

Statistics on permutation tableaux

Pawel Hitczenko
Drexel University

parts based on joint work with Sylvie Corteel (Paris-Sud)
and parts with Svante Janson (Uppsala)

LIPN, February 5, 2008

Permutation tableaux

Permutation tableau T : a Ferrers diagram of a partition λ filled with 0's and 1's such that :

1. Each column contains at least one 1.
2. There is no 0 which has a 1 above it in the same column *and* a 1 to its left in the same row.

0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1		
0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0				
1						

Previous work

- ▶ introduced by Postnikov (2001)
- ▶ subsequently studied by Williams (2004), Steingrímsson and Williams (2005) (bijections with permutations)
- ▶ connections to PASEP (a particle model in statistical physics) Corteel and Williams (2006) and (2007).
- ▶ additional combinatorial work Corteel and Nadeau (2007) (more bijections), Burstein (2006) (some properties of permutation tableaux)

Statistics on T

- ▶ Length $\ell(T)$: no. rows plus no. columns

0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1		
0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0				
1						

$\ell(T) = 12$

Number of permutation tableaux of length $n = n!$. \mathcal{T}_n is the set of all permutation tableaux with $\ell(T) = n$.

Statistics on T

- ▶ Length $\ell(T)$: no. rows plus no. columns
- ▶ $U(T)$: number of unrestricted rows (a row is restricted if it has a 0 that has 1 above it)

→	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
→	0	0	1	0	1		
→	0	1	1	1	1		
	0	0	0				
→	1						

$$U(T) = 4$$

Statistics on T

- ▶ Length $\ell(T)$: no. rows plus no. columns
- ▶ $U(T)$: number of unrestricted rows (a row is restricted if it has a 0 that has 1 above it)
- ▶ $F(T)$: number of 1's in the first row

0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1		
0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0				
1						

$$F(T) = 3$$

Statistics on T

- ▶ Length $\ell(T)$: no. rows plus no. columns
- ▶ $U(T)$: number of unrestricted rows (a row is restricted if it has a 0 that has 1 above it)
- ▶ $F(T)$: number of 1's in the first row
- ▶ $R(T)$: number of rows

0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1		
0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0				
1						

$$R(T) = 5$$

Statistics on T

- ▶ Length $\ell(T)$: no. rows plus no. columns
- ▶ $U(T)$: number of unrestricted rows (a row is restricted if it has a 0 that has 1 above it)
- ▶ $F(T)$: number of 1's in the first row
- ▶ $R(T)$: number of rows
- ▶ $S(T)$: number of superfluous 1's (1's below the top one in the column)

0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1		
0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0				
1						

$S(T) = 3$

From tableaux of length $n - 1$ to tableaux of length n

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ and suppose that it has U_{n-1} unrestricted rows.
From the SW corner of the tableau we can extend its length by one by either:

0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1		
0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0				
1						

From tableaux of length $n - 1$ to tableaux of length n

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ and suppose that it has U_{n-1} unrestricted rows. From the SW corner of the tableau we can extend its length by one by either:

- ▶ moving S ; this adds a row (unrestricted)

0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1		
0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0				
1						

From tableaux of length $n - 1$ to tableaux of length n

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ and suppose that it has U_{n-1} unrestricted rows. From the SW corner of the tableau we can extend its length by one by either:

- ▶ moving S; this adds a row (unrestricted)
- ▶ moving W; this adds a column that has to be filled

	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
	0	0	1	0	1		
	0	1	1	1	1		
	0	0	0				
	1						

From tableaux of length $n - 1$ to tableaux of length n

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ and suppose that it has U_{n-1} unrestricted rows. From the SW corner of the tableau we can extend its length by one by either:

- ▶ moving S; this adds a row (unrestricted)
- ▶ moving W; this adds a column that has to be filled
 - ▶ Put zero in restricted rows

	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
	0	0	1	0	1		
	0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0	0				
	1						

From tableaux of length $n - 1$ to tableaux of length n

Let $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ and suppose that it has U_{n-1} unrestricted rows. From the SW corner of the tableau we can extend its length by one by either:

- ▶ moving S; this adds a row (unrestricted)
- ▶ moving W; this adds a column that has to be filled
 - ▶ Put zero in restricted rows
 - ▶ Put zero or one in unrestricted rows

0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	1	0	1		
1	0	1	1	1	1		
0	0	0	0				
0	1						

Distribution of the number of unrestricted rows

So, there are $2^{U_{n-1}}$ extensions of T (all equally likely).

