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The (standard) Euclid Algorithm: the grand father of all the algorithms.
On the input $(u, v)$, it computes the $\operatorname{gcd}$ of $u$ and $v$, together with the Continued Fraction Expansion of $u / v$.
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On the input $(u, v)$, it computes the $\operatorname{gcd}$ of $u$ and $v$, together with the Continued Fraction Expansion of $u / v$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{0}:=v ; u_{1}:=u ; u_{0} \geq u_{1} \\
\left\{\begin{array}{ccccc}
u_{0} & = & m_{1} u_{1} & +u_{2} & 0<u_{2}<u_{1} \\
u_{1} & = & m_{2} u_{2} & +u_{3} & 0<u_{3}<u_{2} \\
\ldots & = & \cdots & + & \\
u_{p-2} & = & m_{p-1} u_{p-1} & +u_{p} & 0<u_{p}<u_{p-1} \\
u_{p-1} & = & m_{p} u_{p} & + & 0
\end{array}\right. \\
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
u_{p+1}=0
\end{array}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$u_{p}$ is the gcd of $u$ and $v$, the $m_{i}$ 's are the digits. $p$ is the depth.
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$u_{p}$ is the gcd of $u$ and $v$, the $m_{i}$ 's are the digits. $p$ is the depth.

$$
\text { CFE of } \frac{u}{v}: \quad \frac{u}{v}=\frac{1}{m_{1}+\frac{1}{m_{2}+\frac{1}{\ddots}+\frac{1}{m_{p}}}},
$$

## The underlying Euclidean dynamical system (I).

The trace of the execution of the Euclid Algorithm on $\left(u_{1}, u_{0}\right)$ is:
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An execution of the Euclidean Algorithm $\left(x, T(x), T^{2}(x), \ldots, 0\right)$
$=$ A rational trajectory of the Dynamical System $([0,1], T)$

$$
=\text { a trajectory that reaches } 0
$$

The dynamical system is a continuous extension of the algorithm.


$$
\begin{gathered}
T(x):=\frac{1}{x}-\left\lfloor\frac{1}{x}\right\rfloor \\
\left.T_{[m]}:\right] \frac{1}{m+1}, \frac{1}{m}[\longrightarrow] 0,1[, \\
T_{[m]}(x):=\frac{1}{x}-m \\
\left.h_{[m]}:\right] 0,1[\longrightarrow] \frac{1}{m+1}, \frac{1}{m}[ \\
h_{[m]}(x):=\frac{1}{m+x}
\end{gathered}
$$

The Euclidean dynamical system (II).
A dynamical system with a denumerable system of branches $\left(T_{[m]}\right)_{m \geq 1}$,
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The set $\mathcal{H}$ builds one step of the CF's.
The set $\mathcal{H}^{n}$ of the inverse branches of $T^{n}$ builds CF's of depth $n$. The set $\mathcal{H}^{\star}:=\bigcup \mathcal{H}^{n}$ builds all the (finite) CF's.

$$
\frac{u}{v}=\frac{1}{m_{1}+\frac{1}{m_{2}+\frac{1}{\ddots+\frac{1}{m_{p}}}}}=h_{\left[m_{1}\right]} \circ h_{\left[m_{2}\right]} \circ \ldots \circ h_{\left[m_{p}\right]}(0)
$$

## The transfer operator (1).

Density Transformer:
For a density $f$ on $[0,1], \mathbf{H}[f]$ is the density on $[0,1]$ after one iteration of the shift
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The $k$-th iterate satisfies:

$$
\mathbf{H}_{s}^{k}[f](x)=\sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}^{k}}\left|h^{\prime}(x)\right|^{s} f \circ h(x)
$$

