# Toul ce que je sais sur 

Bahderier

Def: Let $\sigma$ be an involution on a finite alphabet. Then a word $w$ is a $\sigma$ if

$$
w=\sigma(\tilde{w}) .
$$

$\sigma$ Pal $(\omega)$ : set of $\sigma$-palindrome factors of $\omega$
Note: If $\sigma=$ Id, this corresponds to usual palindromes, in which case we write Pal( $\omega$ )

Example: Let $\sigma$ be the involution defined by

$$
\sigma: B \leftrightarrow L ; E \leftrightarrow E ; R \leftrightarrow T ; S \leftrightarrow S .
$$

Then BERSTEL is a o-palindrome.

Reconstruction problem

Let $P$ be a finite set of o-palindromes in $A^{*}$, and factorially closed.

Describe the set of words in $A^{*}$ whose $\sigma$-palindromes are contained in $P$.

Examples
$P \subseteq \operatorname{Pal}\left(A^{*}\right):$
(i) $P=\{\varepsilon, a, b\}$
(ii) $P=\{\varepsilon, a, b, c\}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
P \subseteq \operatorname{Pal}\left(A^{*}\right): \\
P=\{\varepsilon, a b\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Reconstruction problem
Let $P$ be a finite set of o-palindromes in $A^{*}$, and factorially closed.

Let $Q$ be the set of minimal elements of $P a L_{0}\left(A^{*}\right)-P$ (minimilaty taken with respect to the partial factorial order)

The: The maximal language whose $\sigma$-palindromes are contained in $P$ is given by

$$
X_{P}=A^{*}-A^{*} Q A^{*}
$$

Computation of $\operatorname{Pal}(\omega)$
LPSu( $\omega$ ): Longest Palindromic Suffix of $\omega$ unioccurrent Computation of LPSu( $\omega$ ):

more statistics on a word
$D(\omega)$ : number of Lacuna of $\omega$
$C_{\omega}(n)$ : number of distinct factors of length $n$ of $\omega$
$P_{\omega}(n)$ : number of palindromic factors of length $n$ of $\omega$

| $\omega$ |  | $B$ | $A$ | $N$ | $D$ | $E$ | $R$ | $I$ | $E$ | $R$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\|L P S U\|$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $*$ | $*$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Thm: $D(B A N D E R I E R)=2$

A remarkable identity suggested by BANDERIER

$$
2 D(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{k} C_{\omega}(n+1)-C_{\omega}(n)+2-P_{\omega}(n)-P_{\omega}(n+1) .
$$

| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $C_{\omega}$ | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{\omega}$ | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $T_{\omega}$ | 0 | -6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |

$$
2 D(\text { BANDERIER })=2 \times 2=9-6 .
$$

Def: Call geneal a word without Lacunas.

- Christoffel words are genial
- MAIRESSE and DUCHAMP are genial
- CASINO, BODINI, JACQUOT, ROSSIN, SORIA, VALLÉE, and some others are genial as well but
- bander ier is a good friend
- DENISE and FERNIQUE as well.

Infinite words: periodic case

| $\omega$ |  | $I$ | $S$ | $A$ | $W$ | $I$ | $W$ | $A$ | $S$ | $I$ | $B$ | 0 | $B$ | $I$ | $S$ | $A$ | $W$ | $I$ | $W$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LIS\| | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $*$ | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | $1:$ |


| $\omega$ |  | $B$ | $A$ | $N$ | $D$ | $E$ | $R$ | $I$ | $E$ | $R$ | $B$ | $A$ | $N$ | $D$ | $E$ | $R$ | $I$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPSul | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Some important results

1. The following conditions are equivalent :
(i) $\left|\operatorname{PaL}\left(\omega^{\omega}\right)\right|=\infty$;
(ii) $\omega=u, v$, where $u$, $v$ are palindromes;
(iii) $w$ is conjugate either to an even palindrome or to a word of the form app with $a \in A$ and $p \in \operatorname{Pal}(\omega)$;
(iv) the conjugacy class [ $\omega$ ] has an axial symmetry.


Computation of the Lacunas
2. $D(\omega \omega)=D(\omega, x)$ where $|x|=|(|u|-|v|) / 3|$
3. $D\left(\omega^{(\omega)}\right)=D\left(\omega^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\omega^{\prime} \in[\omega]$

The bound given in 2) is attained. Immediate consequences are

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\omega^{\omega}\right)=0 & \Leftrightarrow D(\omega, x)=0 \text { where }|x|=|(|u|-|v|) / 3| \\
& \Leftrightarrow D\left(\omega^{2}\right)=0 \\
& \Leftrightarrow D\left(\omega^{k}\right)=0 \text { where } k \geq 1.333333 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Determining the lacunas of a periodic word is easy.

Def: Words that are product of two palindromes are called

Exercise: give an algorithm bo determine whether a word is symmetric or not.