Distribution of the number of unrestricted rows

So, there are $2^{U_{n-1}}$ extensions of T (all equally likely).

Let U_n be the number of unrestricted rows in the extension of T . Elementary calculations based on these earlier observations yield that for $1 \leq k \leq U_{n-1} + 1$

$$P(U_n = k) = \frac{1}{2^{U_{n-1}}} \binom{U_{n-1}}{k-1} = P(\text{Bin}(U_{n-1}) = k-1).$$

Distribution of the number of unrestricted rows

So, there are $2^{U_{n-1}}$ extensions of T (all equally likely).

Let U_n be the number of unrestricted rows in the extension of T . Elementary calculations based on these earlier observations yield that for $1 \leq k \leq U_{n-1} + 1$

$$P(U_n = k) = \frac{1}{2^{U_{n-1}}} \binom{U_{n-1}}{k-1} = P(\text{Bin}(U_{n-1}) = k-1).$$

This means that,

$$\mathcal{L}(U_n | U_{n-1}) = 1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1}).$$

Change of measure

Let P_n be the uniform probability on \mathcal{T}_n and E_n integration w.r.t. P_n .

Change of measure

Let P_n be the uniform probability on \mathcal{T}_n and E_n integration w.r.t. P_n . For a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ we have

Change of measure

Let P_n be the uniform probability on \mathcal{T}_n and E_n integration w.r.t. P_n . For a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n f(U_n) &= E_n E(f(U_n) | U_{n-1}) = E_n E(f(1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})) | U_{n-1}) \\ &= E_n \tilde{f}(U_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Change of measure

Let P_n be the uniform probability on \mathcal{T}_n and E_n integration w.r.t. P_n . For a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n f(U_n) &= E_n E(f(U_n) | U_{n-1}) = E_n E(f(1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})) | U_{n-1}) \\ &= E_n \tilde{f}(U_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The last integral over \mathcal{T}_{n-1} is *not* w.r.t. the uniform measure but w.r.t. the measure induced by P_n .

Change of measure

Let P_n be the uniform probability on \mathcal{T}_n and E_n integration w.r.t. P_n . For a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n f(U_n) &= E_n E(f(U_n) | U_{n-1}) = E_n E(f(1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})) | U_{n-1}) \\ &= E_n \tilde{f}(U_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The last integral over \mathcal{T}_{n-1} is *not* w.r.t. the uniform measure but w.r.t. the measure induced by P_n . The relation is: for $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ with U_{n-1} unrestricted rows

$$P_n(T) = \frac{2^{U_{n-1}}}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} = \frac{2^{U_{n-1}}}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} |\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| P_{n-1}(T).$$

Change of measure

Let P_n be the uniform probability on \mathcal{T}_n and E_n integration w.r.t. P_n . For a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n f(U_n) &= E_n E(f(U_n) | U_{n-1}) = E_n E(f(1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})) | U_{n-1}) \\ &= E_n \tilde{f}(U_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The last integral over \mathcal{T}_{n-1} is *not* w.r.t. the uniform measure but w.r.t. the measure induced by P_n . The relation is: for $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ with U_{n-1} unrestricted rows

$$P_n(T) = \frac{2^{U_{n-1}}}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} = \frac{2^{U_{n-1}}}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} |\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| P_{n-1}(T).$$

So,

$$E_n f(U_n) = \frac{|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}|}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} E_{n-1} 2^{U_{n-1}} \tilde{f}(U_{n-1}).$$