The density transformer $\mathbf{H}$ expresses the new density $f_{1}$ as a function of the old density $f_{0}$, as $f_{1}=\mathbf{H}\left[f_{0}\right]$. It involves the set $\mathcal{H}$
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With a cost $c: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$extended to $\mathcal{H}^{\star}$ by additivity, it gives rise to the weighted transfer operator
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\begin{aligned}
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The $n$-th iterate of $\mathbf{H}_{s, w}$ generates the CFs of depth $n$.
The quasi inverse $\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{H}_{s, w}^{n}$ generates all the finite CFs.
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Properties of the dynamical system: the Good Class

$$
M_{h}:=\sup \left\{\left|h^{\prime}(x)\right|, \quad x \in X\right\}
$$

(1) Uniform contraction.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad M_{h} \leq 1 \\
\exists \rho<1, n_{0} \geq 1 \quad M_{h} \leq \rho \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}^{n_{0}}
\end{gathered}
$$

(2) Bounded distortion.

$$
\exists K>0, \forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \forall x \in X, \quad\left|h^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leq K\left|h^{\prime}(x)\right|
$$

(3) Convergence on the left of $\Re s=1$.

$$
\exists \sigma_{0}<1, \forall \sigma>\sigma_{0}, \quad \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}} M_{h}^{\sigma}<\infty
$$

## Properties of the cost

A cost $c: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{+}$first defined on $\mathcal{H}$, then extended to $\mathcal{H}^{\star}$ by additivity $c(h \circ k):=c(h)+c(k)$.

A cost is of moderate growth if $c(h)=O\left(\left|\log M_{h}\right|\right)$

What is needed on the operator $\mathbf{H}_{s, w}$ for the analysis of the algorithm?
For the average case, only properties on $\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s}\right)^{-1}$ near $\Re s=1$

For the distributional analysis,

$$
\text { properties on }\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1} \text { on the left of } \Re s=1 .
$$

## Quasi-Compactness

For an operator $\mathbf{L}$,

- the spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{L}):=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \quad L-\lambda I$ non inversible $\}$
- the spectral radius $R(\mathbf{L}):=\sup \{|\lambda|, \quad \lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{L})\}$
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## Quasi-Compactness

For an operator $\mathbf{L}$,

- the spectrum $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{L}):=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} ; \quad L-\lambda I$ non inversible $\}$
- the spectral radius $R(\mathbf{L}):=\sup \{|\lambda|, \quad \lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{L})\}$
- the essential spectral radius $R_{e}(\mathbf{L})=$ the smallest $r>0$ s.t any $\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{L})$ with $|\lambda|>r$ is an isolated eigenvalue of finite multiplicity.
- For compact operators, the essential radius equals 0 .
- $\mathbf{L}$ is quasi-compact if the inequality $R_{e}(\mathbf{L})<R(\mathbf{L})$ holds.

Then, outside the closed disk of radius $R_{e}(\mathbf{L})$, the spectrum of the operator consists of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity.
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Suppose that the Banach space $\mathcal{F}$

- is endowed with two norms, a weak norm |.| and a strong norm ||.||,
- and the unit ball of $(\mathcal{F},\|\cdot\|)$ is precompact in $(\mathcal{F},||$.$) .$

If $\mathbf{L}$ is a bounded operator on $(\mathcal{F},\|\|$.$) for which there exist two sequences$ $\left\{r_{n} \geq 0\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{n} \geq 0\right\}$ s.t.

$$
\left\|\mathbf{L}^{n}[f]\right\| \leq r_{n} \cdot\|f\|+t_{n} \cdot|f| \quad \forall n \geq 1, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}
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Then: $\quad R_{e}(\mathbf{L}) \leq r:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left(r_{n}\right)^{1 / n}$.
If $R(\mathbf{L})>r$, then the operator $\mathbf{L}$ is quasi-compact on $(\mathcal{F},\|\|$.$) .$
For systems of the Good Class, $\mathcal{F}:=\mathcal{C}^{1}(X)$,

- the weak norm is the sup-norm $\|f\|_{0}:=\sup |f(t)|$,
- the strong norm is the norm $\left|\left|f \|_{1}:=\sup \right| f(t)\right|+\sup \left|f^{\prime}(t)\right|$.
- the density transformer satisfies the hypotheses of Hennion's Theorem.
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A spectral decomposition

$$
\mathbf{H}_{s, w}=\lambda(s, w) \cdot \mathbf{P}_{s, w}+\mathbf{N}_{s, w} .
$$

