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## Goal of this talk

The goal of this talk is threefold

Dualization of laws and co-laws of a bialgebra with the conc-bialgebras to begin with.

## B

Pursue with the generality. How about a general bialgebra.

## C

MRS factorisation(s): Local systems of coordinates for Hausdorff groups.

## Transpose of a laws and dual laws

## Original Problem

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left(\mathcal{B}, \mu, 1_{\mathcal{B}}, \Delta, \epsilon\right)$ be a bialgebra. We now will examine the dualization of it, i.e. ideally the existence of another bialgebra

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mu_{1}, 1_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}, \Delta_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)
$$

and a pairing $\langle. \mid\rangle:. \mathcal{B}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ such that, identically

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle x \mid \mu(y \otimes z)\rangle=\left\langle\Delta_{1}(x) \mid y \otimes z\right\rangle^{\otimes 2}  \tag{1}\\
& \left\langle\mu_{1}(x \otimes y) \mid z\right\rangle=\langle x \otimes y \mid \Delta(z)\rangle^{\otimes 2}  \tag{2}\\
& \epsilon(x)=\left\langle 1_{\mathcal{B}_{1}} \mid x\right\rangle ; \epsilon_{1}(x)=\left\langle x \mid 1_{\mathcal{B}}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition, we require that (through $\langle. \mid$.$\rangle ) we get an embedding$ $\left(\mathcal{B}_{1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{\vee}\right)$ i.e. $\mathcal{B}^{\perp}=\{0\}$ and that there are sufficiently many elements in $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ to separate elements of $\mathcal{B}$ i.e. $\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\perp}=\{0\}$. We say that this pair is in separating dualty (see discussion after MO question 179214).

## Examples

The identities (1)-(3) mean that there is a correspondence between the elements of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{1}$.
(1) $\mathcal{B}=\left(\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle\right.$, conc, $\left.1_{X^{*}}, \Delta_{\text {ШI }}, \epsilon\right) ; \mathcal{B}_{1}=\left(\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle\right.$, ш $\left., 1_{X^{*}}, \Delta_{\text {conc }}, \epsilon\right)$
$\Delta_{\text {Ш }}(w)=\sum_{I+J=[1 \cdots|w|]} w[I] \otimes w[J] ; \Delta_{\text {conc }}(w)=\sum_{u v=w} u \otimes v$
(2) $\varphi$-shuffle. $\varphi: \mathbf{k} . X \otimes \mathbf{k} . X \rightarrow \mathbf{k} . X$ (associative without unit)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } a, b \in X, u, v \in X^{*} \\
& u \amalg 1_{X^{*}}=1_{X^{*} \amalg} \amalg u=u \\
& a . u \amalg \varphi_{\varphi} b . v=a .\left(u \amalg{ }_{\varphi} b . v\right)+b .\left(a . u_{\amalg} \varphi_{\varphi} v\right)+\underbrace{\varphi(a, b) \cdot\left(u \amalg \varphi_{\varphi} v\right)}_{\text {perturbation }}
\end{aligned}
$$

With this law $\quad \mathcal{B}_{\varphi}=\left(\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle\right.$, ш $\left._{\varphi}, 1_{X^{*}}, \Delta_{\text {conc }}, \epsilon\right)$
is a Hopf algebra. The possibility of dualization of $\mathcal{B}_{\varphi}$ depends crucially on what $\varphi$ is.

