

# On universal differential equations

V. Hoang Ngoc Minh  
Université Lille, 1 Place Déliot, 59024 Lille, France.

Séminaire Combinatoire, Informatique et Physique  
23 Février, 2, 16, 23, 30 Mars, 06 & 13 Avril 2021,  
Villetaneuse

# Outline

1. Introduction
  - 1.1 Picard-Vessiot theory of ordinary differential equation
  - 1.2 Fuchsian linear differential equations
  - 1.3 Nonlinear differential equations
2. Dual laws and representative series
  - 2.1 conc-shuffle and conc-stuffle bialgebras
  - 2.2 Dualizable laws in conc-shuffle bialgebras
  - 2.3 Representative series and Sweedler's dual
3. Continuity over Chen series
  - 3.1 Iterated integrals and Chen series
  - 3.2 Continuity, indiscernability and growth condition
  - 3.3 Chen series and differential equations
4. Noncommutative PV theory and independences via words
  - 4.1 First step of noncommutative PV theory
  - 4.2 Independences over differential ring
  - 4.3 The case of a family of eulerian function
5.  $\text{Dom}(\text{Li}_\bullet)$  and  $\text{Dom}(\text{H}_\bullet)$ 
  - 5.1 Back to polylogarithms, harmonic sums and polyzetas
  - 5.2 Extended polymorphism  $\zeta$
  - 5.3 Polyzetas and extended eulerian functions

# INTRODUCTION

# Picard-Vessiot theory of ordinary differential equation

$(\mathbf{k}, \partial)$  a commutative differential ring **without zero divisors**.

$\text{Const}(\mathbf{k}) = \{c \in \mathbf{k} \mid \partial c = 0\}$  is supposed to be a field.

(ODE)  $(a_n \partial^n + a_{n-1} \partial^{n-1} + \dots + a_0)y = 0$ ,  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n \in \mathbf{k}$ .  
 $a_n^{-1}$  is supposed to exist.


## Definition 1

- Let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be  $\text{Const}(\mathbf{k})$ -linearly independent solutions of (ODE). Then  $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  is called a **fundamental set of solutions** of (ODE) and it generates a  $\text{Const}(\mathbf{k})$ -vector subspace of dimension at most  $n$ .
- If  $M = \mathbf{k}\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$  and  $\text{Const}(M) = \text{Const}(\mathbf{k})$  then  $M$  is called a **Picard-Vessiot extension** related to (ODE)
- Let  $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbb{K}_1$  and  $\mathbf{k} \subset \mathbb{K}_2$  be differential rings. An isomorphism of rings  $\sigma : \mathbb{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_2$  is a differential  $\mathbf{k}$ -isomorphism if  
$$\forall a \in \mathbb{K}_1, \quad \partial(\sigma(a)) = \sigma(\partial a) \text{ and, if } a \in \mathbf{k}, \sigma(a) = a.$$
If  $\mathbb{K}_1 = \mathbb{K}_2 = \mathbb{K}$ , the **differential galois group** of  $\mathbb{K}$  over  $\mathbf{k}$  is by  
$$\text{Gal}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{K}) = \{\sigma \mid \sigma \text{ is a differential } \mathbf{k}\text{-automorphism of } \mathbb{K}\}.$$

---

1. Let  $R_1, R_2$  be differential rings s.t.  $R_1 \subset R_2$ . Let  $S$  be a subset of  $R_2$ .

$R_1\{S\}$  denotes the smallest differential subring of  $R_2$  containing  $R_1$ .

$R_1\{S\}$  is the ring (over  $R_1$ ) generated by  $S$  and their derivatives of all orders. 

# Linear differential equations and Dyson series

Let  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}(z)$ ,  $(a_n(z)\partial^n + \dots + a_1(z)\partial + a_0(z))y(z) = 0$ .

$$(ED) \quad \begin{cases} \partial q(z) &= A(z)q(z), & A(z) \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}(z)), \\ q(z_0) &= \eta, & \lambda \in \mathcal{M}_{1,n}(\mathbb{C}), \\ y(z) &= \lambda q(z), & \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{n,1}(\mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

By successive Picard iterations, with the initial point  $q(z_0) = \eta$ , we get  $y(z) = \lambda U(z_0; z)\eta$ , where  $U(z_0; z)$  is the following functional expansion

$$U(z_0; z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{z_0}^z A(z_1) dz_1 \int_{z_0}^{z_1} A(z_2) dz_2 \dots \int_{z_0}^{z_{k-1}} A(z_k) dz_k, \text{ (Dyson series)}$$

and  $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k, z)$  is a subdivision of the path of integration  $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$ .

In order to find the matrix  $\Omega(z_0; z)$  s.t.

$$U(z_0; z) = \exp[\Omega(z_0; z)] = \top \exp \int_{z_0}^z A(s) ds, \quad \text{(Feynman's notation)}$$

Magnus computed  $\Omega(z_0; z)$  as limit of the following Lie-integral-functionals

$$\Omega_1(z_0; z) = \int_{z_0}^z A(z) ds,$$

$$\Omega_k(z_0; z) = \int_{z_0}^z [A(z) + [A(z), \Omega_{k-1}(z_0; s)]/2 + \dots] ds.$$

2. Subject to convergence.

# Fuchsian linear differential equations

Let us consider, here,  $\sigma = \{s_i\}_{i=0, \dots, m}$  as set of **simple** poles of  $(ED)$ .

$$A(z) = \sum_{i=0}^m M_i u_i(z), \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} M_i \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{C}), \\ u_i(z) = (z - s_i)^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}(z). \end{cases}$$
$$(ED) \quad \begin{cases} \partial q(z) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^m M_i u_i(z) \right) q(z), \\ q(z_0) = \eta, \\ y(z) = \lambda q(z). \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  be the ring of holomorphic functions ( $1_\Omega$  : neutral element) over the multi-cleft complex plane  $\Omega$  (from  $s_i$ 's to infinities without crossing).

Let  $X^*$  be the set of words over  $X = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$  and

$$\alpha_{z_0}^z \otimes \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle \otimes \mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathcal{H}(\Omega))$$

( $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$  is the path of integration previously introduced) s.t.

$$\mathcal{M}(1_{X^*}) = \text{Id}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}) = M_{i_1} \cdots M_{i_k},$$

$$\alpha_{z_0}^z(1_{X^*}) = 1_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{z_0}^z(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}) = \int_{z_0}^z \frac{dz_1}{z_1 - s_{i_1}} \cdots \int_{z_0}^{z_{k-1}} \frac{dz_k}{z_k - s_{i_k}}.$$

Then<sup>3</sup>  $y(z) = \lambda U(z_0; z) \eta$  with

$$U(z_0; z) = \sum_{w \in X^*} \mathcal{M}(w) \alpha_{z_0}^z(w) = (\mathcal{M} \otimes \alpha_{z_0}) \sum_{w \in X^*} w \otimes w.$$

---

3. Subject to convergence.

# Examples of linear dynamical systems

## Example 2 (Hypergeometric equation)

Let  $t_0, t_1, t_2$  be parameters and

$$z(1-z)\ddot{y}(z) + [t_2 - (t_0 + t_1 + 1)z]\dot{y}(z) - t_0t_1y(z) = 0.$$

Let  $q_1(z) = -y(z)$  and  $q_2(z) = (1-z)\dot{y}(z)$ . Hence, one has

$$y(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} q_1(z) \\ q_2(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{q}_1(z) \\ \dot{q}_2(z) \end{pmatrix} &= \left( \frac{M_0}{z} + \frac{M_1}{1-z} \right) \begin{pmatrix} q_1(z) \\ q_2(z) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (u_0(z)M_0 + u_1(z)M_1) \begin{pmatrix} q_1(z) \\ q_2(z) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_0(z) = z^{-1}$ ,  $u_1(z) = (1-z)^{-1}$  and

$$M_0 = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_0t_1 & t_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & t_2 - t_0 - t_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

# Nonlinear differential equations

$$(NED) \quad \begin{cases} \partial q(z) &= \left( \sum_{i=0}^m T_i(q) u_i(z) \right) (q), \\ q(z_0) &= q_0, \\ y(z) &= f(q(z)), \end{cases}$$

where

- ▶  $u_i \in (\mathbf{k}, \partial)$ ,
- ▶ the state  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  belongs to the complex analytic manifold  $Q$  of dimension  $n$  and  $q_0$  is the initial state,
- ▶ the observation  $f \in \mathcal{O}$ , with  $\mathcal{O}$  the ring of analytic functions over  $Q$ ,
- ▶ for  $i = 0..1$ ,  $T_i = (T_i^1(q)\partial/\partial q_1 + \dots + T_i^m(q)\partial/\partial q_m)$  is an analytic vector field over  $Q$ , with  $T_i^j(q) \in \mathcal{O}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

With  $X$  and  $\alpha_{z_0}^z$  given as previously, let the morphism  $\tau$  be defined by  $\tau(1_{X^*}) = \text{Id}$  and  $\tau(x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}) = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_k}$ . Then<sup>4</sup>  $y(z) = \mathcal{T} \circ f|_{q_0}$  with

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_{w \in X^*} \tau(w) \alpha_{z_0}^z(w) = (\tau \otimes \alpha_{z_0}^z) \sum_{w \in X^*} w \otimes w.$$

---

4. Subject to convergence.



## Examples of nonlinear dynamical systems (1/2)

### Example 3 (Harmonic oscillator)

Let  $k_1, k_2$  be parameters and  $\partial^2 y(z) + k_1 y(z) + k_2 y^2(z) = u_1(z)$  which can be represented by the following state equations (with  $n = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned}y(z) &= q(z), \\ \partial q(z) &= A_0(q)u_0(z) + A_1(q)u_1(z),\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } A_0 = -(k_1 q + k_2 q^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \text{ and } A_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial q}.$$

### Example 4 (Duffing equation)

Let  $a, b, c$  be parameters and  $\partial^2 y(z) + a \partial y(z) + b y(z) + c y^3(z) = u_1(z)$  which can be represented by the following state equations (with  $n = 2$ )

$$\begin{aligned}y(z) &= q_1(z), \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial q_1(z) \\ \partial q_2(z) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} q_2 \\ -(a q_2 + b^2 q_1 + c q_1^3) \end{pmatrix} u_0(z) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u_1(z) \\ &= A_0(q)u_0(z) + A_1(q)u_1(z),\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } A_0 = -(a q_2 + b^2 q_1 + c q_1^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} + q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \text{ and } A_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2}.$$

## Examples of nonlinear dynamical systems (2/2)

### Example 5 (Van der Pol oscillator)

Let  $\gamma, g$  be parameters and

$$\partial^2 x(z) - \gamma[1 + x(z)^2]\partial x(z) + x(z) = g \cos(\omega z)$$

which can be transformed into (with  $C$  is some constant of integration)

$$\partial x(z) = \gamma[1 + x(z)^2/3]x(z) - \int_{z_0}^z x(s)ds + \frac{g}{\omega} \sin(\omega z) + C.$$

Supposing  $x = \partial y$  and  $u_1(z) = g \sin(\omega z)/\omega + C$ , it leads then to

$$\partial^2 y(z) = \gamma[\partial y(z) + (\partial y(z))^3/3] + y(z) + u_1(z)$$

which can be represented by the following state equations (with  $n = 2$ )

$$\begin{aligned} y(z) &= q_1(z), \\ \begin{pmatrix} \partial q_1(z) \\ \partial q_2(z) \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} q_2 \\ \gamma(q_2 + q_2^3/3) + q_1 \end{pmatrix} u_0(z) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u_1(z) \\ &= A_0(q)u_0(z) + A_1(q)u_1(z), \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } A_0 = [\gamma(q_2 + q_2^3/3) + q_1] \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2} + q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial q_1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial q_2}.$$

# DUAL LAWS AND REPRESENTATIVE SERIES

## Dual laws in bialgebras

Starting with a  $\mathbf{k}$ -**AAU** ( $\mathbf{k}$  is a ring)  $\mathcal{A}$ . Dualizing  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , we get the transpose  ${}^t\mu : \mathcal{A}^\vee \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A})^\vee$  so that we do not get a co-multiplication in general.

- ▶ Remark that when  $\mathbf{k}$  is a field, the following arrow is into (due to the fact that  $\mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}^\vee$  is torsionfree)

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}^\vee \rightarrow (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A})^\vee.$$

- ▶ One restricts the codomain of  ${}^t\mu$  to  $\mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}^\vee$  and then the domain to  $({}^t\mu)^{-1}\Phi(\mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}^\vee) =: \mathcal{A}^\circ$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{A}^\vee & \xrightarrow{{}^t\mu} & (\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A})^\vee \\
 \text{can} \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi \\
 \mathcal{A}^\circ & \xrightarrow{\Delta_\mu} & \mathcal{A}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}^\vee \\
 \text{can} \uparrow & & \uparrow j \otimes j \\
 \mathcal{A}^{\circ\circ} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_\mu} & \mathcal{A}^\circ \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} \mathcal{A}^\circ
 \end{array}$$

The descent stops at first step for a field  $\mathbf{k}$  and then  $\mathcal{A}^{\circ\circ} = \mathcal{A}^\circ$ .  
 The coalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}^\circ, \Delta_\mu)$  is called the Sweedler's dual of  $(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ .

## Case of algebras noncommutative series

- ▶  $\mathcal{X}$  denotes the **ordered** alphabets  $Y := \{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  or  $X := \{x_0, x_1\}$ .

On the free monoid  $(\mathcal{X}^*, \text{conc}, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*})$ , we use the correspondences

$$x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1 \in \mathcal{X}^* \xrightarrow[\pi_{\mathcal{X}}]{\pi_Y} y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \in Y^* \leftrightarrow (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}_+^r.$$

Let  $\mathcal{Lyn}\mathcal{X}$  denote the set of Lyndon words generated by  $\mathcal{X}$ .

- ▶ Let  $(\text{Lie}_A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle, [.] )$  and  $(A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle, \text{conc})$  (resp.  $(\text{Lie}_A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle, [.] )$  and  $(A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle, \text{conc})$ ) are the algebras of (Lie) series (resp. polynomials).

$\{P_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{Lyn}\mathcal{X}}$  (resp.  $\{\Pi_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{Lyn}Y}$ ) is a basis of Lie algebra of primitive elements and  $\{S_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{Lyn}\mathcal{X}}$  (resp.  $\{\Sigma_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{Lyn}Y}$ ) is a transcendence basis of  $(A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*})$  (resp.  $(A\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{Y^*})$ ).

- ▶  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}(\mathcal{X}) := (A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle, \text{conc}, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}, \Delta_{\sqcup}, e)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}(Y) := (A\langle Y \rangle, \text{conc}, 1_{Y^*}, \Delta_{\sqcup}, e)$  with <sup>5</sup> (for  $x \in \mathcal{X}, y_i \in Y$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\sqcup} x &= x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} + 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \otimes x, \\ \Delta_{\sqcup} y_i &= y_i \otimes 1_{Y^*} + 1_{Y^*} \otimes y_i + \sum_{k+l=i} y_k \otimes y_l. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The dual law associated to  $\text{conc}$  is defined, for  $w \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , by

$$\Delta_{\text{conc}}(w) = \sum_{u,v \in \mathcal{X}^*, uv=w} u \otimes v.$$

5. Or equivalently, for  $x, y \in \mathcal{X}, y_i, y_j \in Y$  and  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}^*$  (resp.  $Y^*$ ),
- $$u \sqcup 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} = 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \sqcup u = u \text{ and } xu \sqcup yv = x(u \sqcup yv) + y(xu \sqcup v),$$
- $$u \sqcup 1_{Y^*} = 1_{Y^*} \sqcup u = u \text{ and } x_i u \sqcup y_j v = y_i(u \sqcup y_j v) + y_j(y_i u \sqcup v).$$

## Dualizable laws in conc-shuffle bialgebras (1/2)

We can exploit the basis of words as follows

- Any bilinear law (shuffle, stuffle or any)  $\mu : A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \otimes_A A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \rightarrow A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$  can be described through its structure constants wrt to the basis of words, i.e. for  $u, v, w \in \mathcal{X}^*$ ,  $\Gamma_{u,v}^w := \langle \mu(u \otimes v) | w \rangle$  so that

$$\mu(u \otimes v) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \Gamma_{u,v}^w w.$$

- In the case when  $\Gamma_{u,v}^w$  is locally finite in  $w$ , we say that the given law is dualizable, the arrow  ${}^t\mu$  restricts nicely to  $A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \hookrightarrow A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  and one can define on the polynomials a comultiplication by

$$\Delta_\mu(w) := \sum_{u,v \in \mathcal{X}^*} \Gamma_{u,v}^w u \otimes v.$$

- When the law  $\mu$  is dualizable, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{{}^t\mu} & A\langle\langle \mathcal{X}^* \otimes \mathcal{X}^* \rangle\rangle \\
 \text{can} \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi|_{A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \otimes_A A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle} \\
 A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle & \xrightarrow{\Delta_\mu} & A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \otimes_A A\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle
 \end{array}$$

The arrow  $\Delta_\mu$  is unique to be able to close the rectangle and  $\Delta_\mu(P)$  is defined as above.

## Dualizable laws in conc-shuffle bialgebras (2/2)

4. Proof that the arrow  $A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle \otimes_A A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle \rightarrow A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}^* \otimes \mathcal{X}^*\rangle\rangle$  is into :

Let  $T = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i \otimes_A Q_i$  such that  $\Phi(T) = 0$ . Rewriting  $T$  as a finitely supported sum  $T = \sum_{u,v \in \mathcal{X}^*} c_{u,v} u \otimes v$  (this is indeed the iso

between  $A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle \otimes_A A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle$  and  $A[\mathcal{X}^* \times \mathcal{X}^*]$ ),  $\Phi(T)$  is by definition of  $\Phi$  the double series (here a polynomial) s.t.  $\langle\Phi(T)|u \otimes v\rangle = c_{u,v}$ . If  $\Phi(T) = 0$ , then for all  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{X}^* \times \mathcal{X}^*$ ,  $c_{u,v} = 0$  entailing  $T = 0$ .

We extend by linearity and infinite sums, for  $S \in A\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$  (resp.  $A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ), by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\sqcup} S &= \sum_{w \in Y^*} \langle S|w \rangle \Delta_{\sqcup} w \in A\langle\langle Y^* \otimes Y^* \rangle\rangle, \\ \Delta_{\text{conc}} S &= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \langle S|w \rangle \Delta_{\text{conc}} w \in A\langle\langle \mathcal{X}^* \otimes \mathcal{X}^* \rangle\rangle, \\ \Delta_{\sqcap} S &= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \langle S|w \rangle \Delta_{\sqcap} w \in A\langle\langle \mathcal{X}^* \otimes \mathcal{X}^* \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle \otimes A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  does not embed injectively in  $A\langle\langle \mathcal{X}^* \otimes \mathcal{X}^* \rangle\rangle \cong [A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle]\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ .

6.  $A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle \otimes A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  contains the elements of the form  $\sum_{i \in I} \text{finite } G_i \otimes D_i$  (with  $(G_i, D_i) \in A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle \times A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ) which can be interpreted as double series. But, a priori, the images of different dual laws cannot be, in general reduced to such sums.

Furthermore, the arrow tensor products of series  $\rightarrow$  double series may not be into, when  $A$  is only a ring.

## Extended Ree's theorem

Let  $S \in A\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$  (resp.  $A\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ),  $A$  is a commutative ring containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

The series  $S$  is said to be

1. a  $\boxplus$  (resp. conc,  $\boxminus$ )-character iff, for any  $w, v \in Y^*$  (resp.  $\mathcal{X}^*$ ),  $\langle S|w \rangle \langle S|v \rangle = \langle S|w \boxplus v \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle S|wv \rangle, \langle S|w \boxminus v \rangle$ ) and  $\langle S|1 \rangle = 1$ .
2. an infinitesimal  $\boxplus$  (resp. conc,  $\boxminus$ )-character iff, for any  $w, v \in Y^*$  (resp.  $\mathcal{X}^*$ ),  $\langle S|w \boxplus v \rangle = \langle S|w \rangle \langle v|1_{Y^*} \rangle + \langle w|1_{Y^*} \rangle \langle S|v \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle S|wv \rangle = \langle S|w \rangle \langle v|1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle + \langle w|1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle \langle S|v \rangle$ ,  $\langle S|w \boxminus v \rangle = \langle S|w \rangle \langle v|1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle + \langle w|1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle \langle S|v \rangle$ ).
3. a group-like series iff  $\langle S|1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle = 1$  and  $\Delta_{\boxplus} S = \Phi(S \otimes S)$  (resp.  $\Delta_{\text{conc}} S = \Phi(S \otimes S), \Delta_{\boxminus} S = \Phi(S \otimes S)$ ).
4. a primitive series iff  $\Delta_{\boxplus} S = 1_{Y^*} \otimes S + S \otimes 1_{Y^*}$  (resp.  $\Delta_{\text{conc}} S = 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \otimes S + S \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}, \Delta_{\boxminus} S = 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \otimes S + S \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}$ ).

Then the following assertions are equivalent

1.  $S$  is a  $\boxplus$  (resp. conc and  $\boxminus$ )-character.
2.  $\log S$  an infinitesimal  $\boxplus$  (resp. conc and  $\boxminus$ )-character.
3.  $S$  is group-like, for  $\Delta_{\boxplus}$  (resp.  $\Delta_{\text{conc}}$  and  $\Delta_{\boxminus}$ ).
4.  $\log S$  is primitive, for  $\Delta_{\boxplus}$  (resp.  $\Delta_{\text{conc}}$  and  $\Delta_{\boxminus}$ ).



## Extension by continuity (infinite sums)

Now, suppose that the ring  $A$  (containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) is a field  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then

$\Delta_{\sqcup} : \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$  and  $\Delta_{\sqcup} : \mathbf{k}\langle Y \rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\langle Y \rangle \otimes \mathbf{k}\langle Y \rangle$  are graded for the multidegree. Then  $\Delta_{\sqcup}$  is graded for the length. Their extension to the completions (i.e.  $\mathbf{k}\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  and  $\mathbf{k}\langle\langle \mathcal{X}^* \otimes \mathcal{X}^* \rangle\rangle$ ) are continuous and then, when exist, commute with infinite sums. Hence<sup>7, 8</sup>,

$$\forall c \in \mathbf{k}, \quad \Delta_{\sqcup} (cx)^* = \sum_{n \geq 0} c^n \Delta_{\sqcup} x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} c^n \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j \otimes x^{n-j}.$$

For  $c \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  which is neither a field nor a ring (containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ ), we also get

$$(cx)^* = (c-1)^{-1} \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, a+b=c} (ax)^* \sqcup (bx)^* \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle,$$

$$\Delta_{\sqcup} (cx)^* \neq (c-1)^{-1} \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, a+b=c} (ax)^* \otimes (bx)^* \in \mathbb{Q} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle \otimes \mathbb{Q} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle,$$

because

$$\langle \text{LHS} | x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle = c \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \text{RHS} | x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle = (c-1)^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{c-1} a = \frac{c}{2}.$$

For  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$  (or even  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ ), the such decomposition is not finite.

7. For  $S \in A \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  s.t.  $\langle S | 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle = 0$ ,  $S^* = \sum_{n \geq 0} S^n$  is called **Kleene star** of  $S$ .

8.  $\Delta_{\sqcup} x^n = (\Delta_{\sqcup} x)^n = (1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \otimes x + x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*})^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} x^j \otimes x^{n-j}$

## Case of rational series and of $\Delta_{\text{conc}}$

$A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  denotes the algebraic closure by<sup>9</sup>  $\{\text{conc}, +, *\}$  of  $\widehat{A.\mathcal{X}}$  in  $A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle & \xrightarrow{\quad {}^t\text{conc} \quad} & A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}^* \otimes \mathcal{X}^*\rangle\rangle \\
 \text{can} \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi|_{A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle \otimes_A A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle} \\
 A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle & \dashrightarrow & A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle \otimes_A A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle
 \end{array}$$

The dashed arrow may not exist in general, but for any  $R \in A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  admitting  $(\lambda, \mu, \eta)$  as linear representation of dimension  $n$ , we can get

$${}^t\text{conc}(R) = \Phi(\sum_{i=1}^n G_i \otimes D_i).$$

Indeed, since  $\langle R|xy \rangle = \lambda\mu(xy)\eta = \lambda\mu(x)\mu(y)\eta$  ( $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ ) then, letting  $e_i$  is the vector such that  ${}^t e_i = (0 \ \dots \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ \dots \ 0)$ , one has

$$\langle R|xy \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda\mu(x)e_i {}^t e_i \mu(y)\eta = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle G_i|x \rangle \langle D_i|y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle G_i \otimes D_i|x \otimes y \rangle.$$

$G_i$  (resp.  $D_i$ ) admits then  $(\lambda, \mu, e_i)$  (resp.  $({}^t e_i, \mu, \eta)$ ) as linear representation.

If  $A = \mathbf{k}$  being a field then, due to the injectivity of  $\Phi$ , all expressions of the type  $\sum_{i=1}^n G_i \otimes D_i$ , of course, coincide. Hence, the dashed arrow (a restriction of  $\Delta_{\text{conc}}$ ) in the above diagram is well-defined.

9.  $A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  is closed under  $\sqcup$ .  $A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{Y}\rangle\rangle$  is also closed under  $\sqcup$ .