Change of measure

Let P_n be the uniform probability on \mathcal{T}_n and E_n integration w.r.t. P_n . For a function $f : R \rightarrow R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n f(U_n) &= E_n E(f(U_n) | U_{n-1}) = E_n E(f(1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})) | U_{n-1}) \\ &= E_n \tilde{f}(U_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The last integral over \mathcal{T}_{n-1} is *not* w.r.t. the uniform measure but w.r.t. the measure induced by P_n . The relation is: for $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1}$ with U_{n-1} unrestricted rows

$$P_n(T) = \frac{2^{U_{n-1}}}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} = \frac{2^{U_{n-1}}}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} |\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| P_{n-1}(T).$$

So,

$$E_n f(U_n) = \frac{|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}|}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} E_{n-1} 2^{U_{n-1}} \tilde{f}(U_{n-1}).$$

We know $\frac{|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}|}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} = \frac{1}{n}$ but we don't want to use it yet.

Illustration

Theorem: For every $n \geq 0$ $|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = (n + 1)!$.

Illustration

Theorem: For every $n \geq 0$ $|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = (n+1)!$.

Proof: Count the elements of \mathcal{T}_{n+1} as follows

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} 2^{U_n(T)}.$$

Illustration

Theorem: For every $n \geq 0$ $|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = (n+1)!$.

Proof: Count the elements of \mathcal{T}_{n+1} as follows

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} 2^{U_n(T)}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} 2^{U_n(T)} = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n E(2^{U_n} | U_{n-1}).$$

Illustration

Theorem: For every $n \geq 0$ $|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = (n+1)!$.

Proof: Count the elements of \mathcal{T}_{n+1} as follows

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} 2^{U_n(T)}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} 2^{U_n(T)} = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n E(2^{U_n} | U_{n-1}).$$

And

$$E(2^{U_n} | U_{n-1}) = E(2^{1+\text{Bin}(U_{n-1})} | U_{n-1}) = 2 \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}},$$

Illustration

Theorem: For every $n \geq 0$ $|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = (n+1)!$.

Proof: Count the elements of \mathcal{T}_{n+1} as follows

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} 2^{U_n(T)}.$$

Then

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} 2^{U_n(T)} = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n E(2^{U_n} | U_{n-1}).$$

And

$$E(2^{U_n} | U_{n-1}) = E(2^{1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})} | U_{n-1}) = 2 \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}},$$

Hence, by the change of measure

$$\begin{aligned} E_n 2^{U_n} &= 2 E_n \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}} = 2 \frac{|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}|}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} E_{n-1} 2^{U_{n-1}} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}} \\ &= 2 \frac{|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}|}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Illustration

So,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = 2|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| \cdot E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}.$$

Illustration

So,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = 2|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| \cdot E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}.$$

This can be iterated and gives

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot |\mathcal{T}_1| \cdot E_1 (n+1)^{U_1} = (n+1)!$$

Illustration

So,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = 2|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| \cdot E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}.$$

This can be iterated and gives

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot |\mathcal{T}_1| \cdot E_1 (n+1)^{U_1} = (n+1)!$$

- ▶ Methodology:

Illustration

So,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = 2|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| \cdot E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}.$$

This can be iterated and gives

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot |\mathcal{T}_1| \cdot E_1 (n+1)^{U_1} = (n+1)!$$

► Methodology:

► condition: $\mathcal{L}(U_n | U_{n-1}) = 1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})$

Illustration

So,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = 2|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| \cdot E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}.$$

This can be iterated and gives

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot |\mathcal{T}_1| \cdot E_1 (n+1)^{U_1} = (n+1)!$$

► Methodology:

- condition: $\mathcal{L}(U_n | U_{n-1}) = 1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})$
- compute: expectation of a function of $\text{Bin}(U_{n-1})$

Illustration

So,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = 2|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| \cdot E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}.$$

This can be iterated and gives

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot |\mathcal{T}_1| \cdot E_1 (n+1)^{U_1} = (n+1)!$$

► Methodology:

- condition: $\mathcal{L}(U_n | U_{n-1}) = 1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})$
- compute: expectation of a function of $\text{Bin}(U_{n-1})$
- change the measure and reduce from n to $n-1$.