| Name | Formula（recursion） | $\varphi$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shuffle | $a u \Pi b v=a(u \Pi b v)+b(a u \Pi v)$ | $\varphi \equiv 0$ | Ree |
| Stuffle | $\begin{gathered} x_{i} u \text { ■ } x_{j} v=x_{i}\left(u \text { ப } x_{j} v\right)+x_{j}\left(x_{i} u \text { ■ } v\right) \\ +x_{i+j}(u \text { ப } v) \end{gathered}$ | $\varphi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=x_{i+j}$ | Hoffman |
| Min－stuffle | $\begin{gathered} x_{i} u \text { ■ } x_{j} v=x_{i}\left(u \text { レー } x_{j} v\right)+x_{j}\left(x_{i} u \text { レー } v\right) \\ -x_{i+j}(u \text { ■ } v) \end{gathered}$ | $\varphi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=-x_{i+j}$ | Costermans |
| Muffle |  | $\varphi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=x_{i \times j}$ | Enjalbert，HNM |
| $q$－shuffle |  | $\varphi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=q x_{i+j}$ | Bui |
| $q$－shuffle ${ }_{2}$ |  | $\varphi\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=q^{i \cdot j} x_{i+j}$ | Bui |
| $\operatorname{LDIAG}\left(1, q_{s}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} a u \amalg b v=a( & u \amalg b v) \\ & +b(a u \amalg v) \\ & +q_{s}^{\|a\|\|b\|} a \cdot b(u \amalg v) \end{aligned}$ | $\varphi(a, b)=q_{s}^{\|a\|\|b\|}(a . b)$ | GD，Koshevoy，Penson，Tollu |
| $q$－Infiltration | $\begin{gathered} a u \uparrow b v=a(u \uparrow b v)+b(a u \uparrow v) \\ +q \delta_{a, b} a(u \uparrow v) \end{gathered}$ | $\varphi(a, b)=q \delta_{a, b^{a}}$ | Chen－Fox－Lyndon |
| AC－stuffle | $\begin{aligned} & a u \mathrm{ШI}_{\varphi} b v=a\left(u \mathrm{ШI}_{\varphi} b v\right)+b\left(a u \mathrm{ШI}_{\varphi} v\right) \\ &+\varphi(a, b)\left(u \mathrm{~W}_{\varphi} v\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} \varphi(a, b) & =\varphi(b, a) \\ \varphi(\varphi(a, b), c) & =\varphi(a, \varphi(b, c)) \end{aligned}$ | Enjalbert，HNM |
| Semigroup－ －stuffle | $\begin{gathered} x_{t} u Ш_{\perp} x_{s} v=x_{t}\left(u Ш_{\perp} x_{s} v\right)+x_{s}\left(x_{t} u Ш_{\perp} v\right) \\ +x_{t \perp s}\left(u Ш_{\perp} v\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\varphi\left(x_{t}, x_{s}\right)=x_{t \perp s}$ | Deneufchâtel |
| $\varphi$－shuffle | $\begin{aligned} & a u \mathrm{II}_{\varphi} b v=a\left(u \mathrm{ШI}_{\varphi} b v\right)+b\left(a u \mathrm{ШI}_{\varphi} v\right) \\ &+\varphi(a, b)\left(u Ш_{\varphi} v\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\varphi(a, b)$ law of AAU | Manchon，Paycha |

## Common pattern

$$
\begin{aligned}
w \amalg_{\varphi} 1_{X^{*}} & =1_{X^{*}} \amalg_{\varphi} w=w \text { and } \\
a u \amalg_{\varphi} b v & =a\left(u \amalg_{\varphi} b v\right)+b\left(a u \amalg_{\varphi} v\right)+\varphi(a, b)\left(u \amalg_{\varphi} v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dualizable laws in conc-shuffle bialgebras/1

We can exploit the basis of words as follows
(1) Any bilinear law (shuffle, stuffle or any) $\mu: A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle \otimes_{A} A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle \rightarrow A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle$ can be described through its structure constants w.r.t. to the basis of words, i.e. for $u, v, w \in \mathcal{X}^{*}, \Gamma_{u, v}^{w}:=\langle\mu(u \otimes v) \mid w\rangle$ so that

$$
\mu(u \otimes v)=\sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^{*}} \Gamma_{u, v}^{w} w .
$$

(2) In the case when $\Gamma_{u, v}^{w}$ is locally finite in $w$, we say that the given law is dualizable, the arrow ${ }^{t} \mu$ restricts nicely to $A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle \hookrightarrow A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ and one can define on the polynomials a comultiplication by the finite sum

$$
\Delta_{\mu}(w):=\sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{X}^{*}} \Gamma_{u, v}^{w} u \otimes v .
$$

(3) When the law $\mu$ is dualizable, we have

(still when $\mu$ is dualizable), the arrow $\Delta_{\mu}$ is unique to be able to close the rectangle and $\Delta_{\mu}(P)$ is defined as above.