# Representative series and Sweedler's dual

## Theorem 6 (representative series)

Let  $S \in A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ . The following assertions are equivalent

1. The series  $S$  belongs to  $A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ .
2. There exists a linear representation  $(\nu, \mu, \eta)$ , of rank  $n$ , for  $S$  with  $\nu \in M_{1,n}(A)$ ,  $\eta \in M_{n,1}(A)$  and a morphism of monoids  $\mu : \mathcal{X}^* \rightarrow M_{n,n}(A)$  s.t., for any  $w \in \mathcal{X}^*$ ,  $\langle S|w \rangle = \nu\mu(w)\eta$ .
3. The **shifts**<sup>10</sup>  $\{S \triangleleft w\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*}$  (resp.  $\{w \triangleright S\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*}$ ) lie within a finitely generated shift-invariant  $A$ -module.

Moreover, if  $A$  is a field  $\mathbf{k}$ , the previous assertions are equivalent to

4. There exist  $(G_i, D_i)_{i \in F \text{ finite}}$  s.t.  $\Delta_{\text{conc}}(S) = \sum_{i \in F \text{ finite}} G_i \otimes D_i$ .

Hence,  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}^{\circ}(\mathcal{X}) = (\mathbf{k}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}, \Delta_{\text{conc}}, e)$  and

$\mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}^{\circ}(Y) = (\mathbf{k}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}, \Delta_{\text{conc}}, e)$ .

Now, let  $A_{\text{exc}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  (resp.  $A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ ) be the set of **exchangeable**<sup>11</sup> series (resp. series admitting a linear representation with commuting matrices).

10. The *left* (resp. *right*) **shift** of  $S$  by  $P$  is  $P \triangleright S$  (resp.  $S \triangleleft P$ ) defined by, for  $w \in \mathcal{X}^*$ ,  $\langle P \triangleright S|w \rangle = \langle S|wP \rangle$  (resp.  $\langle S \triangleleft P|w \rangle = \langle S|Pw \rangle$ ).

11. i.e. if  $S \in A_{\text{exc}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  then  $(\forall u, v \in \mathcal{X}^*)(\forall x \in \mathcal{X})(|u|_x = |v|_x) \Rightarrow \langle S|u \rangle = \langle S|v \rangle$ .

# Kleene stars of the plane and conc-characters

For any  $S \in A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ , let  $\nabla S$  denotes  $S - 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}$ .

## Theorem 7 (rational exchangeable series)

1.  $A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle \subset A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle \cap A_{\text{exc}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ . If  $A$  is a field then the equality holds and  $A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle = A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle x_0 \rangle\rangle \sqcup A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle x_1 \rangle\rangle$  and, for the algebra of series over subalphabets  $A_{\text{fin}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle := \cup_{F \subset \text{finite}} A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle F \rangle\rangle$ , we get<sup>12</sup>  $A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle \cap A_{\text{fin}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle = \cup_{k \geq 0} A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle y_1 \rangle\rangle \sqcup \dots \sqcup A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle y_k \rangle\rangle \subsetneq A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$ .
2.  $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \{P(1 - xQ)^{-1}\}_{P, Q \in A[x]}$ . If  $\mathbf{k}$  is an algebraically closed field then  $\mathbf{k}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \text{span}_{\mathbf{k}}\{(ax)^* \sqcup \mathbf{k}\langle x \rangle \mid a \in K\}$ .
3. If  $A$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra,  $\{x^*\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  (resp.  $\{y^*\}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}}$ ) are conc-character and alg. free over  $(A\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*})$  (resp.  $(A\langle\mathcal{Y}\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{Y}^*})$ ) within  $(A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*})$  (resp.  $(A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle\mathcal{Y}\rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{Y}^*})$ ).
4. Let  $S \in A\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ . If  $A = \mathbf{k}$ , a field, then t.f.a.e.
  - a)  $S$  is groupe-like, for  $\Delta_{\text{conc}}$ .
  - b) There exists  $M := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} c_x x \in \widehat{\mathbf{k}\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle}$  s.t.  $S = M^*$ .
  - c) There exists  $M := \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} c_x x \in \widehat{\mathbf{k}\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle}$  s.t.  $\nabla S = MS = SM$ .

12. The following identity lives in  $A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$  but not in  $A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle \cap A_{\text{fin}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$ ,  $(y_1 + \dots)^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (y_1 + \dots + y_k)^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} y_1^* \sqcup \dots \sqcup y_k^* \neq \sqcup_{k \geq 1} y_k^*$ .

# Triangular sub bialgebras of $(A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}, \Delta_{\text{conc}}, e)$

Let  $(\nu, \mu, \eta)$  be a linear representation of  $R \in A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be the Lie algebra generated by  $\{\mu(x)\}_{x \in X}$ .

Let  $M(x) := \mu(x)x$ , for  $x \in X$ . Then  $R = \nu M(X^*)\eta$ . If  $\{\mu(x)\}_{x \in X}$  are **triangular** then let  $D(X)$  (resp.  $N(X)$ ) be the **diagonal** (resp. **nilpotent**) letter matrix s.t.  $M(X) = D(X) + N(X)$  then

$M(X^*) = ((D(X^*)T(X))^*D(X^*))$ . Moreover, if  $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$  then  $M(X^*) = (M(x_1^*)M(x_0))^*M(x_1^*) = (M(x_0^*)M(x_1))^*M(x_0^*)$ .

If  $A$  is an algebraically closed field, the modules generated by the following families are closed by **conc**,  $\sqcup$  and coproducts :

- $(F_0)$   $E_1x_1 \dots E_jx_1E_{j+1}$ , where  $E_k \in A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle x_0 \rangle\rangle$ ,
- $(F_1)$   $E_1x_0 \dots E_jx_0E_{j+1}$ , where  $E_k \in A^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle x_1 \rangle\rangle$ ,
- $(F_2)$   $E_1x_{i_1} \dots E_jx_{i_j}E_{j+1}$ , where  $E_k \in A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, x_{i_k} \in X$ .

It follows then that

- $R$  is a linear combination of expressions in the form  $(F_0)$  (resp.  $(F_1)$ ) iff  $M(x_1^*)M(x_0)$  (resp.  $M(x_0^*)M(x_1)$ ) is **nilpotent**,
- $R$  is a linear combination of expressions in the form  $(F_2)$  iff  $\mathcal{L}$  is **solvable**. Thus, if  $R \in A_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \sqcup A\langle X \rangle$  then  $\mathcal{L}$  is **nilpotent**.

# CONTINUITY OVER CHEN SERIES

## Iterated integrals over $\omega_i(z) = u_{x_i}(z)dz$ and along $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$

Now, let  $\Omega$  be a simply connected domain admitting  $1_\Omega$  as neutral element.

Let  $\mathcal{A} := (\mathcal{H}(\Omega), \partial)$  and let  $\mathcal{C}_0$  be a differential subring of  $\mathcal{A}$  ( $\partial\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}_0$ ) which is an integral domain containing  $\mathbb{C}$ .

$\mathbb{C}\{(g_i)_{i \in I}\}$  denotes the differential subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ , i.e. the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra generated by  $g_i$ 's and their derivatives

$\{u_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  : elements<sup>13</sup> in  $\mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ , correspondent to  $\{\theta_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  ( $\theta_x = u_x^{-1}\partial$ ).

The **iterated integral**<sup>14</sup> associated to  $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k} \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , over the differential forms  $\omega_i(z) = u_{x_i}(z)dz$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , and along a path  $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$  on  $\Omega$ , is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{z_0}^z(1_{\mathcal{X}^*}) &= 1_\Omega, \\ \alpha_{z_0}^z(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) &= \int_{z_0}^z \omega_{i_1}(z_1) \dots \int_{z_0}^{z_{k-1}} \omega_{i_k}(z_k). \\ \partial \alpha_{z_0}^z(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) &= u_{x_{i_1}}(z) \int_{z_0}^z \omega_{i_2}(z_2) \dots \int_{z_0}^{z_{k-1}} \omega_{i_k}(z_k). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\partial^l \alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*, l \geq 0} &\subset \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(u_x)_{x \in \mathcal{X}}\} \{\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \\ &\subset \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(u_x^{\pm 1})_{x \in \mathcal{X}}\} \{\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \\ &\cong \mathbb{C}\{(u_x^{\pm 1})_{x \in \mathcal{X}}\} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} ? \end{aligned}$$

13. In control theory, these are called "inputs" and they may vary (see below).

14. The value of  $\alpha_{z_0}^z(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k})$  depends on  $\{\omega_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ , or equivalently on  $\{u_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$ .

# Iterated integrals and integro differential operators

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}\{(u_x^{\pm 1})_{x \in \mathcal{X}}\}$ . One has  $\theta_x \in \mathcal{C}\langle \partial \rangle$ , for  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , and  
 $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{X}^*, \quad \theta_x \alpha_{z_0}^z(yw) = u_x^{-1}(z) u_y(z) \alpha_{z_0}^z(w)$ .

Now, let  $\Theta$  be the morphism  $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{C}\langle \partial \rangle$  defined as follows

$$\Theta(w) = \begin{cases} \text{Id} & \text{if } w = 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}, \\ \Theta(u)\theta_x & \text{if } w = ux \in \mathcal{X}^*\mathcal{X}. \end{cases}$$

One has, for any  $w \in \mathcal{X}^*$ ,

1.  $\Theta(\tilde{w})\alpha_{z_0}^z(w) = 1_\Omega$ , and then  $\partial(\Theta(\tilde{w})\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)) = 0$ .
2.  $L_w \alpha_{z_0}^z(\tilde{w}) = 0$ , where  $L_w := \partial\Theta(w) \in \mathcal{C}\langle \partial \rangle$ .

For any  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$ , let us consider a section of  $\theta_{x_i} : \theta_{x_i} \iota_{x_i}^{z_0} = \text{Id}$ , i.e.

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega), \quad \iota_{x_i}^{z_0} f(z) = \int_{z_0}^z \omega_i(s) f(s).$$

The operator  $\theta_y \iota_x^{z_0}$ , for  $x \neq y$ , admits  $u_y u_x^{-1}$  as eigenvalue, i.e.

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega), \quad (\theta_y \iota_x^{z_0})f = u_y u_x^{-1} f, \quad \text{in particular, } (\theta_y \iota_x^{z_0})1_\Omega = u_y u_x^{-1}.$$

Now, let  $\mathfrak{S}^{z_0}$  be the morphism defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{S}^{z_0}(w) = \begin{cases} \text{Id} & \text{if } w = 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}, \\ \mathfrak{S}^{z_0}(u)\iota_x^{z_0} & \text{if } w = ux \in \mathcal{X}^*\mathcal{X}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, for any  $w \in \mathcal{X}^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}^{z_0}(w)1_\Omega = \alpha_{z_0}^z(w)$ .



## Practical example (polylogarithms)

For  $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus (]-\infty, 0] \cup [1, +\infty[)$ , let us consider

$$u_{x_0}(z) = z^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{x_1}(z) = (1-z)^{-1}.$$

Then, on the other hand,

$$\omega_0(z) = u_{x_0}(z)dz = z^{-1}dz \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1(z) = u_{x_1}(z)dz = (1-z)^{-1}dz,$$
$$\theta_{x_0} = u_{x_0}^{-1}(z)\partial = z\partial \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{x_1} = u_{x_1}^{-1}(z)\partial = (1-z)\partial.$$

On the other hand<sup>15</sup>,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}\{\{(u_x^{\pm 1})_{x \in X}\}\} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, (1-z)^{-1}]$  being closed by  $\theta_{x_0}, \theta_{x_1}$  and then by  $\partial = \theta_{x_0} + \theta_{x_1} = \Theta(x_0 + x_1)$ . One also has

1.  $\Theta([x_1, x_0]) = [\theta_{x_1}, \theta_{x_0}] = \partial$ .
2.  $\forall w \in X^* x_1, \mathfrak{S}^0(w)1_\Omega = \alpha_0^z(w) = \text{Li}_w(z)$ .
3.  $(\theta_{x_0} \iota_{x_1}^{z_0})1_\Omega = z(1-z)^{-1}$  and  $(\theta_{x_1} \iota_{x_0}^{z_0})1_\Omega = z^{-1} - 1$ .
4.  $[\theta_{x_0} \iota_{x_1}^{z_0}, \theta_{x_1} \iota_{x_0}^{z_0}] = 0$ .
5.  $(\theta_{x_0} \iota_{x_1}^{z_0})(\theta_{x_1} \iota_{x_0}^{z_0}) = (\theta_{x_1} \iota_{x_0}^{z_0})(\theta_{x_0} \iota_{x_1}^{z_0}) = \text{Id}$ .