$\mathbf{P}_{s, w}$ is the projector on the dominant eigensubspace.
$\mathbf{N}_{s, w}$ is the operator relative to the remainder of the spectrum, whose spectral radius $\rho_{s, w}$ satisfies $\rho_{s, w} \leq \theta \lambda(s, w)$ with $\theta<1$.
......which extends to all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\mathbf{H}_{s, w}^{n}=\lambda^{n}(s, w) \cdot \mathbf{P}_{s, w}+\mathbf{N}_{s, w}^{n} .
$$



Then, a Quasi-Power Property
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Since $\mathbf{H}_{1,0}$ is a density transformer, one has
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$$

Then, a Quasi-Power Property

$$
\mathbf{H}_{s, w}^{n}[f]=\lambda^{n}(s, w) \cdot \mathbf{P}_{s, w}[f] \cdot\left[1+O\left(\theta^{n}\right)\right]
$$

and, a decomposition for the quasi-inverse

$$
\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}=\lambda(s, w) \frac{\mathbf{P}_{s, w}}{1-\lambda(s, w)}+\left(I-\mathbf{N}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}
$$

Since $\mathbf{H}_{1,0}$ is a density transformer, one has

$$
\lambda(1,0)=1, \quad \mathbf{P}_{1,0}[f](x)=\Psi(x) \cdot \int_{I} f(t) d t
$$

"Dominant" (polar) singularities of $\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}$ near the point $(1,0)$ : along a curve $s=\sigma(w)$ on which the dominant eigenvalue satisfies

$$
\lambda(\sigma(w), w)=1
$$

Another important condition: the Aperiodicity condition:
On the line $\Re s=1,1 \notin \mathrm{SpH}_{s}$.

The triple $U D E+S G+$ Aperiodicity entails good properties for $\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s}\right)^{-1}$, sufficient for applying Tauberian Theorems
$s=1$ is the only pole on the line $\Re s=1$


Half-plane of convergence $\Re s>1$

No hypothesis needed on the half-plane $\Re s<1$.

## Property $U S(s, w)$ : Uniformity on Vertical Strips

There exist $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ such that,
on the vertical strip $\mathcal{S}:=\{s ;|\Re(s)-1|<\alpha\}$, and uniformly when $w \in \mathcal{W}:=\{w ; \mid \Re w]<\beta\}$,

## Property $U S(s, w)$ : Uniformity on Vertical Strips

There exist $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ such that, on the vertical strip $\mathcal{S}:=\{s ;|\Re(s)-1|<\alpha\}$, and uniformly when $w \in \mathcal{W}:=\{w ; \mid \Re w]<\beta\}$,
(i) [Strong aperiodicity] $s \mapsto\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}$ has a unique pole inside $\mathcal{S}$; it is located at $s=\sigma(w)$ defined by $\lambda(\sigma(w), w)=1$.

## Property $U S(s, w)$ : Uniformity on Vertical Strips

There exist $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ such that, on the vertical strip $\mathcal{S}:=\{s ;|\Re(s)-1|<\alpha\}$, and uniformly when $w \in \mathcal{W}:=\{w ; \mid \Re w]<\beta\}$,
(i) [Strong aperiodicity] $s \mapsto\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}$ has a unique pole inside $\mathcal{S}$;
it is located at $s=\sigma(w)$ defined by $\lambda(\sigma(w), w)=1$.
(ii) [Uniform polynomial estimates] For any $\gamma>0$, there exists $\xi>0$ s.t,

$$
\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}[1]=O\left(|\Im s|^{\xi}\right) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad|t|>\gamma, \quad w \in \mathcal{W}
$$