Here is one showing that BANDERIER is not symmetric

..... the infinite case

- Thue-Morse $M$ is not genial.
- The Lacunas of $M$ are not
- (BANDERIER) ${ }^{\omega}$ is not genial but the lacunas are recognizable.
- SERRE is genial and so is (SERRE)w.
- BASSINO is genial but (BASSINO) ${ }^{\omega}$ is not. This is the case for many others, including BRLEK ....
- Fibonacci word and all sturmian ones are genial.

The remarkable idenkly satisfied by BANDERIER
extends to some infinite words.

Thy: Let $w$ be an infinite word with language closed under reversal, then

$$
2 D(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{\omega}(n+1)-C_{\omega}(n)+2-P_{\omega}(n)-P_{\omega}(n+1) .
$$

Examples: Thue-Morse, Sturmian, all periodic words, oldenburger (closed under reversal?)

Conjecture: Let $W$ be a fixpoint of a primitive morphism. If $D(W)$ is positive and finite, then $W$ is periodic.

- Disproved by the following example
$a \rightarrow a a b c a c b a ; b \rightarrow a a ; c \rightarrow a$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W=a a b c \text { cba.aabcacba.aa,a.aabcacba. } \\
& D(W)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

- Still holds for two letter alphabets.

Another viewpoint on
BANDERIER

Let $\sigma$ be the involution defined by

$$
\sigma: B \leftrightarrow D ; E \leftrightarrow R ; I \leftrightarrow I ; A \leftrightarrow N .
$$

Then, BANDERIER is not a o-pollandromacs but is conjugate bo a o-
$N D \cdot E R I E R \cdot B A$

New notation
$\sigma \operatorname{Pal}(\omega)$ : set of $\sigma$-palindromic factors of $\omega$
LoPS $(\omega)$ : Longest $\sigma$-palindromic suffix of $\omega$ unioccurrent
$D(\omega)$ : number of $\sigma$-Lacunas of $\omega$
$\sigma P_{\omega}(n)$ : number of $\sigma$-palindromic factors of length $n$

## Computations with BANDERIER

| $\omega$ |  | $B$ | $A$ | $N$ | $D$ | $E$ | $R$ | $I$ | $E$ | $R$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mid L_{0} P S_{u}$ | 0 | $*$ | $*$ | 2 | 4 | $*$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | $S$ |  |  |


| $n$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{\omega}$ | 1 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| $\sigma P_{\omega}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| $T_{\omega}$ | 6 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Some new important results:
Prop: For any finite $\omega$ ord $\omega, \operatorname{loPal}(\omega)|\leq|\omega|+1-t$.

Thm: For any finite word w, the (BR) identity holds

$$
2 D_{0}(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{k} C_{\omega}(n+1)-C_{\omega}(n)+2-o P_{\omega}(n)-\sigma P_{\omega}(n+1) .
$$

## and for infinite periodic words

| $\omega$ |  | $B$ | $A$ | $N$ | $D$ | $E$ | $R$ | $I$ | $E$ | $R$ | $B$ | $A$ | $N$ | $D$ | $E$ | $R$ | $I$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LPSul | 0 | $*$ | $*$ | 2 | 4 | $*$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. $\left|\sigma \operatorname{PaL}\left(\omega \omega^{\omega}\right)\right|=\infty \Leftrightarrow \omega=u, v$, with $u, v$ - -palindromes
2. $D_{\sigma}(\omega \omega)=D_{\sigma}\left(\omega^{2}\right)=D_{\sigma}(\omega, x)$ where $|x|=|(|u|-|v|) / 3|$

Def: Words that are product of two o-palindromes are called $\sigma$ -


ThY: [BANDERIER] is $\sigma$-8ymametoric. Proof:

Thu: Let w be an infinite word with language closed under $\sigma$-reversal, then

$$
2 D_{\sigma}(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{\omega}(n+1)-C_{\omega}(n)+2-\sigma P_{\omega}(n)-\sigma P_{\omega}(n+1) .
$$

Examples: Thue-Morse, Oldenburger (not known if closed under $\sigma$-reversal)

Fact: Sturmian words satisfy the (BR) identity but are not closed under o-reversal.

Def: Let $w \in A^{*}$. If there exists an involution $\sigma$ such that $D\left(w^{\omega}\right)$ is finite, then $w$ is called

Example: BANDERIER is almost genial.
Proof: Indeed $\left.D_{o}(\text { (BANDERIER })^{\omega}\right)=3$.
(DENISE and FERNIQUE as well!)

Def: Let $w \in A^{*}$. If there is no involution $\sigma$ such that $D\left(\omega w^{\omega}\right)$ is finite, then $w$ is called inherently not genial.

## Example

## has recognizable Lacuhas

and hence is
not genial
but from another viewpoint is
very close friend

## THE <br> 