## Dualizable laws in conc-shuffle bialgebras/2

(3) Now, let us give a family of (counter) examples. We start with $\mathcal{X}=Y_{S}$ where $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an additive subsemigroup (intances of $S$ are $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{+}, \mathbb{R}_{+},[2,+\infty[, \mathbb{Z}$ and the upper-quater plane $\mathcal{P}=\mathbb{N} \oplus i \mathbb{N})$. Building, with $Y_{S}=\left\{y_{s}\right\}_{s \in S}$ and $\varphi\left(y_{s}, y_{t}\right):=y_{(s+t)}$, the $\varphi$-shuffle $\amalg_{\varphi}$ as above (slide 5).
With the above, we get the following table

| $S$ | $\mathbb{N}$ | $\mathbb{N}_{+}$ | $\mathbb{R}_{+}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathcal{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dualizable ? | Y | Y | N | N | Y |

the test is simple: $\omega_{\varphi}$ is dualizable iff $S$ iff $M$ satisfies condition (D) in [Bourba89, Ch. III, §2.10]) which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\forall r \in S)\left(\left\{(s, t) \in S^{2} \mid r=s t\right\} \text { is finite }\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Dualizable laws in conc-shuffle bialgebras/2

(5) Our last example will be the bialgebra of a monoid $M$. It is with $\Delta_{\odot}$, the Hadamard (pointwise) coproduct $\mathcal{B}=\left(\mathbf{k}[M], \mu_{M}, 1_{M}, \Delta_{\odot}, \epsilon\right)$ where $\mu$ is the standard product in the algebra $\mathbf{k}[M]$,
$\Delta_{\odot}(m)=m \otimes m$ and $\epsilon(f)=\sum_{m \in M}\langle f \mid m\rangle$.
Then, if $M$ satifies condition (D) of Bourbaki, $\mathcal{B}$ is dualizable with $\Delta_{1}(m)=\sum_{p q=m} p \otimes q$ and $f \odot g:=\sum_{m \in m}\langle f \mid m\rangle\langle g \mid m\rangle m$ (pointwise product), and $\epsilon_{1}(f)=\left\langle f \mid 1_{M}\right\rangle$, we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left(\mathbf{k}[M], \odot, \chi_{M}, \Delta_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right)
$$

where $\chi_{M}$ is the characteristic function of $M\left(m \mapsto 1_{\mathbf{k}}, \forall m \in M\right)$.
(3) To end with, we remark that the dualization of a comultiplication is always possible, the difficulty being to dualize a product. We now consider separately this problem.

## Transpose of a laws/1

(1) We start with a $\mathbf{k}$ a field and $\mathcal{A}$ a $\mathbf{k}-\mathrm{AAU}$

Let $\mu: \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we have

where $\Phi(S \otimes T)$ is the linear form such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\Phi(S \otimes T) \mid u \otimes v\rangle:=\langle S \mid u\rangle\langle T \mid v\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the fact that $\mathbf{k}$ is a field, the arrow $\Phi$ is into.
(3) The set ? is the set of elements $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\vee}$ such that ${ }^{t} \mu(f) \in \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$. One has a very simple criterium to characterize them.

## Transpose of a laws/2

(3) We have the following (proof is left as an exercise).