For any  $L \in \mathcal{C}\langle \partial \rangle$ , there is  $P \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle$  s.t.  $L = \Theta(P)$ , meaning that  $\Theta$  is surjective and non injective. Moreover,  $\ker \Theta$  is the left principal ideal generated by  $[x_1, x_0] - x_0 - x_1$ .

---

15. Any  $p \in \mathcal{C}$  is polynomial on  $z, z^{-1}$  and  $(1-z)^{-1}$  and admits 0 and 1 as poles.

# Structure of iterated integrals

## Proposition 1

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}\{(u_x^{\pm 1})_{x \in \mathcal{X}}\}$  and  $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$  be a path on  $\Omega$ . Then TFAE

1. The morphism  $(\mathcal{C}\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}) \rightarrow (\text{span}_{\mathcal{C}}\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*}, \times, 1_{\Omega})$  is injective.
2.  $\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -linearly independent.
3.  $\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(l)\}_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} \mathcal{X}}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebraically independent.
4.  $\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(x)\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -algebraically independent.
5.  $\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(x)\}_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cup \{1_{\mathcal{X}^*}\}}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -linearly independent.

If one of the above assertions holds then

1.  $\mathcal{C}[\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*}]$  forms the universal  $\mathcal{C}$ -module of solutions of all differential equations  $Ly = 0$ ,
2.  $\mathcal{C}\{\alpha_{z_0}^z(w)\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*}$  forms the universal Picard-Vessiot extension related to all differential equations  $Ly = 0$ ,

where<sup>16</sup>  $L$ 's are linear differential operators belonging to  $\mathcal{C}\langle \partial \rangle$ .

16. For any  $w \in X^*$ , let  $\mathcal{I}_w := \{L \in \mathcal{C}\langle \partial \rangle \text{ s.t. } L\alpha_{z_0}^z(w) = 0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{I}_w$  is a left ideal.

## Examples of linear differential equation

### Example 8 (with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}(z)$ )

$$(\partial - z)y = 0. \quad (1)$$

1.  $e^{z^2/2}$  is solution of (1).
2.  $ce^{z^2/2} = e^{z^2/2}e^{\log c}$  is an other solution ( $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ).
3.  $\{e^{z^2/2}\}$  is a fundamental set of solutions of (1).
4.  $\mathcal{C}\{e^{z^2/2}\}$  is a Picard-Vessiot extension related to (1).

For  $\theta_{x_0} = z\partial$  and  $\theta_{x_1} = (1 - z)\partial$ , since  $L_{x_1 x_0} = \partial\theta_{x_1}\theta_{x_0} \in \mathcal{C}\langle\partial\rangle$  then let

$$L_{x_1 x_0} y = (z(1 - z)\partial^3 + (2 - 3z)\partial^2 - \partial)y = 0. \quad (2)$$

1.  $L_{x_1 x_0} \text{Li}_2 = 0$  meaning that  $\text{Li}_2$  is solution of (2).
2.  $c \text{Li}_2 = \text{Li}_2 e^{\log c}$  is an other solution ( $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ) but it is not independent to  $\text{Li}_2$ .
3.  $\{\text{Li}_2, \log, 1_\Omega\}$  is a fundamental set of solutions of (2).
4.  $\mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_2, \log, 1_\Omega\}$  is a Picard-Vessiot extension<sup>17</sup> related to (2).

---

17.  $\mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_2(z)\} = \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\text{Li}_2(z), \log(1 - z), \log(z)]$ .

## Chen series of $\{\omega_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ and along $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$

We get on the bialgebras  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}(Y)$  (over a commutative ring  $A$  containing  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} w \otimes w = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}yn \mathcal{X}} \overrightarrow{\prod} e^{S_I \otimes P_I} \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_Y := \sum_{w \in Y^*} w \otimes w = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}yn Y} \overrightarrow{\prod} e^{\Sigma_I \otimes \Pi_I}.$$

Hence, since  $\alpha_{z_0}^z(u \sqcup v) = \alpha_{z_0}^z(u) \alpha_{z_0}^z(v)$ , for  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}^*$ , then the **Chen series**,  $C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ , is given by

$$C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \alpha_{z_0}^z(w) w = (\alpha_{z_0}^z \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{X}} = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}yn \mathcal{X}} \overrightarrow{\prod} e^{\alpha_{z_0}^z(S_I) P_I}$$

and then  $\Delta_{\sqcup} C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} = C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \otimes C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}$  and  $\langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle = 1$ .

Note that  $C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}$  only depends on the homotopy class of  $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$  and the endpoints  $z_0, z$ . One has  $C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} C_{z_1 \rightsquigarrow z_0} = C_{z_1 \rightsquigarrow z}$ . Or equivalently,

$$\forall w \in \mathcal{X}^*, \quad \langle C_{z_1 \rightsquigarrow z} | w \rangle = \sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{X}^*, uv=w} \langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | u \rangle \langle C_{z_1 \rightsquigarrow z_0} | v \rangle.$$

Although  $\Delta_{\text{conc}} w = \sum_{u, v \in \mathcal{X}^*, uv=w} u \otimes v$  but  $\Delta_{\text{conc}} C_{z_1 \rightsquigarrow z} \neq C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \otimes C_{z_1 \rightsquigarrow z_0}$ .

18.  $\langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | u \sqcup v \rangle = \langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | u \rangle \langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | v \rangle$  and on the other hand,

$$\langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | u \sqcup v \rangle = \langle \Delta_{\sqcup} C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | u \otimes v \rangle, \langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | u \rangle \langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | v \rangle = \langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \otimes C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | u \otimes v \rangle.$$

## More about Chen series

Note also that, for  $g \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ , one has  $C_{g(z_0) \rightsquigarrow g(z)} = g_* C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}$ , i.e. the Chen series of  $\{g^* \omega_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  along the path  $g^*(z_0 \rightsquigarrow z)$ .

**Example 9** (with  $\omega_0(z) = z^{-1} dz$  and  $\omega_1(z) = (1-z)^{-1} dz$ )

$g(z)$	$z$	$z^{-1}$	$(z-1)z^{-1}$	$z(z-1)^{-1}$	$(1-z)^{-1}$	$1-z$
$g^* \omega_0$	$\omega_0$	$-\omega_0$	$-\omega_1 - \omega_0$	$\omega_1 + \omega_0$	$\omega_1$	$-\omega_1$
$g^* \omega_1$	$\omega_1$	$\omega_1 + \omega_0$	$-\omega_0$	$-\omega_1$	$-\omega_1 - \omega_0$	$-\omega_0$

For any  $n \geq 0$ , one has

$$\mathbf{d}^n C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} = p_n C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z},$$

where, for any  $S \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ,  $\mathbf{d}S \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega) \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  is defined as follows

$$\mathbf{d}S = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} (\partial \langle S | w \rangle) w,$$

$p_n \in \mathcal{C} \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$  is defined as follows

$$p_n = \sum_{\text{wgtr}=n} \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^n} \prod_{i=1}^{\text{deg } \mathbf{r}} \binom{\sum_{j=1}^i r_j + j - 1}{r_i} \tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w)$$

and, for  $w = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k} \in \mathcal{X}^*$  associated to the derivation multiindex  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$  of weight  $\text{wgtr} = |w| + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i$  and of degree  $\text{deg } \mathbf{r} = |w|$ ,  $\tau_{\mathbf{r}}(w) := \tau_{r_1}(x_{i_1}) \dots \tau_{r_k}(x_{i_k}) = (\partial^{r_1} u_{x_{i_1}}) x_{i_1} \dots (\partial^{r_k} u_{x_{i_k}}) x_{i_k}$ .

# Continuity, indiscernability and growth condition

For  $i = 0, 2$ , let  $(\mathbf{k}_i, \|\cdot\|_i)$  be a semi-normed space and  $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Definition 10

1. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of  $\mathbf{k}_1\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ . Let  $S \in \mathbf{k}_2\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  and it is said to be

a) *continuous* over  $\mathcal{C}$  if, for  $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}$ , the following sum is convergent

$$\sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \|\langle S|w \rangle\|_2 \|\langle \Phi|w \rangle\|_1.$$

We will denote  $\langle S|\Phi \rangle$  the sum  $\sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \langle S|w \rangle \langle \Phi|w \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle^{\text{cont}}$  the set of continuous power series over  $\mathcal{C}$ .

b) *indiscernable* over  $\mathcal{C}$  iff, for any  $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\langle S|\Phi \rangle = 0$ .

2. Let  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  be real positive functions over  $\mathcal{X}^*$ . Let  $S \in \mathbf{k}_1\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$ .

a)  $S$  satisfies the  $\chi_1$ -*growth condition* of order  $g_1$  if it satisfies

$$\exists K \in \mathbb{R}_+, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall w \in \mathcal{X}^{\geq n}, \quad \|\langle S|w \rangle\|_1 \leq K \chi_1(w) |w|^{g_1}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbf{k}_1^{(\chi_1, g_1)}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  the set of formal power series in  $\mathbf{k}_1\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  satisfying the  $\chi_1$ -growth condition of order  $g_1$ .

b) If  $S$  is continuous over  $\mathbf{k}_2^{(\chi_2, g_2)}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  then it will be said to be  $(\chi_2, g_2)$ -*continuous*. The set of formal power series which are  $(\chi_2, g_2)$ -continuous is denoted by  $\mathbf{k}_2^{(\chi_2, g_2)}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle^{\text{cont}}$ .

# Convergence condition

## Proposition 2

Let  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  be real positive functions over  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

Let  $g_1$  and  $g_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $g_1 + g_2 \leq 0$ .

1. Let  $\mathbf{k}_1^{(\chi_1, g_1)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ,  $g_1 \geq 0$ , and let  $P \in \mathbf{k}_1 \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$ .  
The right residual of  $S$  by  $P$  belongs to  $\mathbf{k}_1^{(\chi_1, g_1)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ .
2. Let  $R \in \mathbf{k}_2^{(\chi_2, g_2)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ,  $g_2 < 0$ , and let  $Q \in \mathbf{k}_2 \langle \mathcal{X} \rangle$ .  
The concatenation  $QR$  belongs to  $\mathbf{k}_2^{(\chi_2, g_2)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ .
3.  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  are morphisms over  $\mathcal{X}^*$  satisfying  $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \chi_1(x) \chi_2(x) < 1$ .  
If  $F_1 \in \mathbf{k}_1^{(\chi_1, g_1)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  (resp.  $F_2 \in \mathbf{k}_2^{(\chi_2, g_2)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ) then  $F_1$  (resp.  $F_2$ ) is continuous over  $\mathbf{k}_2^{(\chi_2, g_2)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  (resp.  $\mathbf{k}_1^{(\chi_1, g_1)} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ ).

## Proposition 3

Let  $\mathcal{C}l \subset \mathbf{k}_1 \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$  be a monoid containing  $\{e^{tx}\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}^{t \in \mathbf{k}_1}$ . Let  $S \in \mathbf{k}_2 \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle^{cont}$ .

1. If  $S$  is indiscernable over  $\mathcal{C}l$  then for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $x \triangleleft S$  and  $S \triangleright x$  belong to  $\mathbf{k}_2 \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle^{cont}$  and they are indiscernable over  $\mathcal{C}l$ .
2.  $S$  is indiscernable over  $\mathcal{C}l$  iff  $S = 0$ .

# Chen series and differential equations

Let  $K$  be a compact on  $\Omega$ . There is  $c_K \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  and a morphism  $M_K$  s.t.

$$\forall w \in \mathcal{X}^*, \quad \|\langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | w \rangle\|_K \leq c_K M_K(w) |w|^{-1}.$$

Let  $R \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  of minimal representation  $(\lambda, \mu, \eta)$  of dimension  $n$ . Then

$$\forall w \in \mathcal{X}^*, \quad |\langle R | w \rangle| \leq \|\lambda\|_{\infty}^{1,n} \|\mu(w)\|_{\infty}^{n,n} \|\eta\|_{\infty}^{n,1}.$$

With these data, we have

## Theorem 11

If  $c_K \|\lambda\|_{\infty}^{1,n} \|\eta\|_{\infty}^{n,1} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} M_K(x) \|\mu(x)\|_{\infty}^{n,n} < 1$  then  $\alpha_{z_0}^z(R) = \langle R | C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \rangle$  and

$$\forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \theta_x \alpha_{z_0}^z(R) = \sum_{x' \in \mathcal{X}} u_x^{-1}(z) u_{x'}(z) \alpha_{z_0}^z(R \triangleleft x').$$

Letting  $y(z_0, z) := \langle R | C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \rangle$ , the following assertions are equivalent :

1. There is  $p \in \mathcal{C}_0\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle$  s.t.  $\langle R | p C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \rangle = \langle R \triangleleft p | C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \rangle = 0$ .
2. There is  $l = 0, \dots, n-1$  s.t.  $\{\partial^k y\}_{0 \leq k \leq l}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -linearly independent and  $a_l, \dots, a_1, a_0 \in \mathcal{C}_0$  s.t.  $(a_l \partial^l + \dots + a_1 \partial + a_0)y = 0$ .

