

An extensional perspective on higher categorical models of linear logic

Eliès Harington and Samuel Mimram

May 28, 2025

The goal of this presentation will be to give detailed examples of “ ∞ -categorical models of linear logic” as defined in our FSCD paper [HM25], motivating them through analogies with more well-known 1- and 2-categorical models. These models can be seen as a generalization of Girard’s original model of normal functors [Gir88] and more recent models of species [Fio+08; FGH24] and polynomials [GK13; HM24].

Categorical semantics of linear logic. There are multiple ways to axiomatize what it means for a category to be a model of linear logic. As far as Intuitionistic Linear Logic is concerned, the notion of linear non-linear adjunction encompasses all others, as advocated in [Mel09]. A linear non-linear adjunction is an adjunction

$$(\mathcal{M}, \times) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L} \\ \xleftarrow[\mathcal{M}]{\perp} \end{array} (\mathcal{L}, \otimes)$$

between a category with finite products (\mathcal{M}, \times) and a symmetric monoidal closed category (\mathcal{L}, \otimes) such that the left adjoint $L : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is strongly symmetric monoidal from the cartesian structure on \mathcal{M} to the monoidal structure on \mathcal{L} .

Any such adjunction induces a lax-monoidal comonad $LM : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ which models the exponential modality of (intuitionistic) linear logic. The tensor product and monoidal closure on \mathcal{L} give interpretations to the tensor and linear implication connectives of linear logic, and it can be shown that the structure of the linear non-linear adjunction is enough for this to constitute a denotational model of ILL.

Relational models. The simplest and most well-known categorical model of linear logic is the relational model. In the relational model, the formulae of linear logic are interpreted as sets, the proofs of $A \vdash B$ are interpreted as relations $R \subseteq \llbracket A \rrbracket \times \llbracket B \rrbracket$, and the exponential $!A$ is interpreted as the set $\text{Mul}(\llbracket A \rrbracket)$ of (finite) multisets on $\llbracket A \rrbracket$. In this case, the corresponding linear non-linear adjunction is between the monoidal category $\mathcal{L} := \text{Rel}$ (with tensor product given by the cartesian product of underlying sets), and $\mathcal{M} := \text{Rel}_{\text{Mul}}$ the coKleisli category for the comonad Mul on Rel .

An even simpler linear non-linear adjunction involving the category Rel is given by

$$\text{Set} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow[\mathbf{P}]{\perp} \end{array} \text{Rel}$$

i.e. the adjunction induced by identifying Rel as the Kleisli category for the powerset monad on Set . The left adjoint is strongly monoidal because the monoidal structure on Rel is given by the cartesian product of underlying sets.

This LNL adjunction gives a way to interpret the powerset comonad \mathbf{P} on Rel as an exponential modality of linear logic.

Extensional point of view on relations. Every relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$ induces a union-preserving map between their powersets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{P}(Y) \\ U \subseteq X &\mapsto \{y \in Y \mid \exists x \in U, x R y\} \end{aligned}$$

and every union-preserving map between these powersets is uniquely determined by a relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$ in that way. A poset with arbitrary joins is called a *suplattice*, and a join-preserving map is called a *suplattice morphism* or *linear map*. In the same way a matrix represents a linear map between vector spaces, a relation represents a linear map between suplattices.

From the previous discussion, we see that the fullsubcategory of SupLat on the suplattices of the form $(\mathbf{P}(X), \subseteq)$ is equivalent to the category Rel. The tensor product on Rel extends to a tensor product on SupLat where $E \otimes F$ has the universal property that linear maps $E \otimes F \rightarrow G$ correspond to maps $E \times F \rightarrow G$ that preserve joins *independently in both variables*.

The multiset comonad on Rel extends to the *cofree commutative comonoid comonad* on SupLat, and the powerset comonad extends to the powerset comonad on SupLat, induced by

$$\text{Set} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \text{forget} \end{array} \text{SupLat}$$

But there are other interesting exponential comonads on SupLat.