## Theorem A (Sweedler, Abe)

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be a field and $\mathcal{A}$ be a $\mathbf{k}$ - $A \mathrm{AU}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mu, 1_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$, we use also infix notation $\mu(u \otimes v)=u * v$ and define the left-right-shifts by $\langle x \triangleright f \triangleleft y \mid z\rangle:=\langle f \mid y z x\rangle$ (one-sided shifts are derived by $x, y=1_{\mathcal{A}}$ ). Then TFAE
(1) ${ }^{t} \mu(f) \in \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$
(2) There exists a double (finite) sequence $\left(g_{i}, h_{i}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\langle f \mid x * y\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle g_{i} \mid x\right\rangle\left\langle h_{i} \mid y\right\rangle
$$

(3) The left-shifts $(x \triangleright f)_{x \in \mathcal{A}}$ form a family of finite rank.
(9) The right-shifts $(f \triangleleft x)_{x \in \mathcal{A}}$ form a family of finite rank.
(9) The bi-shifts $\left.(x \triangleright f \triangleleft y)_{x, y \in \mathcal{A}}\right)$ form a family of finite rank.

## Transpose of a laws/3

(1) End of the theorem

## Theorem A (Sweedler, Abe), cont'd

(0. It exists a matrix representation $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^{n \times n}$ and vectors $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{1 \times n}, \tau \in \mathbf{k}^{n \times 1}$ such that, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f \mid a\rangle=\lambda \mu(a) \tau \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Remarks

i) Condition (2) in "Theorem A (Sweedler, Abe)" is exactly ${ }^{t} \mu(f) \in \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$ so that, equivalence (1) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (2) is just a reformulation.
ii) Property (6) allows to prove that, if ${ }^{t} \mu(f) \in \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$, in fact $\Delta_{\mu}(f) \in \mathcal{A}^{\circ} \otimes \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$. So the commutative square in slide 9 give rise to a ladder which stops at the first step.

## Transpose of a laws/4

We start with a $\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{A A U}$ ( $\mathbf{k}$ a field) $\mathcal{A}$, dualizing
$\mu: \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we have


In fact, as said in the remarks above (slide 11), one sees that the "descent" stops at first step
and then $\mathcal{A}^{\circ \circ}=\mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ this space will be defined as Sweedler's dual of $\mathcal{A}$.

## Case of the shuffle algebra/1

With the example of $\mathcal{A}=\left(\mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle, ш, 1_{X^{*}}\right)$, the square

remarking that $\mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle \simeq \mathbb{C}\left[X^{*} \otimes X^{*}\right]$ becomes


## Case of the shuffle algebra/2

(3) So that, in this case [shuffle algebra], condition (2) in Theorem A reads for all $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle$ (equivalent, by bilinearity, to "for all $\left.u, v \in X^{* \prime \prime}\right)$

$$
\langle R \mid u ш v\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\langle S_{i} \mid u\right\rangle\left\langle T_{i} \mid v\right\rangle
$$

(9) Now considering the identity $(\alpha \cdot x)^{*} ш(\beta \cdot x)^{*}=((\alpha+\beta) \cdot x)^{*}$ with $c=\alpha+\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, we get $(c . x)^{*}=(a . x)^{*} \mathrm{~m}(b . x)^{*}$ for $a+b=c$ and then identities like

$$
\begin{align*}
& (c . x)^{*}=\frac{1}{c+1} \sum_{\substack{a+b=c \\
a, b \in \mathbb{N}}}(a . x)^{*} \amalg(b . x)^{*} \\
& (c . x)^{*}=\frac{1}{c-1} \sum_{\substack{a+b=c \\
a, b \in \mathbb{N}_{+}}}(a . x)^{*} \amalg(b . x)^{*} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