## Proposition 4

Let  $G \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  and  $H \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  s.t.  $\alpha_{z_0}^z(G) = \langle G | C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \rangle$  and  $h(\alpha_{z_0}^z(x_0), \alpha_{z_0}^z(x_1)) := \alpha_{z_0}^z(H) = \langle H | C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} \rangle$  exist ( $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ ). Then

$$\alpha_{z_0}^z(HG) = \langle G | 1_{X^*} \rangle \alpha_{z_0}^z(H) + \int_{z_0}^z h(\alpha_s^z(x_0), \alpha_s^z(x_1)) d\alpha_{z_0}^s(G).$$



## Practical examples (eulerian functions)

For any  $z \in \Omega = \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1$ , in all the sequel, let us consider

$$\ell_1(z) := \gamma z - \sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) \frac{(-z)^k}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall r \geq 2, \quad \ell_r(z) := - \sum_{k \geq 1} \zeta(kr) \frac{(-z^r)^k}{k}.$$

Recall that  $y^n = y \uplus^n / n!$ , for  $y \in \mathcal{X}^*, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}, |t| < 1$ . Then

$$\alpha_{z_0}^z(y^n) = \frac{[\alpha_{z_0}^z(y)]^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{z_0}^z((ty)^*) = e^{t\alpha_{z_0}^z(y)}.$$

### Example 12 (extension of eulerian functions)

For any  $z \in \Omega = \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ , one has

$u_{y_k}$	$\alpha_0^z(y_k)$	$\alpha_0^z(y_k^*)$
$1_\Omega$	$z$	$e^z$
$\partial \ell_k$	$\ell_k(z)$	$e^{\ell_k(z)} =: \Gamma_{y_k}^{-1}(1+z)$
$e^{\ell_k} \partial \ell_k$	$e^{\ell_k(z)} =: \Gamma_{y_k}^{-1}(1+z)$	$e^{e^{\ell_k(z)} - 1}$

The function  $\ell_1$  is already considered by Legendre for studying the eulerian Gamma function,  $\Gamma$ , noted here by  $\Gamma_{y_1}$  (Legendre cited Euler).

What are  $\{\alpha_0^z(w)\}_{w \in Y^* Y}$ ? Similarly, in the case of  $\{\alpha_0^z(w)\}_{w \in (Y \cup \{y_0\})^*}$  and with the new input  $u_{y_0}(z) = z^{-1} dz$ ?

## First properties of extended eulerian functions

Let  $G_r$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}_r$ ) denote the set (resp. group) of solutions,  $\{\xi_0, \dots, \xi_{r-1}\}$ , of  $z^r = (-1)^{r-1}$  (resp.  $z^r = 1$ ), for  $r \geq 1$ . If  $r$  is odd, it is a group as  $G_r = \mathcal{G}_r$  otherwise it is an orbit as  $G_r = \xi \mathcal{G}_r$ , where  $\xi$  is any solution of  $\xi^r = -1$  (or equivalently,  $\xi \in \mathcal{G}_{2r}$  and  $\xi \notin \mathcal{G}_r$ ).

### Proposition 5 (Weierstrass factorization)

1. For  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\chi \in \mathcal{G}_r$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|z| < 1$ , the functions  $\ell_r$  and  $e^{\ell_r}$  have the symmetry,  $\ell_r(z) = \ell_r(\chi z)$  and  $e^{\ell_r(z)} = e^{\ell_r(\chi z)}$ . In particular, for  $r$  even, as  $-1 \in \mathcal{G}_r$ , these functions are even.

2. For  $|z| < 1$ , we have

$$\ell_r(z) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{G}_r} \log \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \chi z)} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{\ell_r(z)} = \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{G}_r} e^{\gamma \chi z} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{\chi z}{n}\right) e^{-\frac{\chi z}{n}}.$$

3. For any odd  $r \geq 2$ ,  $\Gamma_{y_r}^{-1}(1+z) = e^{\ell_r(z)} = \Gamma^{-1}(1+z) \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{G}_r \setminus \{1\}} e^{\ell_1(\chi z)}$ .

4. In general, for any odd or even  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$e^{\ell_r(z)} = \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{G}_r} e^{\ell_1(\chi z)} = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{z^r}{n^r}\right).$$

## Other practical examples (1/2)

**Example 13** ( $\omega_1(z) = (1-z)^{-1}dz$  and  $\omega_0(z) = z^{-1}dz$ )

1. For any  $a, z \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $|a| < 1, |z| < 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_{(ax_0)^*x_1}(z) &= \alpha_0^z((ax_0)^*x_1) \\ &= \int_0^z e^{a \log(\frac{z}{s})} \omega_1(s) = z^a \int_0^z \sum_{n \geq 0} s^{n-a} ds = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z^n}{n-a}. \end{aligned}$$

2. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $|a| < 1, |b| < 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_{x_0^n}(z) &= \alpha_1^z(x_0^n) = \log^n(z)/n!, & \text{Li}_{x_1^n}(z) &= \alpha_0^z(x_1^n) = \log^n((1-z)^{-1})/n!, \\ \text{Li}_{(ax_0)^*}(z) &= \alpha_1^z((ax_0)^*) = z^a, & \text{Li}_{(bx_1)^*}(z) &= \alpha_0^z((bx_1)^*) = (1-z)^{-b}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}[z^a, (1-z)^b]_{a,b \in \mathbb{C}}$  and  $S \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}_{\text{exc}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \sqcup \mathbb{C} \langle X \rangle$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}_{\text{exc}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle = \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}_{\text{exc}} \langle\langle x_0 \rangle\rangle \sqcup \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}_{\text{exc}} \langle\langle x_1 \rangle\rangle$ ), we get

$$\text{Li}_S(z) \in \mathcal{C}[\{\text{Li}_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X}] \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{C}[\log(z), \log(1-z)]).$$

3. For any  $z, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  s.t.  $|z| < 1$  and  $\Re a > 0, \Re b > 0$ , we get the partial Beta function and the eulerian

Beta function,  $B(a, b) = B(1; a, b) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)/\Gamma(a+b)$ , as follows<sup>19</sup>

$$B(z; a, b) := \int_0^z dt t^{a-1}(1-t)^{b-1} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Li}_{x_0}[(ax_0)^* \sqcup ((1-b)x_1)^*](z) \\ \text{Li}_{x_1}[(a-1)x_0)^* \sqcup (-bx_1)^*](z) \end{array} \right\}.$$

19.  $x_0[(ax_0)^* \sqcup ((1-b)x_1)^*]$  and  $x_1[(a-1)x_0)^* \sqcup (-bx_1)^*]$  are of the form  $(F_2)$ . What is  $\alpha_0^z(S)$ , for  $S$  of the form  $(F_2)$ ?

## Other on practical examples (2/2)

### Example 14 (Polylogarithms indexed by non positive integers)

Now, let us use the **noncommutative multivariate exponential transforms**, i.e., for any rational exchangeable series, we get the following transform

$$\sum_{i_0, i_1 \geq 0} s_{i_0, i_1} x_0^{i_0} \sqcup x_1^{i_1} \mapsto \sum_{i_0, i_1 \geq 0} \frac{s_{i_0, i_1}}{i_0! i_1!} \log^{i_0}(z) \log^{i_1}((1-z)^{-1}).$$

In particular, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $x_0^n \mapsto \log^n(z)/n!$  and  $x_1^n \mapsto \log^n((1-z)^{-1})/n!$ . Then  $(tx_0)^* \mapsto z^t$  and  $(tx_1)^* \mapsto (1-z)^{-t}$ .

We then obtain the following polylogarithms indexed by rational series


$$\text{Li}_{x_0^*}(z) = z, \quad \text{Li}_{x_1^*}(z) = (1-z)^{-1}, \quad \text{Li}_{(ax_0+bx_1)^*}(z) = z^a(1-z)^{-b}$$

Thus, for any  $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}_+^r$ , there exists an unique series  $R_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}}$  belonging to  $(\mathbb{Z}[x_1^*], \sqcup, 1_{X^*})$  s.t.  $\text{Li}_{-s_1, \dots, -s_r} = \text{Li}_{R_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}}}$ . More precisely,

$$R_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}} = \sum_{k_1=0}^{s_1} \dots \sum_{k_r=0}^{(s_1+\dots+s_r)-(k_1+\dots+k_{r-1})} \binom{s_1}{k_1} \dots \binom{\sum_{i=1}^r s_i - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i}{k_r} \rho_{k_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \rho_{k_r},$$

where, for any  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , if  $k_i = 0$  then  $\rho_{k_i} = x_1^* - 1_{X^*}$  else

$$\rho_{k_i} = x_1^* \sqcup \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} S_2(k_i, j) j! (x_1^* - 1_{X^*}) \sqcup j$$

the  $S_2(k_i, j)$  being the Stirling numbers of second kind. 

# NONCOMMUTATIVE PV THEORY AND INDEPENDENCE VIA WORDS

## First step of noncommutative PV theory

The **Chen series**  $C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}$  of  $\{\omega_k\}_{k \geq 1}$  and along the path  $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$  over  $\Omega$  satisfies the following differential equation

$$(NCDE) \quad \mathbf{d}S = MS, \quad \text{with} \quad M = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} u_x x \quad \text{and} \quad u_x \in \mathcal{C}_0 \cap \mathcal{A}^{-1}.$$

$$\Delta_{\sqcup} M = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} u_x (1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \otimes x + x \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}) = 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \otimes M + M \otimes 1_{\mathcal{X}^*}.$$

The space of solutions of (NCDE) is a right free  $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ -module of rank 1. By a theorem of Ree,  $C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}$  is a  $\sqcup$ -group-like solution<sup>20</sup> of (NCDE). Moreover, if  $G, H$  are  $\sqcup$ -group-like solutions there is a constant Lie series  $C$  s.t.  $G = He^C$  (and conversely). From this, it follows that

- ▶ the Hausdorff group  $\{e^C\}_{C \in \mathcal{L}ie_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle}$ , group of characters of  $\mathcal{H}_{\sqcup}(\mathcal{X})$ , plays the role of the differential Galois group of (NCDE)+  $\sqcup$ -group-like.

Which leads us to the following definition

- ▶ the PV extension related to (NCDE) is  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}_0 \cdot \mathcal{X}}\{C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}\}$ .

It, of course, is such that  $\text{Const}(\mathcal{C}_0 \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle) = \ker \mathbf{d} = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_{\Omega} \langle\langle \mathcal{X} \rangle\rangle$ .

20. It can be obtained as the limit of a convergent Picard iteration, initialized at  $\langle C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} | 1_{\mathcal{X}^*} \rangle = 1_{\mathcal{H}(\Omega)}$ , for ultrametric distance.

## Basic triangular theorem over a differential ring (BTT)

If  $S \in \mathcal{A}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  is a group-like solution of (NCDE), given as follows<sup>21</sup>

$$S = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \langle S|w \rangle w = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \langle S|S_w \rangle P_w = \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}\mathcal{X}} e^{\langle S|S_l \rangle P_l}$$

then

1. If  $H \in \mathcal{A}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  is another grouplike solution then there exists  $C \in \mathcal{L}ie_{\mathcal{A}}\langle\langle\mathcal{X}\rangle\rangle$  such that  $S = He^C$  (and conversely).
2. The following assertions are equivalent
  - a)  $\{\langle S|w \rangle\}_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -linearly independent,
  - b)  $\{\langle S|S_l \rangle\}_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}\mathcal{X}}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -algebraically independent,
  - c)  $\{\langle S|x \rangle\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -algebraically independent,
  - d)  $\{\langle S|x \rangle\}_{x \in \mathcal{X} \cup \{1_{\mathcal{X}^*}\}}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -linearly independent,
  - e)  $\{u_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  is such that, for  $f \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{C}_0)$  and  $(c_x)_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \in \mathbb{C}^{(\mathcal{X})}$ ,
 
$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} c_x u_x = \partial f \implies (\forall x \in \mathcal{X})(c_x = 0).$$
  - f)  $(u_x)_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  is free over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\partial \text{Frac}(\mathcal{C}_0) \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{u_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}} = \{0\}$ .

21. For instance,  $S = C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{X}^*} \alpha_{z_0}^z(w) w$ .

## Examples of positive cases over $\mathcal{X} = \{x\}$ , $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{H}(\Omega), \partial)$

1.  $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $u_x(z) = 1_\Omega$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}\{\{u_x^{\pm 1}\}\} = \mathbb{C}$ .

