbf{r}=|w|=k$ and of weight wgt $\mathbf{r}=k+r_{1}+\ldots+r_{k}$, let us define the monomial $\tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w)$ by

$$
\tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w)=\tau_{r_{1}}\left(x_{i_{1}}\right) \ldots \tau_{r_{k}}\left(x_{i_{k}}\right)=\left[u_{i_{1}}^{\left(r_{1}\right)}(z) \ldots u_{i_{k}}^{\left(r_{k}\right)}(z)\right] x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{k}}
$$

In particular, for any integer $r$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) & =u_{0}^{(r)}(z) x_{0}
\end{aligned}=\frac{-r!x_{0}}{(-z)^{r+1}}, ~=u_{1}^{(r)}(z) x_{1}=\frac{r!x_{1}}{(1-z)^{r+1}} .
$$

Theorem (HNM, 2003)
For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have, $\mathrm{L}^{(n)}(z)=P_{n}(z) \mathrm{L}(z)$, where
$P_{n}(z)=\sum_{w g t} \sum_{r=n} \prod_{w \in X^{n}}^{\operatorname{deg} r}\binom{\sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{j}+j-1}{r_{i}} \tau(w) \in \mathcal{D}\langle X\rangle$.

## Operations on $\mathrm{P}_{w}(z)=(1-z)^{-1} \operatorname{Li}_{w}(z)$

For $f(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} a_{n} z^{n}$, since multiplying or dividing by $z$ acts simply on
$\left[z^{n}\right] f(z)$, then let us study the effect of multiplying or dividing by $1-z$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[z^{n}\right](1-z) \mathrm{P}_{w}(z) } & =\mathrm{H}_{w}(n)-\mathrm{H}_{w}(n-1) . \\
{\left[z^{n}\right] \frac{\mathrm{P}_{w}(z)}{1-z} } & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{H}_{w}(k) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(n+1) \mathrm{H}_{w}(n)-\mathrm{H}_{y_{s-1} w^{\prime}}(n) \text { if } w=y_{s} w^{\prime}, s \neq 1 . \\
(n+1) \mathrm{H}_{w}(n)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathrm{H}_{w^{\prime}}(j-1) \text { if } w=y_{1} w^{\prime},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and, more generally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[z^{n}\right](1-z)^{k} \mathrm{P}_{w}(z) } & =\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{k}{j}(-1)^{j} \mathrm{H}_{w}(n-j), \\
{\left[z^{n}\right] \frac{\mathrm{P}_{w}(z)}{(1-z)^{k}} } & =\sum_{n \geq j_{1} \geq \cdots \geq j_{k} \geq 0} \mathrm{H}_{w}\left(j_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

## Nonlinear differential equations with three singularities

$y(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} y_{n} z^{n}$ is the output of :

$$
(N S)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y(z)=f(q(z)) \\
\dot{q}(z)=\frac{A_{0}(q)}{z}+\frac{A_{1}(q)}{1-z} \\
q\left(z_{0}\right)=q_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

( $\rho, \check{\rho}, C_{f}$ ) and ( $\rho, \check{\rho}, C_{i}$ ), for $i=0, . ., m$, are convergence modules of $f$ and $\left\{A_{i}^{j}\right\}_{j=1, . ., n}$ respectively at $q \in \operatorname{CV}(f) \cap_{i=0, . ., m}^{j=1, ., n} \operatorname{CV}\left(A_{i}^{j}\right)$. $\sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}=\sum_{w \in X^{*}} \mathcal{A}(w)\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right) w$ satisfies the $\chi-$ growth condition.
The duality between $\sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}$ and $S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}$ consists on the convergence (precisely speaking, the convergence of a duality pairing) of the Fliess' fundamental formula which is extended as follows
Theorem (HNM, 2007)
$y(z)=\left\langle\sigma f_{\mid q_{0}} \| S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}\right\rangle=\sum_{w \in X^{*}}\left\langle\mathcal{A}(w)\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right) \mid w\right\rangle\left\langle S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z} \mid w\right\rangle$.

## Corollary

The output $y$ of nonlinear differential equation with three singularities admits then the following expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(z) & =\sum_{w \in X^{*}} g_{w}(z) \mathcal{A}(w)\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right), \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k} \geq 0} g_{x_{0}^{n_{1}} x_{1} \ldots x_{0}^{n_{k}} x_{1}}(z) \operatorname{ad}_{A_{0}}^{n_{1}} A_{1} \ldots \operatorname{ad}_{A_{0}}^{n_{k}} A_{1} e^{\log z A_{0}}\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right), \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{w \in X^{*}} g_{w}(z) \mathcal{A}\left(\pi_{1}(w)\right)\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right)\right), \\
& =\prod_{I \in \mathcal{L} y n X} \exp \left(g_{l}(z) \mathcal{A}(\hat{l})\left(f\left(q_{0}\right)\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where, for any $w \in X^{*}, g_{w} \in \mathrm{LI}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and
$\pi_{1}(w)=\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k} \sum_{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k} \in X^{*} \backslash\left\{1_{X^{*}}\right\}}\left\langle w \mid v_{1} ш \cdots ш v_{k}\right\rangle v_{1} \cdots v_{k}$.