Illustration

So,

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = |\mathcal{T}_n| \cdot E_n 2^{U_n} = 2|\mathcal{T}_{n-1}| \cdot E_{n-1} 3^{U_{n-1}}.$$

This can be iterated and gives

$$|\mathcal{T}_{n+1}| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n \cdot |\mathcal{T}_1| \cdot E_1 (n+1)^{U_1} = (n+1)!$$

► Methodology:

- condition: $\mathcal{L}(U_n|U_{n-1}) = 1 + \text{Bin}(U_{n-1})$
- compute: expectation of a function of $\text{Bin}(U_{n-1})$
- change the measure and reduce from n to $n-1$.
- iterate.

Some results

We can get, in a unified and elementary way

Some results

We can get, in a unified and elementary way

Theorem: For a random tableau of length n :

- ▶ The number of unrestricted rows is distributed like $\sum_{k=1}^n J_k$, where J_k are independent indicators and $P(J_k = 1) = 1/k$.

Some results

We can get, in a unified and elementary way

Theorem: For a random tableau of length n :

- ▶ The number of unrestricted rows is distributed like $\sum_{k=1}^n J_k$, where J_k are independent indicators and $P(J_k = 1) = 1/k$.
- ▶ The number of ones in the first row is distributed like $\sum_{k=2}^n J_k$.

Some results

We can get, in a unified and elementary way

Theorem: For a random tableau of length n :

- ▶ The number of unrestricted rows is distributed like $\sum_{k=1}^n J_k$, where J_k are independent indicators and $P(J_k = 1) = 1/k$.
- ▶ The number of ones in the first row is distributed like $\sum_{k=2}^n J_k$.
- ▶ The distribution of the number of rows is given by Eulerian numbers $\langle \cdot \rangle$, permutations of $[n]$ with “ \cdot ” rises.

Some results

We can get, in a unified and elementary way

Theorem: For a random tableau of length n :

- ▶ The number of unrestricted rows is distributed like $\sum_{k=1}^n J_k$, where J_k are independent indicators and $P(J_k = 1) = 1/k$.
- ▶ The number of ones in the first row is distributed like $\sum_{k=2}^n J_k$.
- ▶ The distribution of the number of rows is given by Eulerian numbers $\langle n \rangle$, permutations of $[n]$ with “ \cdot ” rises.
- ▶ For superfluous one's: $ES_n = (n-1)(n-2)/12$, $\text{var}(S_n) = (n-2)(2n^2 + 11n - 1)/360$, and

$$\frac{S_n - n^2/12}{n^3/180} \implies N(0, 1)$$

Some results

We can get, in a unified and elementary way

Theorem: For a random tableau of length n :

- ▶ The number of unrestricted rows is distributed like $\sum_{k=1}^n J_k$, where J_k are independent indicators and $P(J_k = 1) = 1/k$.
- ▶ The number of ones in the first row is distributed like $\sum_{k=2}^n J_k$.
- ▶ The distribution of the number of rows is given by Eulerian numbers $\langle \cdot \rangle$, permutations of $[n]$ with “ \cdot ” rises.
- ▶ For superfluous one's: $ES_n = (n-1)(n-2)/12$, $\text{var}(S_n) = (n-2)(2n^2 + 11n - 1)/360$, and

$$\frac{S_n - n^2/12}{n^3/180} \implies N(0, 1)$$

There is convergence to $N(0, 1)$ in the first three cases, too.

Remarks:

- ▶ The first three results can also be deduced from bijections between permutation tableaux and permutations, an involution on permutation tableaux, and known properties of permutations.

Remarks:

- ▶ The first three results can also be deduced from bijections between permutation tableaux and permutations, an involution on permutation tableaux, and known properties of permutations.
- ▶ But, the combinatorics behind those results is not simple and all three required different methods.