From sets to posets. Let \mathbb{P} be a class of posets. The category $\text{Poset}_{\mathbb{P}}$ of posets E that admit join of families indexed by posets in \mathbb{P} admits a symmetric monoidal structure where the tensor product $E \otimes F$ classifies maps that are “ \mathbb{P} -linear” independently in both variables. In particular, $\text{Poset}_{\text{all}} = \text{SupLat}$. Moreover, when $\mathbb{P} \subset \mathbb{P}'$, the forgetful functor $\text{Poset}_{\mathbb{P}'} \rightarrow \text{Poset}_{\mathbb{P}}$ admits a strongly monoidal left adjoint, a kind of “relative cocompletion”.

Writing dir for the class of directed posets, given $\mathbb{P} \subseteq \text{dir}$, it turns out the monoidal structure on $\text{Poset}_{\mathbb{P}}$ is cartesian. Summing everything up, we have the following chain of strongly monoidal left adjoints.

$$(\text{Set}, \times) \xrightarrow{\perp} (\text{Poset}, \times) \xrightarrow{\perp} (\text{Poset}_{\text{dir}}, \times) \xrightarrow{\perp} (\text{SupLat}, \otimes)$$

In particular, this gives three exponential comonads on SupLat. The adjunction with Set induces the powerset comonad \mathbf{P} as before, and it restricts to Rel. The adjunction with Poset gives a variant of the powerset comonad that retains more information about the ordering. The adjunction with $\text{Poset}_{\text{dir}}$ gives the domain-theoretic exponential on Rel.

From sets to posets. Write Porel for the category whose objects are posets and morphisms are ordered relations $E \times F^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$. The functors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Set} &\rightarrow \text{SupLat} \\ X &\mapsto \mathbf{P}(X) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Set}}(X, \text{Bool}) \end{aligned}$$

whose essential image is equivalent to Rel extends to a functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Poset} &\rightarrow \text{SupLat} \\ E &\mapsto \mathcal{P}(E) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Porel}}(E^{\text{op}}, \text{Bool}) \end{aligned}$$

whose essential image is equivalent to Porel.

Theorem 1. *Under this equivalence, the three previous comonad act on the underlying posets respectively as*

- $E \mapsto \mathbf{P}(E)$ the free cocompletion of the underlying set of E ,
- $E \mapsto \mathcal{P}(E)$ the free cocompletion of E ,
- $E \mapsto \mathcal{F}(E)$ the free cocompletion of E under finite joins.

While the Mul comonad acted as the free commutative monoid on underlying sets.

The relationship between \mathcal{F} and Mul has already been studied for instance in [Ehr12].

From posets to categories. This whole story generalizes to a categorical setting: sets are replaced by $(\infty-)$ groupoids, posets by $(\infty-)$ categories, Bool by the category Set of sets (or \mathcal{S} of ∞ -groupoids). With an additional subtlety: how to generalize the notion of directed poset.

Given a class of $(\infty-)$ categories \mathbb{C} , write $\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for the $(\infty-)$ category of $(\infty-)$ categories with \mathbb{C} -indexed colimits and functors that preserve such colimits. Then we have a symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}$ as before, and symmetric monoidal left adjoints to the forgetful functors $\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}'} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}$ [Lur17].

In particular, writing sift for the class of sifted $(\infty-)$ categories and filtr for the class of filtered $(\infty-)$ categories, we have the following chain of symmetric monoidal left adjoints.

$$(\text{Grpd}, \times) \xleftarrow{\perp} (\text{Cat}, \times) \xleftarrow{\perp} (\text{Cat}_{\text{filtr}}, \times) \xleftarrow{\perp} (\text{Cat}_{\text{sift}}, \times) \xleftarrow{\perp} (\text{Cat}_{\text{all}}, \otimes)$$

Theorem 2. *The full subcategory of Cat_{all} on presheaf categories is equivalent to the category of categories and profunctors, and from this point of view the induced comonads on Prof correspond to*

- the free cocompletion of the underlying groupoid
- the free cocompletion
- the free cocompletion under finite colimits
- the free cocompletion under finite coproducts

And as before, we can also construct the free exponential by taking cofree commutative comonoids in Cat_{all} , and the action on the underlying category in Prof will be to take the free symmetric monoidal category, yielding back the exponential from the theory of generalized species of structures [Fio+08; FGH24], a generalization of Girard's original model of normal functors [Gir88].

