

Ludwig

München

What is a Multi-Modelling Language?

Martin Wirsing in cooperation with Artur Boronat, Alexander Knapp, Jose Meseguer

Urbana-Champaign, IFIP WG 1.3, 2008



Ludwig—____ Maximilians— Universität—___ München—___

- In practice, several modelling languages are used at the same time.
 - UML, XML, BPMN, ER, SQL, …
- Example scenarios
 - Modelling with UML for an existing SQL-database schema
 - Deriving a UML-class diagram from an SQL-database
 - Business-process modelling with BPMN and design with BPEL
- What is called for: A "multi-modelling" language
 - **Consistency** of heterogeneous models
 - **Transformations** between different modelling languages
 - Correctness of transformations
 - Systematic combination of modelling languages





(Part of) UML GUI design







(Part of) UML GUI design





(Part of) UML GUI design





- "System model approach"
 - Broy: Stream processing functions 1981 & UML system model, 2006-2007
 - Gurevich: ASM, 1988 & Börger et al.: ASM semantics for UML ,2000-2004
 - Meseguer: Rewriting Logic, 1992 & W, Knapp: OO SW Enginerg, 1996
- "Model-driven architecture approach"
 - Poernomo: Type theory for MOF, 2007
 - Boronat, Meseguer: Algebraic Semantics for MOF, 2008
 - SENSORIA: Model transformations for services, 2005-2008
- "Heterogeneous semantics and development approach"
 - Goguen, Burstall: Institutions, 1984
 - W, Knapp: View Consistency, 2004
 - Mossakowski: Heterogeneous Institutions, Habilitation Thesis, 2005
 - Cengarle, Knapp, Tarlecki, W: Heterogeneous UML Semantics, 2008

Natural (logic) semantics for multi-modelling languages



Combine model-driven architecture and heterogeneous semantics

- Metamodels for precise language descriptions
 - 1) Executable metamodel specification in Maude
- Heterogeneous semantics for heterogeneous models
 - 3) Semantic map from metamodel spec to appropriate institution
 - > Heterogeneous institutions as semantics for families of metamodels
- Semantics-preserving model transformations
 - 2) Model transformation based on metamodel specification
 - 4) Semantic connection via Institution morphisms and comorphisms

new, semantically well-founded notion of a multi-modelling language

new notion of semantic correctness for model transformations







1) Data Representations of UML Models

Ludwig LIN Maximilians — Universität _____ München _____























3) Institutions: An Institution for UML-Class Diagrams

Signatures

- Sorts: class names, basic types
- Operations: typed attributes and methods
- Relations: association names with corresponding association ends.

Sentences

declare multiplicities for associations

Example:

 $association(obs, persons : Person : 0..1, observers : Observer : 0..\star)$

Structures

- of a class diagram signature are sets of object states consisting of:
 - Sets of created object identifiers
 - Functions interpreting attributes and methods
 - Relations interpreting associations.

[CKTW 2008]



	I R/I
Ludwig	
Maximilians—	
Universität	
München	

Signatures

- Sorts: Table names, basic types
- Operations: typed columns for tables, update operations
- Operations for primary and foreign keys

Sentences

- Declare properties of RDB such as PrimaryKey, ForeignKey, NonNull, IsUnique as well as multiplicity constraints
- Example: PrimaryKey(Person, pkey)

Structures

- of a database signature consist of
 - relations interpreting tables with elements of basic types
 - functions querying columns, update operations
- satisfying the axioms for the special properties PrimaryKey, ...





Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$ be a meta-model specification

- The institutional semantics is given by
 - an institution I and
 - a semantic mapping

$$\sigma: \llbracket (\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{C}) \rrbracket \to \mathrm{Th}^{I}$$

connecting each SE-model with a theory in ${\cal I}$



Let

 $(I, \sigma : \llbracket (\mathscr{M}, \mathscr{C}) \rrbracket \to \mathrm{Th}^{I})$ and $(I', \sigma' : \llbracket (\mathscr{M}', \mathscr{C}') \rrbracket \to \mathrm{Th}^{I'})$ be two institutional semantics.

A semantic connection

$$I \xrightarrow{\mu} I_0 \xrightarrow{\rho} I$$

consists of

- Mediating institution I₀
- Institution morphism $\mu : I \rightarrow I_0$
- Institution comorphism $\rho: I_0 \rightarrow I'$



3) Semantic Connections: Poor-Man's-Class Diagrams as Mediating Institution



Poor Man's Class Diagrams Institution:

As class diagram institution, but without operations

Institution morphism from CDs to poor man's CDs

- Forgetting operations
- Identity on sentences

 Institution co-morphism from poor man's CDs to database schemata

- Class to table; association end to table with foreign keys
- Attributes to columns
- Multiplicity constraints expressed by cardinality constraints







• A model transformation β is called semantics preserving (w.r.t. the semantic connection (μ, ρ)) if for any SE-model M the models of the ρ -reduct of $\beta(M)$ are models of the μ -image of M:

$$\rho^{\mathrm{Mod}}(\mathrm{Mod}(\sigma'(\beta(M)))) \subseteq \mu^{\mathrm{Mod}}(\mathrm{Mod}(\sigma(M)))$$



- A multi-modelling language is given by
 - A family of metamodel specifications $(\mathcal{M}_l, \mathcal{C}_l)_{l \in L}$
 - Institutional semantics $\sigma_l : \llbracket (\mathscr{M}_l, \mathscr{C}_l) \rrbracket \to \mathrm{Th}^{I_l}$
 - A net of semantics preserving model transformations

$$\beta_{lm}: \llbracket (\mathscr{M}_l, \mathscr{C}_l) \rrbracket \to \llbracket (\mathscr{M}_m, \mathscr{C}_m) \rrbracket$$

Example: RDBS+UML







- New, semantically well-founded notion of a multi-modelling language
 - Algebraic semantics of meta-models
 - Heterogeneous institutional semantics
- New notion of semantic correctness for model transformations
 - Semantics preserving model transformations based on institutions

Composition operators

- Grothendieck construction
- Development graphs (HETS)
- Proof-theoretical correctness conditions
 - General logics
- Tool support