## Asymptotics of the output

The output $y$ of nonlinear differential equation with three singularities is then combination of the elements belonging the $\mathrm{LI}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

For $z_{0}=\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, the asymptotic behaviour of the output $y$ at $z=1$ is given by
$y(1) \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}{\sim}\left\langle\sigma f_{q_{0}} \| S_{\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow 1-\varepsilon}\right\rangle=\sum_{w \in X^{*}}\left\langle\mathcal{A}(w) \circ f_{\mid q_{0}} \mid w\right\rangle\left\langle S_{\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow 1-\varepsilon} \mid w\right\rangle$,
with $S_{\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow 1-\varepsilon} \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}{ } e^{-x_{1} \log \varepsilon} Z_{ш} e^{-x_{0} \log \varepsilon}$.
If $y(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} y_{n} z^{n}$ then, the coefficients of its ordinary Taylor
expansion belong the harmonic algebra and there exist algorithmically computable coefficients $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_{i}$ belong a completion of the $\mathbb{C}$-algrebra generated by $\mathcal{Z}$ and by the Euler's $\gamma$ constant, such that

$$
y_{n} \widetilde{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \geq 0} c_{i} n^{a_{i}} \log ^{b_{i}} n
$$

## Finite parts of the output

Definition
For any $f \in \mathcal{O}$ such that

$$
\left\langle\sigma f_{q_{0}} \| S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}\right\rangle=\sum_{n \geq 0} y_{n} z^{n}
$$

and for $z_{0}=\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, let
$\phi\left(f_{\left.\right|_{0}}\right) \underset{z \rightarrow 1}{ }$ f.p. $y(z)$ in the scale $\left\{(1-z)^{a} \log (1-z)^{b}\right\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}}$ $\psi\left(f_{\left.\right|_{0}}\right) \widetilde{n \rightarrow \infty}$ f.p. $y_{n}$ in the scale $\left\{n^{a} \log ^{b}(n)\right\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}}$.

## Proposition

For any $f, g \in \mathcal{O}$ anf for any $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, one has

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\phi\left((\nu f+\mu g)_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right)=\phi\left(\nu f_{q_{0}}\right)+\phi\left(\mu g_{\left.\right|_{0}}\right) & \text { and } & \phi\left(f g_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right)=\phi\left(f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right) \phi\left(g_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right) \\
\psi\left((\nu f+\mu g)_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right)=\psi\left(\nu f_{\left.\right|_{0}}\right)+\psi\left(\mu g_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right) & \text { and } & \psi\left(f g_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right)=\psi\left(f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right) \psi\left(g_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

## Successive derivations of the output

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(n)}(z) & =\left\langle\sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}} \| \frac{d^{n}}{d z^{n}} S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}} \| \mathrm{L}^{(n)}(z) \mathrm{L}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}} \| P_{n}(z) \mathrm{L}(z) \mathrm{L}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle P_{n}(z) \triangleleft \sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}} \| \mathrm{L}(z) \mathrm{L}\left(z_{0}\right)^{-1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle P_{n}(z) \triangleleft \sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}} \| S_{z_{0} \rightsquigarrow z}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the polynomial $P_{n}(z) \in \mathcal{D}\langle X\rangle$ is defined as follows

$$
P_{n}(z)=\sum_{\text {wgt } \mathbf{r}=n} \sum_{w \in X^{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{\operatorname{deg} \mathbf{r}}\binom{\sum_{j=1}^{i} r_{j}+j-1}{r_{i}} \tau(w)
$$

Therefore, $P_{n}(z) \triangleleft \sigma f_{\left.\right|_{q_{0}}} \in \mathcal{D}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ is the non commutative generating series of $y^{(n)}$.

## Asymptotics of the successive derivation of the output

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the successive derivation $y^{(k)}$ of the output of nonlinear differential equation with three singularities is then combination of the elements $g$ belonging the polylogarithm algebra.
For $z_{0}=\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$, the asymptotic behaviour of the output $y$ at $z=1$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{(k)}(1) & \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}{\sim}\left\langle\sigma f_{q_{0}} \| P_{k}(\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow 1-\varepsilon}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{w \in X^{*}}\left\langle\mathcal{A}(w) \circ f_{\mid q_{0}} \mid w\right\rangle\left\langle P_{k}(\varepsilon) S_{\varepsilon \rightsquigarrow 1-\varepsilon} \mid w\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y^{(k)}(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} y_{n}^{(k)} z^{n}$ then, the coefficients of its ordinary Taylor
expansion belong the harmonic algebra and there exist algorithmically computable coefficients $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_{i}$ belong a completion of the $\mathbb{C}$-algrebra generated by $\mathcal{Z}$ and by the Euler's $\gamma$ constant, such that

$$
y_{n}^{(k)} \widetilde{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \geq 0} c_{i} n^{a_{i}} \log ^{b_{i}} n
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ vector field $A_{i}$ is said to be linear if the $A_{i}^{j}(q), j=1 . . N$, are constants. $\equiv$