## Case of the shuffle algebra/3

(10) But, in spite of these identities, there is no formula of the type $\Delta_{\text {III }}\left((c . x)^{*}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i} \otimes T_{i}$
(1) Let us compute the shifts (defined as in slide 10) $x^{k} \triangleright^{\text {II }}(c . x)^{*}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{k} \triangleright{ }^{\amalg}(c . x)^{*}=\sum_{n \geq 0}\left\langle(c . x)^{*} \mid x^{n} ш x^{k}\right\rangle x^{n}= \\
& \sum_{n \geq 0}\left\langle(c . x)^{*} \left\lvert\,\binom{ n+k}{k} x^{n+k}\right.\right\rangle x^{n}=  \tag{8}\\
& \frac{c^{k}}{k!} \sum_{n \geq 0} Q_{k}(n) c^{n} \cdot x^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

with $Q_{k} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is of degree $k$ (exactly). This proves that the shifts $x^{k} \triangleright^{\text {ШI }}(c . x)^{*}$ are all $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent.
(2) This shows that there is no hope that identities (7) could be dualized.

## Computation of $\Delta_{\text {III }}\left((c . x)^{*}\right) / 1$

(3) As said above $\Delta_{\text {II }}\left((c . x)^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left\langle\left\langle X^{*} \otimes X^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ but, as was proved $\Delta_{\text {II }}\left((c . x)^{*}\right) \notin \mathbb{C}\left\langle\left\langle X^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\left\langle\left\langle X^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{III}}\left((c . x)^{*}\right)=\sum_{u, v \in X^{*}} c(u, v) u \otimes v \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(44) Firstly, we remark that $\mathbb{C}\left\langle\left\langle X^{*} \otimes X^{*}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ (the algebra of functions on $X^{*} \otimes X^{*}$, the total algebra of the monoid $X^{*} \otimes X^{*}$, see "total algebra of a monoid" in [Bourba89, Ch. III, §2.10]) comes with a filtration due to the gradation of $X^{*} \otimes X^{*}$ as follows
(1) If $M$ is a $\mathbb{N}$-graded monoid ${ }^{a}$
(2) So is the "double" of $M\left(M \times M \simeq M \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M \subset \mathbf{k}\langle M\rangle \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle M\rangle\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M\right)_{n}:=\sqcup_{p+q=n} M_{p} \otimes M_{q} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{2}$ That is $M=\sqcup_{n \geq 0} M_{n}$ with $M_{p} . M_{q} \subset M_{p+q}$.

## Computation of $\Delta_{\text {III }}\left((c . x)^{*}\right) / 2$

(55) Computation continued
(3) So comes the algebra

$$
\mathbf{k}[M] \otimes \mathbf{k}[M] \simeq \mathbf{k}\left[M \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M\right]
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{k}[M] \otimes \mathbf{k}[M])_{n}=\bigoplus_{n=p+q}(\mathbf{k}[M])_{p} \otimes(\mathbf{k}[M])_{q} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) So it is a general fact that the dual of a graded algebra comes with a natural (decreasing) filtration ${ }^{a}$ given by $\left(\mathbf{k}\langle\langle M \otimes M\rangle\rangle_{\geq n}\right.$ to be the linear forms that have their support in $\left(M \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} M\right)_{\geq n}$.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ and even the dual of a increasingly filtered algebra, see MO question 310354.

## Computation of $\Delta_{\text {III }}\left((c . x)^{*}\right) / 3$

(0) Computation continued
(3) In general, a family $\left(S_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ in $\mathbf{k}^{M}$ is said summable if, for each $m \in M$, the function $i \mapsto\left\langle S_{i} \mid m\right\rangle$ is finitely supported.
(0 The end of the computation is left as an exercise using the following ingredients

- $(c . x)^{*}=\sum_{n>0}(c . x)^{n}$
- The family $\left(\bar{\Delta}_{\text {III }}\left((c . x)^{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is summable
- If a family $\left(S_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is summable, so is $\left(\Delta_{\text {II }}\left(S_{n}\right)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\Delta_{\text {II }}$ commutes with the infinite sums.
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