$\alpha_0^z(x^n) = z^n/n!$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{dS} = xS$  and

$$S = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_0^z(x^n) x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{n!} x^n = e^{zx}.$$

Moreover,  $\alpha_0^z(x) = z$  which is transcendent over  $\mathcal{C}_0$  and the family  $\{\alpha_0^z(x^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -free. Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0$  then  $\partial f = 0$ . Thus, if  $\partial f = cu_x$  then  $c = 0$ .

2.  $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus ]-\infty, 0]$ ,  $u_x(z) = z^{-1}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}\{\{z^{\pm 1}\}\} = \mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}] \subset \mathbb{C}(z)$ .

$\alpha_1^z(x^n) = \log^n(z)/n!$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus  $\mathbf{dS} = z^{-1}xS$  and

$$S = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_1^z(x^n) x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\log^n(z)}{n!} x^n = z^x.$$

Moreover,  $\alpha_1^z(x) = \log(z)$  which is transcendent over  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  then over  $\mathbb{C}[z^{\pm 1}]$ . The family the family  $\{\alpha_1^z(x^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is  $\mathbb{C}(z)$ -free and then  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -free. Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}_0$  then  $\partial f \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{z^{\pm n}\}_{n \neq 1}$ . Thus, if  $\partial f = cu_x$  then  $c = 0$ .



## Examples of negative cases over $\mathcal{X} = \{x\}$ , $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{H}(\Omega), \partial)$

1.  $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $u_x(z) = e^z$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}\{\{e^{\pm z}\}\} = \mathbb{C}[e^{\pm z}]$ .

$\alpha_0^z(x^n) = (e^z - 1)^n/n!$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{dS} = e^z x \mathbf{S}$  and

$$S = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_0^z(x^n) x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(e^z - 1)^n}{n!} x^n = e^{(e^z - 1)x}.$$

Moreover,  $\alpha_0^z(x) = e^z - 1$  which is **not** transcendent over  $\mathcal{C}_0$  and  $\{\alpha_0^z(x^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is not  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -free. If  $f(z) = ce^z \in \mathcal{C}_0$  ( $c \neq 0$ ) then  $\partial f(z) = ce^z = cu_x(z)$ .

2.  $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus ]-\infty, 0]$ ,  $u_x(z) = z^a$  ( $a \notin \mathbb{Q}$ ),  
 $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathbb{C}\{\{z, z^{\pm a}\}\} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{z^{ka+l}\}_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

$\alpha_0^z(x^n) = (a+1)^{-n} z^{n(a+1)}/n!$ , for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{dS} = z^a x \mathbf{S}$  and

$$S = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_0^z(x^n) x^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^{n(a+1)}}{(a+1)^n n!} x^n = e^{(a+1)^{-1} z^{a+1} x}.$$

Moreover,  $\alpha_0^z(x) = z^{a+1}/(a+1)$  which is not transcendent over  $\mathcal{C}_0$  and  $\{\alpha_0^z(x^n)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is not  $\mathcal{C}_0$ -free. If  $f(z) = cz^{a+1}/(a+1) \in \mathcal{C}_0$  ( $c \neq 0$ ) then  $\partial f(z) = cz^a = cu_x(z)$ .

# Independence over $\mathbb{C}$ of extended eulerian functions

## Proposition 6

Let  $L := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\ell_r\}_{r \geq 1}$  and  $E := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e^{\ell_r}\}_{r \geq 1}$ . One has

1. The families  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$  and  $(e^{\ell_r})_{r \geq 1}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -lin. free and free from  $1_{\Omega}$ . Hence, with the inputs (see also Example 12)
  - a)  $u_{x_r} = e^{\ell_r} \partial \ell_r, r \geq 1$ , the restriction  $\alpha_0^z : \mathbb{C}Y \rightarrow E$  is injective.
  - b)  $u_{x_r} = \partial \ell_r, r \geq 1$ , the restrictions of  $\alpha_0^z, \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{y_r\}_{r \geq 1} \rightarrow L$  and  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{y_r^*\}_{r \geq 1} \rightarrow E$  are injective.
2. The families  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$  and  $(e^{\ell_r})_{r \geq 1}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraically independent.
3. For any  $r \geq 1$ , one has
  - a) The functions  $\ell_r$  and  $e^{\ell_r}$   $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraically independent.
  - b) The function  $\ell_r$  is holomorphic on the open unit disc,  $D_{<1}$ ,
  - c) The function  $e^{\ell_r}$  (resp.  $e^{-\ell_r}$ ) is entire (resp. meromorphic), and admits a countable set of isolated zeroes (resp. poles) on the complex plane which is expressed as  $\bigcup_{\chi \in G_r} \chi^{\mathbb{Z}_{\leq -1}}$ .

## Proof of independence over $\mathbb{C}$ of eulerian functions

1. Since  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$  is triangular<sup>22</sup> then  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -lin. free. So is  $(e^{\ell_r} - e^{\ell_r(0)})_{r \geq 1}$ , being triangular, we get that  $(e^{\ell_r})_{r \geq 1}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -lin. free and free from  $1_\Omega$ . Since  $\{x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  and, by Theorem 7.3.,  $\{x^*\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -free then it follows the results concerning various restrictions of  $\alpha_0^z$ .
2. Via **BTT**, using the previous results and the Chen series of  $\{\omega_r\}_{r \geq 1}$  defined by the inputs in a) and b) (see also Example 12),  $\{e^{\ell_r}\}_{r \geq 1}$  and  $\{\ell_r\}_{r \geq 1}$  are the  $\mathbb{C}$ -alg. free.
3. a) Since  $\ell_r(0) = 0$ ,  $\partial e^{\ell_r} = e^{\ell_r} \partial \ell_r$  then  $\ell_r$  and  $e^{\ell_r}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -alg. free.  
b) We have  $e^{\ell_1(z)} = \Gamma^{-1}(1+z)$  which proves the claim for  $r = 1$ . For  $r \geq 2$ , note that  $1 \leq \zeta(r) \leq \zeta(2)$  which implies that the radius of convergence of the exponent is 1 and means that  $\ell_r$  is holomorphic on the open unit disc. This proves the claim.  
c)  $e^{\ell_r(z)} = \Gamma_{y_r}^{-1}(1+z)$  (resp.  $e^{-\ell_r(z)} = \Gamma_{y_r}(1+z)$ ) is entire (resp. meromorphic) as finite product of entire (resp. meromorphic) functions and Weierstrass factorization yields zeroes (resp. poles).

---

22.  $(g_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is said to be *triangular* if the valuation of  $g_i, \varpi(g_i)$ , equals  $i \geq 1$ . It is easy to check that such a family is  $\mathbb{C}$ -lin. free and that is also the case of families s.t.  $(g_i - g(0))_{i \geq 1}$  is triangular.

# Independence of $\{e^{\ell_r}\}_{k \geq 1}$ over differential subalgebra

The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[L]$  (resp.  $\mathbb{C}[E]$ ) is generated freely by  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$  (resp.  $(e^{\ell_r})_{r \geq 1}$ ) which are holomorphic on  $D_{<1}$  (resp. entire) functions. Moreover, any  $f \in \mathbb{C}[L] \setminus \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_\Omega$  (resp.  $g \in \mathbb{C}[E] \setminus \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_\Omega$ ) is holomorphic on  $D_{<1}$  (resp. entire) and then  $f \notin \mathbb{C}[E]$  (resp.  $g \notin \mathbb{C}[L]$ ). Thus,

$$E \cap L = \{0\} \quad \text{and, more generally,} \quad \mathbb{C}[E] \cap \mathbb{C}[L] = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_\Omega.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L} := \mathbb{C}\{(\ell_r^{\pm 1})_{r \geq 1}\} = \mathbb{C}\{(\ell_r^{\pm 1}, \partial^i \ell_r)_{r, i \geq 1}\}$  and  $\mathcal{E} := \mathbb{C}\{(e^{\pm \ell_r})_{r \geq 1}\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}^+ := \mathbb{C}\{\partial^i \ell_r\}_{r, i \geq 1}$ , being integral domain generated by holomorphic functions, and then  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{L}^+)$  is generated by meromorphic functions.

Since there is  $0 \neq q_{i,l,k} \in \mathcal{L}^+$  s.t.  $(\partial^i e^{\pm \ell_k})^l = q_{i,l,k} e^{\pm l \ell_k}$ ,  $i, l, k \geq 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^+ &:= \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{(\partial^{i_1} e^{\pm \ell_{r_1}})^{l_1} \dots (\partial^{i_k} e^{\pm \ell_{r_k}})^{l_k}\}_{(i_1, l_1, r_1), \dots, (i_k, l_k, r_k) \in (\mathbb{N}_{\geq 1})^3, k \geq 1} \\ &= \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{q_{i_1, l_1, r_1} \dots q_{i_k, l_k, r_k} e^{l_1 \ell_{r_1} + \dots + l_k \ell_{r_k}}\}_{(i_1, l_1, r_1), \dots, (i_k, l_k, r_k) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, k \geq 1} \\ &\subset \text{span}_{\mathcal{L}^+}\{e^{l_1 \ell_{r_1} + \dots + l_k \ell_{r_k}}\}_{(l_1, r_1), \dots, (l_k, r_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^* \times \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, k \geq 1} =: \mathcal{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\mathcal{E}^+ \cap E = \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a differential subring<sup>23</sup> of  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ .

## Theorem 15

1. The algebras  $\mathbb{C}[E]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[L]$  are alg. disjoint, within  $\mathcal{A}$ .
2. The family  $(e^{\ell_r})_{r \geq 1}$  (resp.  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$ ) is alg. free over  $\mathcal{E}^+$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}^+$ ).

23. Hence,  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{C})$  is a differential subfield of  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{A})$ .

## Proof of independence of eulerian functions

Using the Chen series of  $\{\omega_r\}_{r \geq 1}$  defined by  $u_{y_r} = e^{\ell_r} \partial \ell_r$ , let  $Q \in \text{Frac}(\mathcal{L})$  (resp.  $\text{Frac}(\mathcal{C})$ ) and let  $\{c_y\}_{y \in Y} \in \mathbb{C}^{(Y)}$ , non simultaneously vanishing, s.t.

$$\partial Q = \sum_{y \in Y} c_y u_y = \sum_{r \geq 1} c_{y_r} e^{\ell_r} \partial \ell_r.$$

If  $\partial Q \neq 0$  then, integrating,  $Q \in E$  and then  $E \supset \text{Frac}(\mathcal{L}) \supset \mathcal{L} \supset \mathbb{C}[L]$  (resp.  $E \supset \text{Frac}(\mathcal{C}) \supset \mathcal{C} \supset \mathcal{E}^+$ ) contradicting with  $E \cap \mathbb{C}[L] = \{0\}$  (resp.  $E \cap \mathcal{E}^+ = \{0\}$ ). It remains that  $\partial Q = 0$ .

Since  $\{e^{\ell_r}\}_{r \geq 1}$  and then  $\{\partial e^{\ell_r}\}_{r \geq 1}$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -lin. free, then  $c_{y_r} = 0$  ( $r \geq 1$ ). By **BTT**,  $\{\alpha_0^z(S_l)\}_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{ynY}}$  and then  $\{\alpha_0^z(S_y)\}_{y \in Y}$  are, respectively,

- ▶  $\mathcal{L}$ -alg. free yielding the  $\mathbb{C}[L]$ -alg. independence of  $(e^{\ell_r})_{r \geq 1}$ .

It follows that  $\mathbb{C}[E]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[L]$  are alg. disjoint<sup>24</sup>, within  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ .

- ▶  $\mathcal{C}$ -alg. free yielding the alg. independence of  $(e^{\ell_r})_{r \geq 1}$  over  $\mathcal{E}^+$ .

Now, suppose there is an alg. relation among  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$  over  $\mathcal{L}^+$  in which, by differentiating and substituting  $\partial \ell_r$  by  $e^{-\ell_r} \partial e^{\ell_r}$ , we get an alg. relation among  $\{e^{\ell_r}\}_{r \geq 1}$  over  $\mathbb{C}[L]$  and  $\mathcal{E}^+$  contradicting with two previous items. Hence,  $(\ell_r)_{r \geq 1}$  is  $\mathcal{L}^+$ -alg. free.

24.  $\{e^{\ell_r}\}_{r \geq 1}, \{\ell_r\}_{r \geq 1}$  are alg. free over the free alg.  $\mathbb{C}[L], \mathbb{C}[E]$ , respectively.

Hence,  $\mathbb{C}[E + L]$  is freely generated by  $\{e^{\ell_r}, \ell_r\}_{r \geq 1}$  and  $\mathbb{C}[E] \cap \mathbb{C}[L] = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1_\Omega$ .