Remarks:

- ▶ The first three results can also be deduced from bijections between permutation tableaux and permutations, an involution on permutation tableaux, and known properties of permutations.
- ▶ But, the combinatorics behind those results is not simple and all three required different methods.
- ▶ The results about superfluous ones rely on a (bijectively proved) fact that the number of superfluous ones is equidistributed with the number of occurrences of the generalized pattern 31-2 ($i < j$ such that $\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_j > \sigma_i$).

Remarks:

- ▶ The first three results can also be deduced from bijections between permutation tableaux and permutations, an involution on permutation tableaux, and known properties of permutations.
- ▶ But, the combinatorics behind those results is not simple and all three required different methods.
- ▶ The results about superfluous ones rely on a (bijectively proved) fact that the number of superfluous ones is equidistributed with the number of occurrences of the generalized pattern 31-2 ($i < j$ such that $\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_j > \sigma_i$).
- ▶ But, there is no proof *independent* of the bijections between permutation tableaux and permutations. One writes

$$S = \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} I_{\sigma_{i-1} > \sigma_j > \sigma_i}$$

and proves the Central Limit Theorem for dependent random variables (Janson).

Sample easy proof (unrestricted rows)

For the characteristic function of U_n we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n e^{itU_n} &= E_n E \left(e^{itU_n} | U_{n-1} \right) = E_n E \left(e^{it(1+\text{Bin}(U_{n-1}))} | U_{n-1} \right) \\ &= e^{it} E_n \left(\frac{e^{it} + 1}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}} = \frac{e^{it}}{n} E_{n-1} 2^{U_{n-1}} \left(\frac{e^{it} + 1}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{e^{it}}{n} E_{n-1} (e^{it} + 1)^{U_{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (in that order) conditioning, distributional properties of U_n , an obvious fact that for a complex number z , $Ez^{\text{Bin}(m)} = \left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^m$, and the change of measure.

Sample easy proof (unrestricted rows)

For the characteristic function of U_n we have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_n e^{itU_n} &= E_n E \left(e^{itU_n} | U_{n-1} \right) = E_n E \left(e^{it(1+\text{Bin}(U_{n-1}))} | U_{n-1} \right) \\ &= e^{it} E_n \left(\frac{e^{it} + 1}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}} = \frac{e^{it}}{n} E_{n-1} 2^{U_{n-1}} \left(\frac{e^{it} + 1}{2} \right)^{U_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{e^{it}}{n} E_{n-1} (e^{it} + 1)^{U_{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (in that order) conditioning, distributional properties of U_n , an obvious fact that for a complex number z , $E_Z^{\text{Bin}(m)} = \left(\frac{z+1}{2}\right)^m$, and the change of measure.

Applying the same procedure to the last expectation, this time with $z = 1 + e^{it}$ we see that

$$E_n e^{itU_n} = \frac{e^{it}(e^{it} + 1)}{n(n-1)} E_{n-2} (e^{it} + 2)^{U_{n-2}}.$$

Further iterations yield

$$\begin{aligned} E_n e^{itU_n} &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{e^{it} + k}{n - k} \right) E_1(e^{it} + n - 1)^{U_1} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{it} + k}{k + 1} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{it}}{k} + 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Further iterations yield

$$\begin{aligned} E_n e^{itU_n} &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{e^{it} + k}{n - k} \right) E_1(e^{it} + n - 1)^{U_1} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{it} + k}{k + 1} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{it}}{k} + 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The factor in the last term is the characteristic function of a random variable that is 1 with probability $1/k$ and 0 with probability $1 - 1/k$.

Further iterations yield

$$\begin{aligned} E_n e^{itU_n} &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{e^{it} + k}{n - k} \right) E_1(e^{it} + n - 1)^{U_1} = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{it} + k}{k + 1} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{it}}{k} + 1 - \frac{1}{k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The factor in the last term is the characteristic function of a random variable that is 1 with probability $1/k$ and 0 with probability $1 - 1/k$.

Since the product corresponds to summing independent random variables, we get that the characteristic function of U_n is equal to that of $\sum_{k=1}^n J_k$.