## Property $U S(s, w)$ : Uniformity on Vertical Strips

There exist $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ such that, on the vertical strip $\mathcal{S}:=\{s ;|\Re(s)-1|<\alpha\}$, and uniformly when $w \in \mathcal{W}:=\{w ; \mid \Re w]<\beta\}$,
(i) [Strong aperiodicity] $s \mapsto\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}$ has a unique pole inside $\mathcal{S}$;
it is located at $s=\sigma(w)$ defined by $\lambda(\sigma(w), w)=1$.
(ii) [Uniform polynomial estimates] For any $\gamma>0$, there exists $\xi>0$ s.t,

$$
\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1}[1]=O\left(|\Im s|^{\xi}\right) \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{S}, \quad|t|>\gamma, \quad w \in \mathcal{W}
$$

With the Property US, it is easy to deform the contour of the Perron Formula and use Cauchy's Theorem ...

Near $w=0$, the function $\sigma$ is defined by $\lambda(\sigma(w), w)=1$
$s=\sigma(w)$ is the only pole on the strip $|\Re s-1| \leq \alpha$

Uniform polynomial estimates needed on the left domain $1-\alpha \leq \Re s \leq 1,|\Im s| \geq \gamma$.


Expansion
near the pole $s=\sigma(w)$
$\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s, w}\right)^{-1} \sim \frac{a}{s-\sigma(w)}$
Half-plane of
convergence $\Re s>\sigma(w)$

## Property $U S(s)$ is not always true

Item $(i)$ is always false for Dynamical Systems with affine branches.
Example: Location of poles of $\left(I-\mathbf{H}_{s}\right)^{-1}$ near $\Re s=1$
in the case of affine branches of slopes $1 / p$ and $1 / q$ with $p+q=1$.
Two main cases

$$
\text { If } \frac{\log p}{\log q} \in \mathbf{Q}
$$

Regularly spaced poles on $\Re s=1$

Three main facts.
(a) There exist various conditions, (introduced by Dolgopyat), the Conditions UNI that express that "the dynamical system is quite different from a system with piecewise affine branches"
(b) For a good Dynamical system
[complete, strongly expansive, with bounded distortion], Conditions UNI imply the Uniform Property $U S(s, w)$.
(c) Conditions UNI are true in the Euclid context.

Dolgopyat (98) proves the Item (b) but

- only for Dynamical Systems with a finite number of branches
- He considers only the $U S(s)$ Property

Baladi-Vallée adapt his arguments to generalize this result:

For a Dynamical System with a denumerable number of branches (possibly infinite), Conditions UNI [Strong or Weak] imply $U S(s, w)$.

## Precisions about the UNI Conditions

Distance $\Delta . \quad \Delta(h, k):=\inf _{x \in \mathcal{I}} \Psi_{h, k}^{\prime}(x), \quad$ with $\quad \Psi_{h, k}(x):=\log \frac{\left.\mid h^{\prime}(x)\right]}{\left|k^{\prime}(x)\right|}$
Contraction ratio $\rho . \quad \rho:=\lim \sup \left(\left\{\max \left|h^{\prime}(x)\right| ; h \in \mathcal{H}^{n}, x \in \mathcal{I}\right\}\right)^{1 / n}$.
Probability $\operatorname{Pr}_{n}$ on $\mathcal{H}^{n} \times \mathcal{H}^{n}$. $\operatorname{Pr}_{n}(h, k):=|h(\mathcal{I})| \cdot|k(\mathcal{I})|$

For a system $\mathcal{C}^{2}$-conjugated with a piecewise-affine system :
For any $\hat{\rho}$ with $\rho<\hat{\rho}<1$, for any $n, \quad \operatorname{Pr}_{n}\left[\Delta<\hat{\rho}^{n}\right]=1$

Strong Condition UNI.
For any $\hat{\rho}$ with $\rho<\hat{\rho}<1$, for any $n, \quad \operatorname{Pr}_{n}\left[\Delta<\hat{\rho}^{n}\right] \ll \hat{\rho}^{n}$
Weak Condition UNI.
$\exists D>0, \exists n_{0} \geq 1, \forall n \geq n_{0}, \quad \operatorname{Pr}_{n}[\Delta \leq D]<1$.