Dom(Li<sub>•</sub>) AND Dom(H<sub>•</sub>)

# Chen series of $\omega_0(z) = z^{-1}dz$ and $\omega_1(z) = (1 - z)^{-1}dz$

Let  $\gamma_0(\varepsilon)$  and  $\gamma_1(\varepsilon)$  be the circular paths of radius  $\varepsilon$  encircling 0 and 1 clockwise, respectively. In particular, letting  $\beta = \beta_1 - \beta_0$ , one considers

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_0(\varepsilon, \beta) &= \varepsilon e^{i\beta_0} \rightsquigarrow \varepsilon e^{i\beta_1} \subset \gamma_0(\varepsilon), \\ \gamma_1(\varepsilon, \beta) &= 1 - \varepsilon e^{i\beta_0} \rightsquigarrow 1 - \varepsilon e^{i\beta_1} \subset \gamma_1(\varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, one has, for any  $i = 0$  or  $1$  and  $w \in X^+$ ,

$$|\langle C_{\gamma_i(\varepsilon, \beta)} | w \rangle| \leq \varepsilon^{|\mathbf{w}|x_i} |\beta|^{|\mathbf{w}|} |w|^{-1}.$$

It follows then

$$C_{\gamma_i(\varepsilon, \beta)} = e^{i\beta x_i} + o(\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\gamma_i(\varepsilon)} = e^{2i\pi x_i} + o(\varepsilon).$$

Hence<sup>25</sup>, for  $R \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$  of minimal representation  $(\lambda, \mu, \eta)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R | C_{\gamma_i(\varepsilon, \beta)} \rangle &= \lambda \left( \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}yn X} e^{\alpha_{\gamma_i(\varepsilon, \beta)}(S_l) \mu(P_l)} \right) \eta, \\ \langle R | C_{\gamma_i(\varepsilon)} \rangle &= \lambda \left( \prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}yn X} e^{\alpha_{\gamma_0(\varepsilon)}(S_l) \mu(P_l)} \right) \eta.\end{aligned}$$

---

25. Recall that the map  $\alpha_{z_0}^z : \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Omega)$  is not injective. For example,  $\alpha_{z_0}^z(z_0 x_0^* + (1 - z_0)(-x_1)^* - 1x^*) = 0$ .

## Back to polylogarithms

Here,  $(\mathcal{H}(\Omega), \partial)$  denotes the differential ring of holomorphic functions over the simply connected domain  $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus (]-\infty, 0] \cup [1, +\infty[)$ .

$$\omega_0(z) = u_{x_0}(z)dz, \omega_1(z) = u_{x_1}(z)dz \text{ with } u_{x_0}(z) = \frac{1}{z}, u_{x_1}(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}.$$

Let us consider the following character

$\text{Li}_\bullet : (\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \omega, 1_{X^*}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}(\Omega), \times, 1_\Omega)$  defined by, for  $x_i v \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X - X$ ,

$$\text{Li}_{x_0}(z) = \log(z), \quad \text{Li}_{x_1}(z) = \log\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) \quad \text{Li}_{x_i v}(z) = \int_0^z \omega_i(s) \text{Li}_v(s).$$

Hence, the n.g.s. of  $\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ ,  $L$ , is group-like, for  $\Delta_\omega$ , and

$$L := \sum_{w \in X^*} \text{Li}_w w = (\text{Li}_\bullet \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_X = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X} \overrightarrow{\prod} e^{\text{Li}_{S_I} P_I}.$$

It follows then the definition of

$$Z_\omega := L_{\text{reg}}(1), \quad \text{where } L_{\text{reg}} := \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X - X} \overrightarrow{\prod} e^{\text{Li}_{S_I} P_I}.$$

$L$  satisfies  $\mathbf{d}L = (u_{x_0}x_0 + u_{x_1}x_1)L$  and then  $L(z) = C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} L(z_0)$ .

### Theorem 16

$\text{Li}_\bullet$  is injective. It follows then  $\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -lin. free and  $\{\text{Li}_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X}$  (resp.  $\{\text{Li}_{S_I}\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X}$ ) is alg. free.



## Back to harmonic sums

Let  $\pi_Y : (\mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, \cdot) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle, \cdot)$ , maps  $x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_r$  to  $y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}$ .

$$\forall w \in X^* x_1, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1, \quad \frac{\text{Li}_w(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{\pi_Y w}(n) z^n.$$

### Theorem 17

The morphism of algebras  $H_\bullet : (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \boxplus, 1_{Y^*}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\{H_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \cdot, 1)$ , mapping  $u$  to  $^{26} H_u$ , is injective. Hence,  $\{H_w\}_{w \in Y^*}$  is lin. free. It follows then  $\{H_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{yn} Y}$  (resp.  $\{H_{\Sigma_I}\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{yn} Y}$ ) is alg. free.

Hence, the n.g.s. of  $\{H_w\}_{w \in Y^*}$ ,  $H$ , is group-like, for  $\Delta_{\boxplus}$ , and

$$H := \sum_{w \in Y^*} H_w w = (H_\bullet \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_Y = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{yn} Y}^{\downarrow} e^{H_{\Sigma_I} \Pi_I}.$$

It follows then the definition of

$$Z_{\boxplus} := H_{\text{reg}}(+\infty), \quad \text{where} \quad H_{\text{reg}} := \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{yn} Y - \{y_1\}}^{\downarrow} e^{H_{\Sigma_I} \Pi_I}.$$

### Theorem 18

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} e^{y_1 \log(1-z)} \pi_Y L(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} H_{y_k}(n) (-y_1)^k / k} H(n) = \pi_Y Z_{\boxplus}.$$

26. The  $\{H_u\}_{u \in Y^*}$ 's, so-called harmonic sums, are arithmetical functions. ▶

# Back to polyzetas

## Definition 19

The polymorphism  $\zeta$  is defined by

$$\zeta : (\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{L}ynX - X], \sqcup, 1_{X^*}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \cdot, 1),$$

$$\zeta : (\mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{L}ynY - \{y_1\}], \boxplus, 1_{Y^*}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \cdot, 1),$$

$$x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_r \in \mathcal{L}ynX - X \mapsto \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r} n_1^{-s_1} \dots n_r^{-s_r}.$$

$$y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \in \mathcal{L}ynY - \{y_1\}$$

where  $\mathcal{Z} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r)\}_{s_1, \dots, s_r \in \mathbb{N}, s_1 > 1}$ .

It can be extended as the following characters

$$\zeta_{\sqcup} : (\mathbb{Q}\langle X \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \cdot, 1), \quad \zeta_{\boxplus}, \gamma_{\bullet} : (\mathbb{Q}\langle Y \rangle, \boxplus, 1_{Y^*}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}, \cdot, 1)$$

by adding  $\zeta_{\sqcup}(x_0) = 0 = \log(1)$  and

$$\zeta_{\sqcup}(x_1) = 0 = \text{f.p.}_{z \rightarrow 1} \log(1 - z), \quad \{(1 - z)^a \log^b(1 - z)\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}},$$

$$\zeta_{\boxplus}(y_1) = 0 = \text{f.p.}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} H_1(n), \quad \{n^a H_1^b(n)\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}},$$

$$\gamma_{y_1} = \gamma = \text{f.p.}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} H_1(n), \quad \{n^a \log^b(n)\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

## Theorem 20

$$\sum_{w \in X^*} \zeta_{\sqcup}(w)w = Z_{\sqcup}, \quad \sum_{w \in Y^*} \zeta_{\boxplus}(w)w = Z_{\boxplus}, \quad \sum_{w \in Y^*} \gamma_w w = e^{\gamma y_1} Z_{\boxplus} =: Z_{\gamma}.$$

$$\text{Moreover, } Z_{\gamma} = B(y_1) \pi_Y Z_{\sqcup} \iff Z_{\boxplus} = \text{Mono}(y_1) \pi_Y Z_{\sqcup},$$

where  $B(y_1) = e^{\gamma y_1 - \sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) (-y_1)^k / k}$  and  $\text{Mono}(y_1) = e^{-\sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) (-y_1)^k / k}$ .

# Dom(Li $\bullet$ ), Dom $_R$ (Li $\bullet$ ) and Dom $^{loc}$ (Li $\bullet$ )

Let  $\mathcal{C} := \mathbb{C}[z^a, (1-z)^b]_{a,b \in \mathbb{C}}$ . Let  $[S]_n = \sum_{w \in X^*, |w|=n} \langle S|w \rangle w$  denotes the

homogeneous components of  $S$  (of degree  $n$ ). Then  $\text{Dom}(\text{Li}\bullet)$  is the set of  $S = \sum_{n \geq 0} [S]_n$  s.t.  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{Li}_{[S]_n}$  is unconditionally convergent for the

standard topology on  $\mathcal{H}(\Omega)$ .

Denoting the open disk by  $D_{<R}$  ( $0 < R \leq 1$ ), let

$$\text{Dom}_R(\text{Li}\bullet) := \{S \in \mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle_{x_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}1_{X^*} \mid \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{Li}_{[S]_n} \text{ is unconditionally convergent for the standard topology on } \mathcal{H}(D_{<R})\}.$$

$$\text{Dom}^{loc}(\text{Li}\bullet) := \bigcup_{0 < R \leq 1} \text{Dom}_R(\text{Li}\bullet).$$

## Proposition 7 ( $L(z) = C_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} L(z_0)$ )

Let  $\rho := \langle R \| L \rangle$  ( $R \in \text{Dom}(\text{Li}\bullet)$ ). Then  $\partial^n \rho = \langle R \| \mathbf{d}^n L \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{d}^n L = \rho_n L$ , where  $\{\rho_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  are given previously, using

$$\tau_r(x_0) = -r!(-z)^{-(r+1)}x_0 \text{ and } \tau_r(x_1) = r!(1-z)^{-(r+1)}x_1.$$

The following assertions are equivalent :

1.  $\rho$  satisfies a differential equation with coefficients in  $(\mathcal{C}, \partial)$ .
2. There exists  $P \in \mathcal{C}\langle X \rangle$  such that  $\langle R \| PL \rangle = \langle R \triangleleft P \| L \rangle = 0$ .

# Dom(H<sub>•</sub>)

## Proposition 8

1.  $\text{Dom}(\text{Li}_{\bullet})$ , containing  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \sqcup \mathbb{C} \langle X \rangle$ , is closed by  $\sqcup$  and then  $\text{Li}_S \sqcup T = \text{Li}_S \text{Li}_T$ , for  $S, T \in \text{Dom}(\text{Li}_{\bullet})$ .
2. Let  $S \in \mathbb{C} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle_{x_1} \oplus \mathbb{C} 1_{X^*}$  and  $0 < R \leq 1$  s.t.  $\sum_{n \geq 0} \text{Li}_{[S]_n}$  is unconditionally convergent, for the standard topology, on  $\mathcal{H}(D_{<R})$ . Then  $\sum_{N \geq 0} a_N z^N = (1 - z)^{-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{Li}_{[S]_n}(z)$  is unconditionally convergent in the same domain and  $a_N = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_{\pi_Y([S]_n)}(N)$ .

3.  $S \sqcup T \in \text{Dom}^{\text{loc}}(\text{Li}_{\bullet})$  and  $\pi_X(\pi_Y(S) \sqcup \pi_Y(T)) \in \text{Dom}^{\text{loc}}(\text{Li}_{\bullet})$ , for  $S, T \in \text{Dom}^{\text{loc}}(\text{Li}_{\bullet})$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_S \sqcup T &= \text{Li}_S \text{Li}_T. \\ H_{\pi_Y(S) \sqcup \pi_Y(T)}(N) &= H_{\pi_Y(S)}(N) H_{\pi_Y(T)}(N), \quad N \geq 0. \\ \frac{\text{Li}_S(z)}{1 - z} \odot \frac{\text{Li}_T(z)}{1 - z} &= \frac{\text{Li}_{\pi_X(\pi_Y(S) \sqcup \pi_Y(T))}(z)}{1 - z}. \end{aligned}$$

4. If  $S \in \text{Dom}^{\text{loc}}(\text{Li}_{\bullet})$  then  $H_{\pi_Y(S)} \in \text{Dom}(\text{H}_{\bullet}) := \pi_Y \text{Dom}^{\text{loc}}(\text{Li}_{\bullet})$ . The last contains  $\mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle \sqcup \mathbb{C} \langle Y \rangle$  and is closed by  $\sqcup$ . Hence,  $H_S \sqcup T = H_S H_T$ , for  $S, T \in \text{Dom}(\text{H}_{\bullet})$ .