The general analogy is summed up in table 1.

0-categories (posets)	1-categories	∞ -categories
set $X \in \mathbf{Set}$	groupoid $X \in \mathbf{Grpd}$	∞ -groupoid $X \in \mathcal{S}$
poset $P \in \mathbf{Poset}$	category $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}$	∞ -category $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$
relation $r : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$	functor $F : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$	∞ -functor $F : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$
$(r; r')(x, z) = \bigvee_y r(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$	$(F; F')(x, z) = \text{colim}_y F(x, y) \times F'(y, z)$	
relation $R \subseteq X \times Y$	discrete fibration $Z \rightarrow X \times Y$	fibration $Z \rightarrow X \times Y$
ordered relation $r : P \times Q^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Bool}$	profunctor $F : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$	∞ -profunctor $F : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$
$(r; r')(x, z) = \bigvee_y r(x, y) \wedge r'(y, z)$	$(F; F')(x, z) = \int^y F(x, y) \times F'(y, z)$ (coend formula)	
suplattice	cocomplete category	cocomplete ∞ -category
$\mathbf{P}(P) = \mathbf{Bool}^{P^{\text{op}}}$	$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$	$\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}}$
domain	category with filtered colimits	∞ -category with filtered colimits

Table 1: Analogies between 0-, 1- and ∞ -categories

References

- [Ehr12] Thomas Ehrhard. “The Scott model of linear logic is the extensional collapse of its relational model”. In: *Theoretical Computer Science* 424 (2012), pp. 20–45. ISSN: 0304-3975. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcs.2011.11.027>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304397511009467> (cit. on p. 3).
- [FGH24] M. Fiore, N. Gambino, and M. Hyland. *Monoidal bicategories, differential linear logic, and analytic functors*. version: 2. May 23, 2024. DOI: [10.48550/arXiv.2405.05774](https://arxiv.org/abs/10.48550/arXiv.2405.05774). (Visited on 06/22/2024) (cit. on pp. 1, 3).
- [Fio+08] M. Fiore et al. “The cartesian closed bicategory of generalised species of structures”. In: *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 77.1 (Feb. 2008), pp. 203–220. ISSN: 00246107. DOI: [10.1112/jlms/jdm096](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/jdm096). (Visited on 06/29/2023) (cit. on pp. 1, 3).
- [Gir88] Jean-Yves Girard. “Normal functors, power series and λ -calculus”. In: *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 37.2 (Feb. 1, 1988), pp. 129–177. ISSN: 0168-0072. DOI: [10.1016/0168-0072\(88\)90025-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-0072(88)90025-5). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0168007288900255> (visited on 11/14/2022) (cit. on pp. 1, 3).
- [GK13] Nicola Gambino and Joachim Kock. “Polynomial functors and polynomial monads”. In: *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 154.1 (Jan. 2013), pp. 153–192. ISSN: 0305-0041, 1469-8064. DOI: [10.1017/S0305004112000394](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004112000394). (Visited on 09/29/2022) (cit. on p. 1).
- [HM24] Elies Harington and Samuel Mimram. “Polynomials in homotopy type theory as a Kleisli category”. In: *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Informatics and Computer Science* Volume 4 - Proceedings of MFPS XL, 11 (Dec. 2024). ISSN: 2969-2431. DOI: [10.46298/entics.14786](https://doi.org/10.46298/entics.14786) (cit. on p. 1).
- [HM25] Elies Harington and Samuel Mimram. *∞ -categorical models of linear logic*. 2025. URL: https://www.lix.polytechnique.fr/Labo/Elies.HARINGTON/papers/l1_infinity.pdf (cit. on p. 1).

- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. Sept. 18, 2017. URL: <https://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf> (visited on 09/07/2023) (cit. on p. 3).
- [Mel09] Paul-André Mellies. “Categorical semantics of linear logic”. In: *Panoramas et syntheses* 27 (2009), pp. 15–215 (cit. on p. 1).