## Extended polymorphism $\zeta$

With the notations in Example 12, we have

### Theorem 21 (Regularization by Newton-Girard formula)

The characters  $\zeta_{\sqcup}, \gamma_{\bullet}$  can be extended algebraically as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\sqcup} : (\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle \sqcup \mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 1), \\ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1, (zx_0)^*, (zx_1)^* &\mapsto 1_{\mathbb{C}}. \\ \gamma_{\bullet} : (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle \sqcup \mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{Y^*}) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 1), \\ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1, (z^r y_r)^* &\mapsto \Gamma_{y_r}^{-1}(1+z), r \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, with  $\omega_r = \partial \ell_r$ ,  $r \geq 1$ , and for  $z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1$ , the following morphism is *injective*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0^z : (\mathbb{C}\{\{y_r^*\}_{r \geq 1}\}, \sqcup, 1_{Y^*}) &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\{\{e^{\ell_r}\}_{r \geq 1}\}, \times, 1), \\ \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1, y_r^* &\mapsto \Gamma_{y_r}^{-1}(1+z), r \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Gamma_{y_{2r}}(1 + \sqrt[2r]{-1}t) = \Gamma_{y_r}(1+t)\Gamma_{y_r}(1 + \sqrt{-1}t)$ .

### Corollary 22

- $\gamma_{\sqcup_{r \geq 1}}(z^r y_r)^* = \prod_{r \geq 1} \gamma(z^r y_r)^* = \prod_{r \geq 1} e^{\ell_r(z)} = \prod_{r \geq 1} \Gamma_{y_r}^{-1}(1+z) = \alpha_0^z(\sqcup_{r \geq 1} y_r^*)$ .
- One has, for  $|a_s| < 1, |b_s| < 1$  and  $|a_s + b_s| < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\sum_{s \geq 1} (a_s + b_s) y_s + \sum_{r, s \geq 1} a_s b_r y_{s+r})^* &= \gamma(\sum_{s \geq 1} a_s y_s)^* \gamma(\sum_{s \geq 1} b_s y_s)^*. \text{ Hence,} \\ \gamma(a_s y_s + a_r y_r + a_s a_r y_{s+r})^* &= \gamma(a_s y_s)^* \gamma(a_r y_r)^*, \gamma(-a_s^2 y_{2s})^* \stackrel{\square}{=} \gamma(a_s y_s)^* \gamma(-a_s y_s)^*. \quad \equiv \end{aligned}$$

## Polyzetas and extended eulerian functions

Let  $R := t_0^2 t_1 x_0 [(t_0 x_0)^* \sqcup (t_1 x_1)^*] x_1$  ( $t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{C}, |t_0| < 1, |t_1| < 1$ ).

With  $\omega_0(z) = z^{-1} dz$  and  $\omega_1(z) = (1-z)^{-1} dz$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_R(1) &= t_0^2 t_1 \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{s} \int_0^s \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{t_0} \left(\frac{1-r}{1-s}\right)^{t_1} \frac{dr}{1-r} \\ &= t_0^2 t_1 \int_0^1 (1-s)^{t_0 t_1} s^{t_0-1} \int_0^s (1-r)^{t_0-1} r^{-t_0} ds dr. \end{aligned}$$

By changes of variables,  $r = st$  and then  $y = (1-s)/(1-st)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(R) &= t_0^2 t_1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-s)^{t_0 t_1} (1-st)^{t_0-1} t^{-t_0} dt ds \\ &= t_0^2 t_1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (1-ty)^{-1} t^{-t_0} y^{t_0 t_1} dt dy. \end{aligned}$$

By expanding  $(1-ty)^{-1}$  and then by integrating, we get on the one hand

$$\zeta(R) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{t_0}{n-t_0} \frac{t_0 t_1}{n-t_0^2 t_1} = \sum_{k > l > 0} \zeta(k) t_0^k t_1^l.$$

Since  $R = t_0 x_0 (t_0 x_0 + t_1 x_1)^* t_0 t_1 x_1$  then we get also on the other hand

$$\zeta(R) = \sum_{k > 0} \sum_{l > 0} \sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_l = k, s_1 \geq 2, s_2, \dots, s_l \geq 1} \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_l) t_0^k t_1^l.$$

Identifying the coefficients of  $\langle \zeta(R) | t_0^k t_1^l \rangle$ , we deduce the sum formula

$$\zeta(k) = \sum_{s_1 + \dots + s_l = k, s_1 \geq 2, s_2, \dots, s_l \geq 1} \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_l).$$

## Zetas and eulerian functions

For  $v = -u$  ( $|u| < 1$ ), one gets

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{y_1}(1-u)\Gamma_{y_1}(1+u)} = \exp\left(-\sum_{k \geq 1} \zeta(2k) \frac{u^{2k}}{k}\right) = \frac{\sin(u\pi)}{u\pi}.$$

Taking the logarithms and then taking the Taylor expansions, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{k \geq 1} \zeta(2k) \frac{u^{2k}}{k} &= \log\left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(ui\pi)^{2n}}{\Gamma_{y_1}(2n)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \sum_{k \geq 1} (ui\pi)^{2k} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_l = k}} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\Gamma_{y_1}(2n_i)} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} (ui\pi)^{2k} \sum_{l \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_l = k}} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\Gamma_{y_1}(2n_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

One can deduce then the following expression for  $\zeta(2k)$  :

$$\frac{\zeta(2k)}{\pi^{2k}} = k \sum_{l=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{k+l}}{l} \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_l \geq 1 \\ n_1 + \dots + n_l = k}} \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\Gamma_{y_1}(2n_i)} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Euler gave an other explicit formula using Bernoulli numbers  $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  :

$$\zeta(2k)/(2i\pi)^{2k} = -b_{2k}/2(2k)! \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

## More about polyzetas and extended eulerian functions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_{y_2}^{-1}(1+it) &= \Gamma_{y_1}^{-1}(1+t)\Gamma_{y_1}^{-1}(1-t) \\
 \Leftrightarrow e^{-\sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(2k)t^{2k}/k} &= \frac{\sin(t\pi)}{t\pi} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(t\pi)^{2k}}{(2k)!} \\
 \Leftrightarrow \Gamma_{y_4}^{-1}(1+\sqrt[4]{-1}t) &= \Gamma_{y_2}^{-1}(1+t)\Gamma_{y_2}^{-1}(1+it) \\
 \Leftrightarrow e^{-\sum_{k \geq 1} \zeta(4k)t^{4k}/k} &= \frac{\sin(it\pi)}{it\pi} \frac{\sin(t\pi)}{t\pi} = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2(-4t\pi)^{4k}}{(4k+2)!}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gamma_{(-t^4 y_4)^*} = \zeta((-t^4 y_4)^*)$ ,  $\gamma_{(-t^2 y_2)^*} = \zeta((-t^2 y_2)^*)$ ,  $\gamma_{(t^2 y_2)^*} = \zeta((t^2 y_2)^*)$  then, using the poly-morphism  $\zeta$ , one deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta((-t^4 y_4)^*) &= \zeta((-t^2 y_2)^*) \zeta((t^2 y_2)^*) = \zeta((-t^2 x_0 x_1)^*) \zeta((t^2 x_0 x_1)^*) \\
 &= \zeta((-t^2 x_0 x_1)^* \sqcup (t^2 x_0 x_1)^*) = \zeta((-4t^4 x_0^2 x_1^2)^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows then, by identification the coefficients of  $t^{2k}$  and  $t^{4k}$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overbrace{\zeta(2, \dots, 2)}^{k \text{ times}} / \pi^{2k} &= 1/(2k+1)! \in \mathbb{Q}, \\
 \overbrace{\zeta(3, 1, \dots, 3, 1)}^{k \text{ times}} / \pi^{4k} &= 4^k \overbrace{\zeta(4, \dots, 4)}^{k \text{ times}} / \pi^{4k} = 2/(4k+2)! \in \mathbb{Q}.
 \end{aligned}$$



# Bibliography I



J. Berstel & C. Reutenauer.– *Rational series and their languages*, Spr.-Ver., 1988.



V.C. Bui, G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, L. Kane, C. Tollu.– *Dual bases for non commutative symmetric and quasi-symmetric functions via monoidal factorization*, J. of Symbolic Computation (2015).



V.C. Bui, G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, H. Nguyen, C. Tollu.– *Combinatorics of  $\varphi$ -deformed shuffle Hopf algebras*, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00793118> (2014).



V.C. Bui, G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, Q.H. Ngo, C. Tollu.– *(Pure) transcendence bases in  $\varphi$ -deformed shuffle bialgebras*, Journal électronique du Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire B74f (2018).



V.C. Bui, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, Q.H. Ngo.– *Families of eulerian functions involved in regularization of divergent polyzetas*, arXiv :2009.03931.



G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, Q.H. Ngo, K. Penson, P. Simonnet.– *Mathematical renormalization in quantum electrodynamics via noncommutative generating series*, in "Applications of Computer Algebra", Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics, pp. 59-100 (2017).



G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, Q.H. Ngo.– *Kleene stars of the plane, polylogarithms and symmetries*, Theoretical Computer Science, Volume 800, 31 December 2019, Pages 52-72



P. Cartier.– *Jacobiennes généralisées, monodromie unipotente et intégrales itérées*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 687 (1987), 31–52.



P. Cartier.– *Fonctions polylogarithmes, nombres polyzetas et groupes pro-unipotents*– Séminaire Bourbaki, 53<sup>ème</sup>, n<sup>o</sup>885, 2000-2001.



K.T. Chen.– *Iterated integrals and exponential homomorphisms*, Proc. Lon. Math. S. 3-4 (1954) 502–512.

# Bibliography II



C. Costermans, J.Y. Enjalbert and V. Hoang Ngoc Minh.– *Algorithmic and combinatoric aspects of multiple harmonic sums*, Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science Proceedings, 2005.



C. Costermans, Hoang Ngoc Minh.– *Some Results à l'Abel Obtained by Use of Techniques à la Hopf*, Workshop on Global Integrability of Field Theories and Applications, Daresbury (UK), 1-3, November 2006.



C. Costermans, Hoang Ngoc Minh.– *Noncommutative algebra, multiple harmonic sums and applications in discrete probability*, J. of Sym. Comp. (2009), 801-817.



M. Deneufchâtel, G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, A.I. Solomon.– *Independence of hyperlogarithms over function fields via algebraic combinatorics*, in LNCS (2011), 6742.



G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, K.A. Penson.– *About Some Drinfel'd Associators*, International Workshop on Computer Algebra in Scientific Computing CASC 2018 - Lille, 17-21 September 2018.



G.H.E. Duchamp, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh, V. Nguyen Dinh.– *Towards a noncommutative Picard-Vessiot theory*, arXiv :arXiv :2008.10872.



V. Drinfel'd– *On quasitriangular quasi-hopf algebra and a group closely connected with  $\text{gal}(\bar{q}/q)$* , *Leningrad Math. J.*, 4, 829-860, 1991.



J. Ecalle.– *ARI/GARI, la dimorphie et l'arithmétique des multizêtas : un premier bilan*, J. Th. des nombres de Bordeaux, 15, (2003), pp. 411-478.



A. M. Legendre.– *Exercices de calcul intégral sur divers ordres de transcendentes et sur les quadratures*, Volume 1, Courcier, 1811" (from p.298)



M. Lothaire.– *Combinatorics on Words*, Enc. of Math. and its App., Addison-Wesley, 1983.

# Bibliography III



Hoang Ngoc Minh, G. Jacob.– *Symbolic Integration of meromorphic differential equation via Dirichlet functions*, Disc. Math. 210, pp. 87-116, 2000.



Hoang Ngoc Minh, M. Petitot.– *Lyndon words, polylogarithmic functions and the Riemann  $\zeta$  function*, Discrete Math., 217, 2000, pp. 273-292.



Hoang Ngoc Minh, M. Petitot, J. Van der Hoeven.– *Polylogarithms and Shuffle Algebra*, Proceedings of FPSAC'98, 1998.



V. Hoang Ngoc Minh.– *Differential Galois groups and noncommutative generating series of polylogarithms*, Automata, Combinatorics & Geometry, W. Mul. Conf. Systemics, Cybernetics & Informatics, Florida, 2003.



**V. Hoang Ngoc Minh.– On the solutions of the universal differential equation with three regular singularities (On solutions of  $KZ_3$ ), Confluentes Mathematici (2019).**



M. Hoffman.– *Multiple harmonic series*, Pacific J. Math. 152 (1992), pp. 275-290.



M. Hoffman.– *Quasi-shuffle products*, J. Alg. Combin. 11 (1) (2000) 49–68.



D.E. Radford.– *A natural ring basis for shuffle algebra and an application to group schemes* Journal of Algebra, 58, pp. 432-454, 1979.



Ree R.,– *Lie elements and an algebra associated with shuffles* Ann. Math 68 210–220, 1958.



C. Reutenauer.– *Free Lie Algebras*, London Math. Soc. Monographs (1993).



G. Viennot.– *Algèbres de Lie libres et monoïdes libres*, Lect. N. in Math., Springer-Verlag, 691, 1978.

# Bibliography IV



D. Zagier.– Values of zeta functions and their applications, in “First European Congress of Mathematics”, vol. 2, Birkhäuser (1994), pp. 497-512.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION